

A new species of *Leposphilus* Hesse, 1866 (Copepoda: Philichthyidae) parasitic in the interorbital canals of the whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest) (Sciaenidae) off Brazil with an amended diagnosis of the genus

Fabiano Paschoal · Kazuya Nagasawa · José Luis Luque

Received: 2 December 2015/Accepted: 10 March 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract A new species of the monotypic genus Leposphilus Hesse, 1866 (Cyclopoida: Philichthyidae), Leposphilus vogti n. sp., is described based on adult female and male specimens from the interorbital canals of Micropogonias furnieri (Desmarest) (Sciaenidae) in Sepetiba Bay, State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The new species differs from its only congener, L. labrei Hesse, 1866, by the following combination of characters in the adult female: a globular cephalosome, a two-segmented maxilla, and fourth abdominal somite fused to caudal ramus; and in the adult male: presence of maxilliped, leg 3 with five setae, and caudal rami tipped with six setae. In addition, an amendment of diagnosis of Leposphilus is provided based on the characters of the new species. Previous records of philichthyid copepods from actinopterygians

F. Paschoal

K. Nagasawa

in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans off the American continent are also given.

Introduction

The morphology of copepods of the family Philichthyidae, particularly the females, reflects their specialised mode of life (Kabata, 1979; Boxshall & Halsey, 2004). Philichthyids are parasites of subcutaneous spaces associated with the sensory canals of the lateral line and skull bones of marine actinopterygians and rarely elasmobranchs, but differ from true endoparasitic copepods in retaining contact with the external environment *via* a pore of their entry (Boxshall & Halsey, 2004; Madinabeitia et al., 2012).

Currently, this family comprises about 88 species of the following nine genera (Boxshall & Halsey, 2004): *Colobomatoides* Essafi & Raibaut, 1980; *Colobomatus* Hesse, 1873; *Ichthyotaces* Shiino, 1932; *Leposphilus* Hesse, 1866; *Lernaeascus* Claus, 1886; *Philichthys* Steenstrup, 1862; *Procolobomatus* Castro Romero, 1994; *Sarcotaces* Olsson, 1872; and *Sphaerifer* Richardi, 1874. In the western South Atlantic, only five species of two genera have been hitherto recorded: four belonging to *Colobomatus*, i.e. *C. belizensis* Cressey & Schotte, 1983 from *Haemulon steindachneri* (Jordan & Gilbert) and *Orthopristis ruber* (Cuvier); *C. stelliferi* Pombo, Turra, Paschoal & Luque, 2015 from *Stellifer brasiliensis* (Schultz),

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Animal, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Rodovia BR 465–Km 7, Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro CEP 23.890-000, Brazil

Laboratory of Aquaculture, Graduate School of Biosphere Science, Hiroshima University, 1-4-4 Kagamiyama, Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8528, Japan

J. L. Luque (🖂)

Departamento de Parasitologia Animal, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 74540, Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro CEP 23.851-970, Brazil e-mail: luqueufrrj@gmail.com

S. rastrifer (Jordan) and S. stellifer (Bloch); C. sudatlanticus Pereira, Timi, Lanfranchi & Luque, 2012 from Mullus argentinae (Hubbs & Marini); Colobomatus sp. from Micropogonias furnieri (Desmarest); and one species of Sarcotaces, i.e. S. verrucosus Olsson, 1872 from Pseudopercis semifasciata (Cuvier) (González & Tanzola, 2000; Luque & Tavares, 2007; Pereira et al., 2012; Pombo et al., 2015).

The whitemouth croaker Micropogonias furnieri (Desmarest) (Sciaenidae) is one of the most commercially important demersal fish species in the South Atlantic Ocean off Brazil with annual catches being over 40,000 metric tons (MPA, 2010; Froese & Pauly, 2015). This species is widely distributed from the Greater Antilles, Caribbean Sea, to the Gulf of San Matias, Argentina, but is particularly abundant on the continental shelf off southeastern Brazil, feeding on benthic crustaceans and sessile mollusks and occasionally fish (Froese & Pauly, 2015). To date, six species of parasitic copepods have been found from this fish in Brazilian waters: Bomolochus paucus Cressey & Dojiri, 1984, Caligus haemulonis Krøyer, 1863, Clavellotis dilatata (Krøyer, 1863), Colobomatus sp., Gauchergasilus euripedesi (Montú, 1980) and Neobrachiella chevreuxii (Van Beneden, 1891) (see Luque & Tavares, 2007).

In this paper, we describe a new species of *Leposphilus* Hesse, 1866 (Cyclopoida: Philichthyidae) based on adult females and males recovered from the interorbital canals of *M. furnieri* caught in Sepetiba Bay, State of Rio de Janeiro, southeastern Brazil. In addition, an amendment of the diagnosis of *Leposphilus* is provided based on the characters of the new species.

Materials and methods

Eight specimens of *M. furnieri* (body length 23–35; mean \pm standard deviation 27.6 \pm 4.2 cm) were caught in June 2015 in Sepetiba Bay (22°54′–23°04′S, 43°34′–44°10′W), State of Rio de Janeiro, southeastern Brazil. Fish were kept in thermal boxes filled with ice and transported to the laboratory for dissection. Copepods were taken from the interorbital canals of fish, fixed in 70% ethanol and cleared in a drop of 85% lactic acid or lactophenol before examination using a phase-contrast microscope. Specimens were measured intact using an ocular micrometer, dissected and examined according to the wooden slide procedure of Humes & Gooding (1964). Drawings were made with the aid of an Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a drawing tube. Measurements based on six females and four males are given in micrometres, unless otherwise stated, with the range followed by the mean in parentheses. For comparison with Colobomatus sp. in M. furnieri reported by Alves & Luque (2001), the voucher specimens (MNRJ-14006) from the Crustacea Collection of the National Museum of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, were examined. The descriptive terminology and classification follow Boxshall & Halsey (2004). The terms prevalence and intensity are used according to Bush et al. (1997). Host identification was based on the key of Menezes & Figueiredo (1980); the nomenclature and classification are updated according to FishBase (Froese & Pauly, 2015). Type-specimens are deposited in the Crustacea Collection of the National Museum of Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ), Brazil, and of the National Museum of Nature and Science, Tsukuba (NSMT-Cr), Ibaraki, Japan.

Order Cyclopoida Burmeister, 1835 Family Philichthyidae Vogt, 1877

Leposphilus vogti n. sp.

Syn. Colobomatus sp. of Alves & Luque (2001)

Type-host: Whitemouth croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Desmarest) (Perciformes: Sciaenidae). *Type-locality*: Sepetiba Bay (22°54′–23°04′S, 43°34′– 44°10′W), State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Prevalence and intensity: 62.5% (five infected out of eight fish examined); mean of 2 copepods per infected fish (range 1–3).

Site in host: Interorbital canals.

Type-material: Holotype: female (MNRJ-26002); allotype: male (MNRJ-26003); paratypes: four females (MNRJ-26004) and two males (MNRJ-26005); another two paratypes: one female (NSMT-Cr 24342) and one male (NSMT-Cr 24343). Two female specimens are kept in the collection of the senior author.

Etymology: The new species is named in honour of Carl Vogt from Germany, for his contribution to knowledge of copepods of the Philichthyidae.



Fig. 1 *Leposphilus vogti* n. sp., adult female. A, Habitus, ventral view; B, Antennule, ventral view; C, Antenna, ventral view; D, Maxillule, ventral view; E, Maxilla, ventral view; F, Maxilliped, ventral view; G, Labium (la) and Maxilliped (mp), ventral view; H, Caudal ramus, lateral view; I, Leg 1, ventrolateral view; J, Leg 2, ventrolateral view; K, Leg 3, ventrolateral view; L, Leg 4, ventrolateral view; M, Leg 6, ventrolateral view. *Scale-bars*: A, 4 mm; B, H, 100 µm; C–D, I, J–M, 20 µm; E–F, 40 µm; G, 50 µm

Description (Figs. 1–3)

Adult female

Body elongate without processes (Fig. 1A), 9.01-10.41 (9.92) mm long. Cephalosome globular, $580-925 \times 650-950$ (716 × 762). First pedigerous somite cylindrical, with slightly convex lateral margins, $1.12-1.91 \times 0.82-1.15$ (1.34 \times 0.97) mm. Second to fourth pedigerous somites swollen, forming octagonal to ovoid thoracic region, 1.68–3.35 (2.51) mm long, representing about 22% of total body length, 1.01–1.40 (1.20) mm wide. First to fourth pairs of legs located ventrolaterally. Fifth pedigerous somite narrower posteriorly, separated from preceding fused somites by slight constriction, $790-820 \times 850-900$ (805×878) . Genital somite ovoid, bearing pair of lateral swellings, $750-810 \times 815-900$ (780 × 853). Abdomen 3-segmented (Fig. 1A), abdominal somites wider than long and ending towards into caudal rami, measuring 725-800 × 875-925 (770 × 900), 750-825 × 800–975 (792 × 885), 650–750 × 825–950 (710 × 891), respectively. Caudal ramus fused to last abdominal somite (Fig. 1A), with 2 fused setal elements at tip and 1 lateral seta in middle part (Fig. 1H), 1.75-2.25 (1.95) mm long.

Antennule (Fig. 1B) apparently 6-segmented, aesthetascs present on fourth and sixth segments; setal formula as follows: 1: 7: 2: 3 + 1 aesthetasc: 3: 5 + 1aesthetasc; all setae naked. Buccal area forming tubelike capsule covered anteriorly by antennae and bordered posteriorly by labium. Antenna (Fig. 1C) unmodified, uniramous and apparently 3-segmented; basal segment with anteromedial naked seta; second segment with distomedial naked seta; distal segment short, with 1 distomedial naked seta and 1 thin-walled, blunt element. Labrum not seen. Maxillule (Fig. 1D) minute, 1-segmented, located mid-laterally in buccal area and bearing 2 apical setae. Maxilla (Fig. 1E) robust, 2-segmented; basal segment large and unarmed; distal segment terminating in two subequal, spinulose spines. Maxilliped (Fig. 1F) 3-segmented; all segments unarmed; distal segment forming long apical spine. Labium (Fig. 1G) divided, tapering into sharp tips, located between pair of maxillipeds.

Legs 1–2 small and inserted in rugose area. Leg 1 (Fig. 1I) biramous, located immediately posterior to junction of cephalosome and first pedigerous somite; protopod fused to somite and carrying 1 annulated seta

arising from basal protrusion; endopod vestigial, unsegmented and unarmed; exopod appearing unsegmented, armed with 3 distal setae. Leg 2 (Fig. 1J) biramous, located immediately posterior to junction of first pedigerous somite and swollen somites (second pedigerous somite); protopod fused to somite and carrying 1 annulated seta arising from basal protrusion; endopod vestigial, unsegmented and unarmed; exopod appearing unsegmented, armed with 1 lateral seta and 2 distal setae. Leg 3 (Fig. 1K) vestigial, located in second part of swollen somites (third pedigerous somite) and represented by single annulated seta on small papilla. Leg 4 (Fig. 1L) vestigial, located in third part of swollen somites (fourth pedigerous somite) and represented by single annulated seta on small papilla. Leg 5 absent. Leg 6 (Fig. 1M), located near genital apertures, represented by single annulated seta.

Adult male

Body cylindrical and not transformed (Fig. 2A), 2.01-2.07 (2.04) mm long. Cephalosome with transverse sclerotised band posterodorsally and rounded posterolateral corners (Fig. 2A), $329-357 \times 388-396$ (342×391) . First pedigerous somite wider than long, $130-140 \times 458-474 (135 \times 464)$. Second pedigerous somite wider than long, $147-151 \times 452-470$ (149 × 463), with paired dorsolateral processes directed backwards, distal part recurved dorsally, hook-like (Fig. 2A), 185-190 (187) long. Third to fifth pedigerous somites each wider than long, measuring 102–132 × 425–432 (115 × 428), 152–163 × 368–400 (157 × 379), 166–179 \times 354–372 (173 \times 363), respectively. Genital somite not expanded, with 2 setae on posterolateral corner of genital operculum, 176-193 × 332–336 (184 \times 334). Abdomen four-segmented, first 3 abdominal somites wider than long, measuring 210-223 × 297-313 (212 × 305), 216-217 × 276–277 (216 \times 276), 178–183 \times 203–206 (180 \times 204), respectively. Last abdominal somite longer than wide, $198-223 \times 198-206$ (211 × 202). Caudal rami, 242-247 (244) long, 4.25 times long as wide, each ramus armed with 6 setae (Fig. 2I), 1 lateral on outer margin, 1 ventrolateral on inner margin, and 4 terminal setae of unequal size, 2 medial in opposite corners and 2 long apical, longest setae measuring 236–268 (250) long.

Rostrum absent. Antennule (Fig. 2B), 6-segmented, aesthetascs present on fifth and sixth segments; setal formula as follows: 1: 4: 5: 4: 2 + 1 aesthetasc: 7 + 1



Fig. 2 Leposphilus vogti n. sp., adult male. A, Habitus, dorsal view; B, Antennule, ventral view; C, Antenna, dorsal view; D, Labrum, ventral view; E, Mandible, ventral view; F, Maxillule, ventral view; G, Maxilla, ventral view; H, Maxilliped, lateral view; I, Caudal ramus, ventral view. *Scale-bars*: A, 500 µm; B, E, 40 µm; C, G, H, 50 µm; D, F, 20 µm; I, 100 µm

aesthetasc; all setae naked. Antenna (Fig. 2C) 5-segmented and consisting of coxa, basis, and 3-segmented endopod; short coxa unarmed; basis with 1 small seta; first endopodal segment elongate with 1 small seta, distal part thick; second endopodal segment with 1 large claw and 2 medial setae; third endopodal segment with 2 large claws, 1 small seta and 2 medial setae. Labrum (Fig. 2D) much reduced, ventral surface armed with 1 anterior tooth and 4 posterior stout teeth of identical size; lateral regions of labrum sclerotised, with 2 blunt humps and 1 small tooth. Mandible (Fig. 2E) large, comprising broad based coxa and distal claw-like blade. Maxillule (Fig. 2E) 1-segmented, with 2 spinulose setae distally. Maxilla (Fig. 2G) 2-segmented; basal segment unarmed; distal segment terminating in 2 subequal, spinulose spines. Maxilliped (Fig. 2H) 3-segmented, all segments unarmed, terminal segment forming long apical spine.

Swimming legs 1 (Fig. 3A) and 2 (Fig. 3B) biramous, each with 2-segmented protopod comprising coxa and basis; interpodal plates lacking spinules; coxa with inner seta and smooth margins; basis with an outer seta present on posterior surface. Rami 2-segmented with outer margins of endopods and inner margins of exopods setulate. Spines on exopods denticulate, but fourth and third exopod spines on the second segment on legs 1 and 2, respectively, with denticulate outer margin and setulate inner margin.



Fig. 3 Leposphilus vogti n. sp., adult male. A, Leg 1, ventral view; B, Leg 2, ventral view; C, Leg 3, ventral view; D, Leg 4, ventrolateral view; E, Leg 6, ventrolateral view. Scale-bars: 50 µm

Deringer

Content courtesy of Springer Nature, terms of use apply. Rights reserved.

Armature of legs (spines, Roman numerals; setae, Arabic numerals) as follows:

	Coxa	Basis	Exopod	Endopod
Leg 1	0–1	1–0	I–0; IV–3	0–1; II–4
Leg 2	0-1	1–0	I–0; III–3	0–1; III–2

Leg 3 (Fig. 3C) uniramous, wider than long, armed with 1 naked inner seta and 4 spinulose distal setae. Leg 4 (Fig. 3D) vestigial, represented by 1 naked ventrolateal seta on fourth pedigerous somite. Leg 5 absent. Leg 6 (Fig. 3E), represented by 2 unequal setae on genital operculum of genital somite.

Remarks

According to Boxshall & Halsey (2004) the Philichthyidae can be included in a group of families with the Chondracanthidae, Shiinoidae and Lernaeosoleidae, especially by the presence of two toothed elements only on the mandible, the reduction of legs 4 and 5, and the presence of one and two geniculate claws, respectively, on the second and third endopodal segments of the antenna in the first copepodid stage. Nevertheless, the philichthyids can be separated from these families based on the morphology of body shape in the adult female; the body can be elongate, flattened or highly irregular with numerous processes (Boxshall & Montú, 1997; Pombo et al., 2015). The adult females examined in the present study are identified as belonging to Leposphlilus by their possession of the following combination of characters: an elongated body without lateral processes; an abdomen ending in paired caudal rami; and a swollen middle section of the body comprising the second to fourth pedigerous somites (Kabata, 1979; Boxshall & Halsey, 2004).

At present, only *L. labrei* Hesse, 1866, is known in this genus, parasitising fishes of the family Labridae, i.e. *Centrolabrus exoletus* (Linnaeus), *Coris julis* (Linnaeus), *Labrus bergylta* Ascanius, *Symphodus melops* (Linnaeus), *S. mediterraneus* (Linnaeus), *S. rostratus* (Bloch) and *S. tinca* (Linnaeus) from European waters (Hesse, 1866; Quidor, 1910; Monod, 1923; Delamare Deboutteville, 1962; Quignard, 1968; Holmes, 1987; Raibaut et al., 1998). The adult female of *L. labrei* can be easily differentiated from *L. vogti* n. sp. by an elongated cephalosome with a truncated distal part (*vs* globular in the new species), a threesegmented maxilla (*vs* two-segmented in the new species), and four abdominal somites (*vs* three abdominal somites in the new species: the fourth abdominal somite is fused to the caudal ramus) (Vogt, 1877; Delamare Deboutteville, 1962; Yamaguti, 1963). Compared to the adult male, *L. labrei* can be differentiated from *L. vogti* n. sp. by the absence of maxilliped (*vs* present in the new species), leg 3 with three setae (*vs* five setae in the new species) and caudal rami tipped with five setae (*vs* caudal rami tipped with six setae in the new species) (Vogt, 1877).

The morphology of males in the Philichthyidae is one of the most important and unifying family (Delamare Deboutteville, characteristics 1962: Kabata, 1979). The males of Leposphilus resemble those of Colobomatus and Philichthys and share the same general morphology of the second pedigerous somite (a pair of dorsolateral processes directed backwards), armature of legs 1 and 2 (biramous with distinctly two-segmented rami bearing spines and setae) and an uniramous leg 3 (Kabata, 1979; West, 1992; Castro Romero, 1994). However, the presence of six setae on the caudal rami and five elements on leg 3 in L. vogti n. sp. is shared only by C. embiotocae Noble, Collard & Wilkes, 1969, from an embiotocid fish in American waters (Noble et al., 1969) and C. similis Kim, 1995 from Ditrema temminckii Bleeker (Embiotocidae) in Asian waters (Kim, 1995). The males of C. embiotocae and C. similis differ from that of L. vogti n. sp. in the absence of leg 4 (Noble et al., 1969; Kim, 1995), and the male of the new species possesses one naked ventrolateral seta on the fourth pedigerous somite. Additionally, L. vogti n. sp. is separated from C. embiotocae in the absence of an outer seta on the posterior surface of the basis (vs presence in the new species) and from C. similis by the presence of one small seta on the inner margin of the coxa (vs absence in the new species) (Noble et al., 1969; Kim, 1995).

Hesse (1866) described for the first time a member of *Leposphilus* and proposed that it was placed in a separate family, the Lerneosiphonostomiens, a name never used subsequently (see Kabata, 1979). Later, Vogt (1877) transferred Hesse's species to the Philichthyidae and described *L. labrei* as a new species, focusing on the mouthparts in the female and some important features of the male, e.g. the arrangement of the legs. Since Vogt's (1877) publication, few studies have been conducted on this philichthyid group. Delamare Deboutteville (1962) reviewed the genera in the Philichthyidae, addressing mainly the body plan and Yamaguti (1963) proposed the new diagnosis of *Leposphilus* but only for females. Thus the description of *L. vogti* n. sp. can be helpful in understanding some features in this genus, such as the body plan and arrangement of the small appendages.

The females of *L. vogti* n. sp. and *L. labrei* exhibit some similar features, *viz.* the second to fourth swollen pedigerous somites, lack of lateral processes in the body, a buccal area composed by the antenna, maxillule, maxilla, maxilliped, and a divided labium (see Vogt, 1877). However, the main difference between the morphological characters of the new species and the generic diagnosis proposed by Yamaguti (1963) is the presence of legs 1 to 4 and 6 in female (reported as absent in Yamaguti, 1963). The legs were probably overlooked in the descriptions of Hesse (1866) and Vogt (1877) due to their small size, and several members of the Philichthyidae described in the 19th Century lack information on legs.

The males of both species have similar characters, such as a six-segmented antennule, biramous legs 1 and 2 and uniramous leg 3, but differ from each other in the maxilliped, i.e. present in the new species but absent in L. labrei (see Vogt, 1877). This condition, however, is not atypical in the family and is seen in the males of Colobomatus, e.g. C. cresseyi West, 1992 and C. nanus West, 1992 (see West, 1992). According to Delamare Deboutteville (1962), the abdomen of male philichthyids is almost always four-segmented, but that of the male of *Leposphilus* shows a loss of division between the third and fourth somites (see Kabata, 1979). Nonetheless, this loss of division cannot be found in the new species and also in the line drawings of L. labrei by Vogt (1877), where the male has 11 distinct segments, comprising the cephalosome, five free pedigerous somites, the genital somite and a foursegmented abdomen, but a small depression is observed in the middle of the fourth abdominal somite, which was maybe caused by a fold in the animal or an error in drawings. There was probably a misinterpretation by Delamare Deboutteville (1962) in relation to this depression, confusing it for the division of the last segment and proposed a loss of division between the third and fourth segment of the abdomen.

Syst Parasitol (2016) 93:501-515

Based on the above remarks and the new morphological data in this paper, the diagnosis of *Leposphilus* is amended below:

Leposphilus Hesse, 1866

Diagnosis

Podoplean copepods with elongate body without lateral processes in adult female. Body of adult male body slender, with distinct segmentation. Body in both sexes comprising cephalosome, 5 free pedigerous somites, genital somite and 4-segmented abdomen. Pedigerous somites 2 to 4 in female swollen. Male with pair of dorsal processes on second pedigerous somite. Genital apertures dorsolateral on genital somite in female; ventral in male. Caudal rami tipped with setae. Antennule 2, 3 or 6-segmented in female; 6-segmented in male; typically with aesthetasc on apical segment. Antenna indistinctly 2 or 3-segmented in female and 5-segmented in male, comprising, coxa, basis and 3-segmented endopod. Labrum enclosed within buccal capsule formed by antennae and a posterior cuticular fold. Mandible unsegmented with falcate blade in male, missing in female. Maxillule small, unilobate; sometimes with two apical setae. Maxilla 2 or 3-segmented; with two spines apically; sometimes with seta proximally. Maxilliped 3-segmented, bearing an apical spine; sometimes absent in male. Swimming legs 1 and 2 biramous; 2-segmented rami in male; leg 3 uniramous in male; vestigial in female; leg 4 vestigial, represented by seta(e). Leg segmentation more distinct in males. Inner coxal seta present or absent in legs 1 and 2 of male. Fifth leg absent. Leg 6 near genital apertures, represented by seta(e). Egg-sacs lying along outer margin of pedigerous somites 2 to 5.

Type-species: Leposphilus labrei Hesse, 1866.

Discussion

Of the nine known genera in the Philichthyidae, *Leposphilus* is the second oldest genus and its members resemble the species of *Lerneascus*, mainly in the absence of lateral processes of the body (Boxshall & Halsey, 2004). The females of *Leposphilus* spp., however, can be differentiated from those of *Lerneascus* by possessing a swollen middle

section of the body comprising the second to fourth pedigerous somites, while Lerneascus have a swollen anterior part of the body from the cephalothorax to the genital somite (Kabata, 1979; Boxshall & Halsey, 2004). In this study, we describe the female and male of L. vogti n. sp. from the interorbital canals of Micropogonias furnieri. Before this study, Alves & Luque (2001) examined 100 specimens of M. furnieri collected in the same locality (Sepetiba Bay) and recorded Colobomatus sp. from the gills of one specimen. We could make a comparison with the specimens of Colobomatus sp. loaned from the National Museum of Rio de Janeiro and found that this material and the specimens of L. vogti n. sp. are identical. Probably, Alves & Luque (2001) did not observe the absence of lateral processes in the female body and identified their specimens as *Colobomatus* sp. Moreover, the site of infection reported as the gills by Alves & Luque (2001) needs confirmation because philichthyid copepods are internal parasites of subcutaneous spaces (see Boxshall & Halsey, 2004) and all the specimens in this study were found in the host's interorbital canals.

Records of philichthyids from American waters are scarce and most records are dispersed in the literature. Currently, 18 species (including *L. vogti* n. sp.) belonging to five of the nine philichthyid genera, i.e. *Colobomatus* (ten spp.), *Sarcotaces* (four spp.), *Procolobomatus* (two spp.) and *Philichthys* (one sp.), have been reported in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans off the American continent (Table 1), accounting for 20% of the global diversity of this family. Similar results

Table 1 Records of species of the Philichthyidae Hesse, 1877 parasitic in marine fish in American waters

Parasite species	Host species (Family)	Site in host	Distribution	Reference
Colobomatus belizensis Cressey & Schotte, 1983	Haemulon aurolineatum Cuvier (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Brazil (unspecified); USA (Florida)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Luque & Tavares (2007); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon carbonarium Poey (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Dominica (unspecified); Panama (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon chrysargyreum Günther (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Bahamas (Abaco); Barbados (unspecified); USA (Key West, Florida); Saint Lucia (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon macrostomum Günther (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Panama (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon melanurum (Linnaeus) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Bahamas (West Indies) and Guyana (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon parra (Desmarest) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Panama (Toro Point)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon plumierii (Lacépède) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Cuba (Havana); Colombia (Caribbean); Mexico (Cozumel); USA (Virgin Islands)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Morales- Serna et al. (2012); Paschoal et al. (2015); Varela & Lalana (2015)
	<i>Haemulon sciurus</i> (Shaw) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Bahamas (West Indies); Belize (Carrie Bow Cay); Cuba (Havana); Mexico (Cozumel, Yucatan); USA (Florida, Dry Tortugas)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Morales- Serna et al. (2012); Paschoal et al. (2015); Varela & Lalana (2015)
	Haemulon steindachneri (Jordan & Gilbert) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Brazil (Rio de Janeiro); Colombia (Caribbean)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Luque & Takemoto (1996); Paschoal et al. (2015)

Deringer

Table 1 continued

Parasite species	Host species (Family)	Site in host	Distribution	Reference
C. belizensis	Orthopristis chrysoptera (Linnaeus) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	USA (Louisiana, North Carolina)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Orthopristis ruber (Cuvier) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Guyana (unspecified); Venezuela (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
C. caribbei Cressey & Schotte, 1983	Anisotremus surinamensis (Bloch) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Panama (unspecified); USA (Florida); Venezuela (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
C. embiotocae Nobel, Collard & Wilkes, 1969	Amphistichus argenteus Agassiz (Embiotocidae)	Under skin covering bony ridges of head, and cephalic sensory canal system	USA (Point Conception, Gaviota, Malibu)	Noble et al. (1969)
	Amphistichus koelzi (Hubbs) (Embiotocidae)	Under skin covering bony ridges of head, and cephalic sensory canal system	USA (Gaviota)	Noble et al. (1969)
	Cymatogaster aggregata Gibbons (Embiotocidae)	In left hyomandibular preopercular suture and mucuos canals	Mexico (Baja California); USA (Goleta, San Diego, Santa Barbara, San Francisco)	Noble et al. (1969); Arai et al. (1988); Morales-Serna et al. (2012)
	Embiotoca lateralis Agassiz (Embiotocidae)	Under skin covering bony ridges of head, and cephalic sensory canal system	USA (Point Conception)	Noble et al. (1969)
	Hyperprosopon argenteum Gibbons; Hypsurus caryi (Agassiz); Micrometrus minimus (Gibbons); Rhacochilus toxotes Agassiz (Embiotocidae)	Under skin covering bony ridges of head and cephalic sensory canal system	USA (Goleta)	Noble et al. (1969)
	Rhacochilus vacca (Girard) (Embiotocidae)	Under skin covering bony ridges of head	USA (Malibu)	Noble et al. (1969)
C. goodingi Cressey & Collette, 1970	Ablennes hians (Valenciennes) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	Cuba (unspecified); Haiti (unspecified); Mexico (Acapulco); Panama (Pacific)	Cressey & Collette (1970); Morales- Serna et al. (2012)
	Strongylura exilis (Girard) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	Panama (Pacific)	Cressey & Collette (1970)
	Strongylura marina (Walbaum) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	USA (Everglades National Park, Clearwater, Alligator Harbour, Panama City, Florida)	Cressey & Collette (1970)
	Strongylura notata (Poey) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	Bahamas (unspecified); Bimini (Caribbean Sea); USA (Sanibel Island, Sarasota, Tampa Bay, Alligator Harbour, Key Biscayne, Florida)	Cressey & Collette (1970)
	Strongylura timucu (Walbaum) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	Curaçao; Haiti; USA (Florida, Virgin Islands)	Cressey & Collette (1970)
	<i>Tylosurus acus</i> (Lacépède) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	Bahamas (unspecified); Mexico (Acapulco, Gulf of Mexico); Panama (Pacific); Peru (Cabo Blanco)	Cressey & Collette (1970); Morales- Serna et al. (2012)
	<i>Tylosurus crocodilus</i> (Péron & Lesueur) (Belonidae)	Cephalic canals	Trinidad and Tobago (Trinidad); USA (Virginia Key, Florida); Venezuela (unspecified)	Cressey & Collette (1970)

D Springer

Content courtesy of Springer Nature, terms of use apply. Rights reserved.

Table 1 continued

Parasite species	Host species (Family)	Site in host	Distribution	Reference
C. miniprocessus Castro Romero & Muñoz, 2011	Anisotremus scapularis (Tschudi) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Chile (Antofagasta)	Castro Romero & Muñoz (2011)
<i>C. quadrifarius</i> Cressey & Schotte, 1983	Anisotremus davidsonii (Steindachner) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Mexico (Sonora)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Morales- Serna et al. (2012); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Anisotremus interruptus (Gill) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Mexico (Nayarit)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Morales- Serna et al. (2012); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Genyatremus dovii (Günther) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Colombia (Pacific); Panama (Pacific)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Genyatremus pacifici (Günther) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	El Salvador (unspecified); Guatemala (unspecified)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon flaviguttatum Gill (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Mexico (Baja California); Panama (Pacific)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Morales- Serna et al. (2012); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Haemulon steindachneri (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Mexico (Colima, Cape St. Lucas)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Morales- Serna et al. (2012); Paschoal et al. (2015)
	Orthopristis chalceus (Günther) (Haemulidae)	Mandibular canals	Ecuador (Galapagos)	Cressey & Schotte (1983); Paschoal et al. (2015)
C. springeri Cressey, 1977	Cryptotrema corallinum Gilbert (Labrisomidae)	Interorbital canals	USA (Santa Catalina Islands, California)	Cressey (1977)
C. stelliferi Pombo, Turra, Paschoal & Luque, 2015	Stellifer brasiliensis (Schultz); Stellifer rastrifer (Jordan); Stellifer stellifer (Bloch) (Sciaenidae)	Mandibular canals	Brazil (Caraguatatuba Bay, State of São Paulo)	Pombo et al. (2015)
C. sudatlanticus Pereira, Timi, Lanfranchi & Luque, 2012	<i>Mullus argentinae</i> Hubbs & Marini (Mullidae)	Pores of cephalic sensory system and nostrils	Argentina (Mar del Plata); Brazil (Florianopolis, State of Santa Catarina; coastal waters off Rio de Janeiro State; and coastal waters off Rio Grande, State of Rio Grande do Sul)	Pereira et al. (2012); Luque et al. (2013)
C. tenuis Castro Romero & Muñoz, 2011	Scartichthys viridis (Valenciennes); Scartichthys gigas (Steindachner) (Bleniidae); Auchenionchus variolosus (Valenciennes) (Labrisomidae)	Mucous canals of opercular bones	Chile (Valparaiso, Antofagasta)	Castro Romero & Muñoz (2011)
Leposphilus vogti n. sp.	Micropogonias furnieri (Desmarest) (Sciaenidae)	Interorbital region	Brazil (Sepetiba Bay, State of Rio de Janeiro)	This study
Philichthys xiphiae Steenstrup, 1862	Xiphias gladius Linnaeus (Xiphiidae)	Cephalic canals	USA (North Atlantic waters)	Ho (1978)
Procolobomatus hemilutjani Castro Romero, 1994	Hemilutjanus macrophthalmos (Tschudi) (Serranidae)	Mandibular mucus ducts	Chile (Antofagasta)	Castro Romero (1994); Muñoz & Olmos (2007)

D Springer

Table 1 continued

Parasite species	Host species (Family)	Site in host	Distribution	Reference
P. kyphosus (Sekerak, 1970)	Sebastes aleutianus (Jordan & Evermann); Sebastes borealis Barsukov (Sebastidae)	Cephalic sensory canals	Canada (British Columbia); USA (Gulf of Alaska)	Sekerak & Arai (1977); Kabata (1988); Moles et al. (1998)
	Sebastes alutus (Gilbert) (Sebastidae)	Cephalic sensory canals system	Canada (Vancouver Island)	Sekerak (1970); Kabata (1988)
P. kyphosus (Sekerak, 1970)	Sebastes babcocki (Thompson); Sebastes brevispinis (Bean); Sebastes caurinus Richardson; Sebastes crameri (Jordan); Sebastes diploproa (Gilbert); Sebastes elongatus Ayres; Sebastes entomelas (Jordan & Gilbert); Sebastes flavidus (Ayres); Sebastes maliger (Jordan & Gilbert); (Sebastidae)	Cephalic sensory canals system	Canada (British Columbia)	Sekerak & Arai (1977); Kabata (1988)
P. kyphosus (Sekerak, 1970)	Sebastes nigrocinctus Ayres; Sebastes pinniger (Gill); Sebastes proriger (Jordan & Gilbert); Sebastes reedi (Westrheim & Tsuyuki); Sebastes ruberrimus (Cramer); Sebastes variegatus Quast; Sebastes zacentrus (Gilbert) (Sebastidae)	Cephalic sensory canals system	Canada (British Columbia)	Sekerak & Arai (1977); Kabata (1988)
Sarcotaces arcticus Collett, 1874	Sebastes aleutianus and Sebastes brevispinis (Sebastidae)	Encysted in body cavity, musculature	Canada (British Columbia)	Sekerak & Arai (1977); Kabata (1988)
	Sebastes auriculatus Girard (Sebastidae)	Body cavity near anus	USA (Tiburon, California)	Moser et al. (1985)
	Sebastes alutus (Sebastidae)	Encysted in body cavity, musculature	Canada (British Columbia)	Liston et al. (1960); Sekerak (1970); Hoskins & Hulstein (1977); Sekerak & Arai (1977); Kabata (1988)
	Sebastes ciliatus (Tilesius) (Sebastidae)	Body cavity near anus	USA (Southeast Alaska)	Moser et al. (1985)
	Sebastes entomelas; Sebastes flavidus; Sebastes melanops Girard (Sebastidae)	Body cavity near anus	USA (Monterey Bay, California)	Moser et al. (1985)
	Sebastes ruberrimus (Sebastidae)	Encysted in body cavity, musculature	Canada (British Columbia)	Kuitunen-Ekbaum (1949); Hoskins et al. (1976); Sekerak & Arai (1977); Kabata (1988)
	Sebastes semicinctus (Gilbert) (Sebastidae)	Body cavity near anus	USA (Los Angeles)	Moser et al. (1985)
	Sebastes serranoides (Eigenmann & Eigenmann) (Sebastidae)	Body cavity near anus	USA (San Luis Obispo, Farron Island, California)	Love et al. (1984); Moser et al. (1985)
S. komaii Shiino, 1953	Sparisoma rubripinne (Valenciennes) (Scaridae)	Abdominal cavity	Cuba (Havana)	Ezpeleta (1974); Varela & Lalana (2015)

D Springer

Content courtesy of Springer Nature, terms of use apply. Rights reserved.

Table 1 continued

Parasite species	Host species (Family)	Site in host	Distribution	Reference	
S. verrucosus Olsson, 1872	Pseudopercis semifasciata (Cuvier) (Pinguipedidae)	Encysted in abdominal region	Argentina (Gulf of San Matias)	González & Tanzola (2000)	
	Halichoeres radiatus (Linnaeus) (Labridae)	Encysted in body sides	Martinique (unspecified)	Dollfus (1928)	
Sarcotaces sp.	Physiculus rastrelliger Gilbert (Pinguipedidae)	Encysted in abdominal region	El Salvador (unspecified)	Moser (1977)	

were found by Madinabeitia & Iwasaki (2013) who tabulated 20 species of philichthyids from Asian waters, accounting for 25% of the total. In fact, many records of philichthyids are from the Mediterranean Sea and Australian waters, but this uneven biogeographical distribution pattern of this family is not probably a reflection of the real diversity of the group and may be explained by sampling effort of researchers because philichthyids are usually overlooked during fish dissections (Boxshall & Halsey, 2004; Madinabeitia & Iwasaki, 2013).

According to Grabda (1991), Colobomatus spp. display a strict host specificity, typically utilising a single host species or rarely two species. Based on the investigations into Colobomatus spp. infecting sillaginids in the Indo-West Pacific, Hayward (1996) disagreed with Grabda's generalisation and suggested that most species of this genus are not specific to host species but to host genera or families. It may be reasonable to consider that copepods of Leposphilus have the same patterns of host specificity because L. labrei have been recorded from four genera of the family Labridae (see Remarks), supporting Hayward's suggestion. The new species described here is the first member of Leposphilus reported from the American Atlantic Ocean and from a host of the Sciaenidae, thus more studies are needed on the taxonomy and host specificity of philichthyids to clarify the magnitude of strictness of host specificity and the real diversity of this family, which yet remains a poorly known group of parasitic copepods and might be more abundant than previously thought.

Acknowledgements We are thankful to Cristiana Serejo and Mônica Moura, curators at the National Museum of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, for lending vouchers of *Colobomatus* sp. from *Micropogonias furnieri*. Thanks are also due to Tailan Moretti Mattos from Laboratório de Ecologia de Peixes of Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, for help with fish sampling. **Funding** Fabiano Paschoal was supported by a fellowship of the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento do Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for a stay at the Graduate School of Biosphere Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan. José L. Luque was partially supported by a research fellowship from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnologico do Brazil (CNPq).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval All applicable institutional, national and international guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

References

- Alves, D. R., & Luque, J. L. (2001). Community ecology of the metazoan parasites of the white croaker *Micropogonias furnieri* (Osteichthyes: Sciaenidae) from the coastal zone of the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, 96*, 145–153.
- Arai, H. P., Kabata, Z., & Noakes, D. (1988). Studies on seasonal changes and latitudinal differences in the metazoan fauna of the shiner perch, *Cymatogaster aggregata*, along the west coast of North America. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 66, 1514–1517.
- Boxshall, G. A., & Halsey, S. H. (2004). An introduction to copepod diversity. London: Ray Society.
- Boxshall, G. A., & Montú, M. A. (1997). Copepods parasitic on Brazilian coastal fishes: a handbook. *Nauplius*, 5, 1–225.
- Bush, J. O., Lafferty, K. D., Lotz, J. M., & Shostak, A. W. (1997). Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology*, 83, 575–583.
- Castro Romero, R. (1994). Procolobomatus hemilutjani gen. et sp. nov. (Copepoda, Philichthyidae) from the Chilean coast, South Pacific. Estudios Oceanológicos, Chile, 13, 13–21.
- Castro Romero, R., & Muñoz, G. (2011). Two new species of *Colobomatus* (Copepoda, Philichthyidae) parasitic on coastal fishes in Chilean waters. *Crustaceana*, 84, 385–400.

513

- Cressey, R. (1977). Two new species of *Colobomatus* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) parasitic on Pacific fish. *Proceedings of* the Biological Society of Washington, 90, 579–583
- Cressey, R. F., & Collette, B. B. (1970). Copepods and needlefishes: a study in host-parasite relationships. *Fishery Bulletin*, 68, 347–432.
- Cressey, R. F., & Schotte, M. (1983). Three new species of *Colobomatus* (Copepoda: Philichthyidae) parasitic in the mandibular canals of haemulid fishes. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, 96, 189–201
- Delamare Deboutteville, C. (1962). Prodrome d'une faune d'Europe des Copépodes parasites de poissons. Les Copépodes Philichthyidae (confrontation des données actuelles). Bulletin de l'Institut Océanographique, Monaco, 1249, 1–44.
- Dollfus, P. (1928). Un hôte nouveau pour Sarcotaces vertucosus Olsson, 1872 (Copepoda Paras.) Bulletin du Muséum National d'histoire Naturelle (Paris), 5, 341–345.
- Ezpeleta, C. R. (1974). Nueva localidad y nuevo hospedero para Sarcotaces komaii Shiino, 1953 (Copepoda: Sarcotacidae). Poeyana, 133, 1–5.
- Froese, R., & Pauly, D. (2015). FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. http://www.fishbase.org, version 08/2015. Accessed 23 November 2015
- Gonzalez, R. A., & Tanzola, R. D. (2000). On the presence of Sarcotaces verrucosus (Copepoda) in the Southwest Atlantic. Acta Parasitologica, 45, 345–349.
- Grabda, J. (1991). *Marine fish parasitology: an outline*. Warsaw: VCH-Polish Scientific Publishers.
- Hayward, C. J. (1996). Copepods of the genus *Colobomatus* (Poecilostomatoida: Philichthyidae) from fishes of the family Sillaginidae (Teleostei: Perciformes). *Journal of Natural History*, 30, 1779–1798.
- Hesse, E. (1866). Observations sur des Crustacés rares ou nouveaux des côtes de France (septième article). Mémoire sur un nouveau Crustacé parasite appartenant à l'ordre des Lernéidiens, formant la Famille des Lernéosiphoniens et la genre Léposphile. Annales des Sciences Naturelles. Série Zoologie et Biologie Animale (Paris), 5, 265–279.
- Ho, J.-S. (1978). Marine flora and fauna of the Northeastern United States. Copepoda: cyclopoids parasitic on fishes. NOAA Technical Report NMFS Circular, 409, 1–12.
- Holmes, J. M. C. (1987). Crustacean records from Lough Hyne (Ine), Co., Cork, Ireland: Part IV. Bulletin of the Irish Biogeographical Society, 10, 99–106.
- Hoskins, G. E., Bell, G. R., & Evelyn, T. P. T. (1976). The occurrence, distribution and significance of infectious diseases and of neoplasms observed in fish in the Pacific region up to the end of 1974. *Environment Canada, Fisheries and Marine Service, 609*, 1–37.
- Hoskinsg, E., & Hulstein, L. P. (1977). Annual report of the diagnostic service of the Fisheries and Marine Service, Pacific Region, for 1975. Fisheries and Marine Service Research and Development Technical Report No. 707
- Humes, A. G., & Gooding, R. U. (1964). A method for studying the external anatomy of copepods. *Crustaceana*, 6, 238–240.
- Kabata, Z. (1979). Parasitic Copepoda of British fishes. London: Ray Society.
- Kabata, Z. (1988). Copepoda and Branchiura, p. 3–127. In L. Margolis and Z. Kabata (Ed.) *Guide to the parasites of*

fishes of Canada. Part II – Crustacea. Canadian Special Publication in Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.

- Kim, I. H. (1995). Three copepod parasites (Crustacea) of the surfperch *Ditrema temmincki* Bleeker (Pisces) from Korea. *Korean Journal of systematic Zoology*, 11, 301–314.
- Kuitunen-Ekbaum, E. (1949). The occurrence of Sarcotaces in Canada. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 7, 505–512.
- Liston, J., Peters, J., & Stern, J. A. (1960). Parasites in summercaught Pacific rockfishes. Washington, D. C.: Special Scientific Report–Fisheries, U. S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Love, M., Shriner, K., & Morris, P. (1984). Parasites of olive rockfish *Sebastes serranoides* (Scorpaenidae) off central California. *Fishery Bulletin*, 82, 530–537.
- Luque, J. L., & Takemoto, R. M. (1996). Parasitic copepods on Orthopristis ruber and Haemulon steindachneri (Osteichthyes: Haemulidae) from the Brazilian littoral, with the description of a new species of Caligus (Siphonostomatoida: Caligidae). Brazilian Journal of Biology, 56, 529–546.
- Luque, J. L., & Tavares, L. E. R. (2007). Checklist of Copepoda associated with fishes from Brazil. Zootaxa, 1579, 1–39.
- Luque, J. L., Vieira, F. M., Takemoto, R. M., Pavanelli, G. C., & Eiras, J. C. (2013). Checklist of Crustacea parasitizing fishes from Brazil. *Check List*, 9, 1449–1470.
- Madinabeitia, I., & Iwasaki, S. (2013). A new species of *Procolobomatus* Castro Romero, 1994 (Copepoda: Philichthyidae) endoparasitic in a deepwater longtail red snapper (Actinopterygii: Lutjanidae) off Ishigaki Island, Japan, with records of philichthyid copepods reported from Asian waters. *Systematic Parasitology*, 84, 217–224.
- Madinabeitia, I., Tang, D., & Nagasawa, K. (2012). Four new species of *Colobomatus* (Copepoda: Philichthyidae) parasitic in the lateral line system of marine finfishes from off the Ryukyu Islands, Japan, with redescriptions of *C. collettei* Cressey, 1977 and *C. pupa* Izawa, 1974. *Journal of Natural History*, 47, 563–580.
- Menezes, N. A., & Figueiredo, J. L. (1980). Manual de Peixes Marinhos do Sudeste do Brasil. IV. Teleostei (3). São Paulo: Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo.
- Moles, A., Heifetz, J., & Love, D. C. (1998). Metazoan parasites as potential markers for selected Gulf of Alaska rockfishes. *Fishery Bulletin*, 96, 912–916.
- Monod, T. (1923). Notes carcinologiques. (Parasites et commensaux). Bulletin de l'Institut Océanographique de Monaco, 427, 19–22.
- Morales-Serna, F. N., Gómez, S., & Pérez-Ponce de León, G. (2012). Parasitic copepods reported from Mexico. *Zootaxa*, 3234, 43–68.
- Moser, M. (1977). Sarcotaces sp. (Copepoda) on the head of Physiculus rastrelliger from El Salvador. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 55, 258–260.
- Moser, M., Haldorson, L., & Field, L. J. (1985). The taxonomic status of *Sarcotaces komaii* and *Sarcotaces vertucosus* (Copepoda: Philichthyidae) and host-parasite relationships between *Sarcotaces arcticus* and *Sebastes* spp. (Pisces). *Journal of Parasitology*, 71, 472–480.
- MPA. (2010). Boletim Estatístico da Pesca e Aquicultura Brasil 2010. Brasília: Ministério da Pesca e Aquicultura.

🖉 Springer

514

- Munoz, G., & Olmos, V. (2007). Revision bibliografica de especies endoparasitas y hospedadoras de sistemas acuaticos de Chile. *Revista de Biología Marina y Oceanografía*, 42, 89–148.
- Noble, E. R., Collard, S. B., & Wilkes, S. N. (1969). A new philichthyid copepod parasitic in the mucous canals of surfperches (Embiotocidae). *Journal of Parasitology*, 55, 435–442.
- Paschoal, F., Cezar, A. D., & Luque, J. L. (2015). Checklist of metazoan associated with grunts (Perciformes, Haemulidae) from the Nearctic and Neotropical regions. *Check List*, 11, 1–23.
- Pereira, A. N., Timi, J. T., Lanfranchi, A. L., & Luque, J. L. (2012). A new species of *Colobomatus* (Copepoda, Phylichthyidae) parasitic on *Mullus argentinae* (Perciformes, Mullidae) from South American Atlantic coast. *Acta Parasitologica*, 57, 323–328.
- Pombo, M., Turra, A., Paschoal, F., & Luque, J. L. (2015). A new species of philichthyid copepod (Crustacea: Cyclopoida) parasitic on *Stellifer* spp. (Perciformes: Sciaenidae) from southeastern Brazil. *Zootaxa*, 3925, 438–444.
- Quidor, A. (1910). Sur l'évolution et les affinités des Philichthyidae. Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Academie des Sciences (Paris), 151, 834–836.
- Quignard, J. P. (1968). Rapport entre la présence d'une 'gibbosité frontale' chez les Labridae (Poissons, Téléostéens) et le parasite *Leposphilus labrei* Hesse, 1866 (Copépode

Philichthyidae). Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée, 43, 51–57.

- Raibaut, A., Combes, C., & Benoit, F. (1998). Analysis of the parasitic copepod species richness among Mediterranean fish. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 15, 185–206.
- Sekerak, A. D. (1970). Parasitic copepods of Sebastodes alums including Chondracanthus triventricosus and Colobomatus kyphosus sp. nov. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, 27, 1943–1960.
- Sekerak, A. D., & Arai, H. P. (1977). Some metazoan parasites of rockfishes of the genus *Sebastes* from the northeastern Pacific Ocean. *Syesis*, 10, 139–144.
- Varella, C., & Lalana, R. (2015). Copépodos (Crustacea: Maxillopoda; Copepoda) parásitos del archipiélago cubano. Solenodon, 12, 9–20.
- Vogt, C. (1877). Recherches cotières. De la famille des Philichthydes et en particulier du Léposphile des Labres (*Leposphilus labrei* Hesse). Première section. De la famille des Lernaeopodides. Archives de Zoologie expérimentale et générale, 6, 385–456.
- West, G. A. (1992). Eleven new *Colobomatus* species (Copepoda: Philichthyidae) from marine fishes. *Systematic Parasitology*, 23, 81–133.
- Yamaguti, S. (1963). *Parasitic Copepoda and Branchiura of fishes*. Interscience Publishers, New York, London & Sydney.

Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature"). Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com