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ABSTRACT: Data on the morphology and variability of the poorly known cyclopoid Cyclops ladakanus from the mountain lakes Karakul (3950 m above sea level) and Shorkul (3782 m above sea level) in the Pamirs (Tajikistan) are presented. The synonymy of Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936 and Cyclops pamirensis Gurvich, 1959 is confirmed.
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In 1958, the professor of Tashkent State University V. F. Gurvich (1958) described a new species of cyclopoid Cyclops pamirensis from the mountain Lake Karakul in the Pamirs. It is the only paper on this species. Later, U. Einsle (1992) proposed that C. pamirensis is a junior synonym of C. ladakanus Kiefer, 1936, which was described from mountain lakes in Ladakh, Himalayas. As C. ladakanus was described from a restricted area (Ladakh in the Hymalaya), its degree of variation and relationship to other congeners are unknown (Einsle, 1996).

Determination of the taxonomic position of C. pamirensis is difficult, because the description of the species given by V.F. Gurvich is too laconic and unsatisfactory according to modern standards in the taxonomy of Cyclopidae. No type specimens of C. pamirensis exist. While studying materials of V.F. Gurvich's collection, we found C. pamirensis in samples from Lake Karakul, the type locality, and from another Lake Shorkul in the Pamirs, which enabled us to study the morphology and variability of this poorly known cyclopoid in more detail.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material examined:

- Lake Karakul, Pamirs, Tajikistan. 06.09.1951. Coll. V.F. Gurvich. Many females and males.
- Lake Shorkul, Pamirs, Tajikistan. 23.07.1959. Coll. V.F. Gurvich. Many females and males.
All drawings have been made using a drawing tube.
Designations of furcal setae are given as follows: Ti, medialmost apical furcal seta; Te, lateralmost apical furcal seta; Tme, middle lateral apical
$\qquad$
furcal seta; Tmi, middle medial apical furcal seta; Sd, dorsal furcal seta. Pereopods (legs) 1-5 are designated as P1-P5, endopodite as enp.


## RESULTS

## Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936

Female. Body length $1625-2000 ~ \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Body widest at cephalothorax (Fig. 1). Lateral sides of 5 th thoracic somite without ornamentation. Genital double-somite L/W = 0.9 (Figs 2). Posterior margin of anal somite bearing spinules on dorsal, ventral and lateral sides (Figs. 3, 4). Anal operculum moderately developed, convex (Fig. 4).

Furcal rami: 5.5-7.1 times as long as wide, inner surface with hairs, dorsal surface with longitudinal furrows (Figs. 4). Insertions of Me and Te furcal setae provided with tiny spinules. Plumage of Tmi and Tme furcal setae homogenous. Lateral seta situated in posterior half, at about $70 \%$ of total length of ramus. Ti slightly shorter than caudal ramus, and 1.5-2.0 longer than Te and Sd. Tips of Tme and Tmi curved.

Labrum with 20 central and 2 of larger lateral teeth (Fig. 5).
Antennules (Fig. 6): 17-segmented, reaching caudal margin of second somite of cephalothorax, armored as follows (segment number in Roman numerals, setal number in Arabic numerals, aesth $=$ aesthetasc, $\mathrm{sp}=$ spine):

I (8) - II (4) - III (2) - IV (6) - V (4) - VI (1 + sp) - VII (2) - VIII (1) - IX (1) - X (o) - XI (1) - XII (1 + aesth) - XIII (o) - XIV (1) - XV (2) XVI ( $2+$ aesth ) XVII ( $7+$ aesth). Aesthetasc on segment XII is relatively long, almost reaching distal margin of segment XIV.

Antenna (Figs. 7): basis bearing 3 setae, inner seta much longer than outer setae. Its caudal side with 2 longitudinal rows of long spinules and oblique field of short spinules (Figs. 8). Frontal side with one short oblique row of spinules (Figs. 9). Second endopodite bearing 9 setae, last segment with 7 setae.

Mandible typical for the genus (Fig. 10). Mandibular palp with 2 long and 1 short setae.

Maxillule: The segmentation and setation follow the typical cyclopine pattern; surface of palp with a few tiny spinules (Figs. 11-12).

Maxilla as in Fig. 13, typical for the genus.
Maxilliped: consists of syncoxopodite, basipodite, and two-segmented endopodite, with 3, 2, 1, and 3 setae, respectively. Scale-like spinules on caudal surface of basipodite arranged in three groups (Fig. 14).

Natatorial legs with 3 -segmented rami. Spine formula 2.4.3.3, setae formula $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5$ (Figs. 15-18). Caudal surface of coxopodite $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ with 4 rows of spinules (Fig. 18). Basis of P1 with long robust spine reaching beyond middle of Pienp2 and a slightly curved row of long spinules near insertion of endopodite frontally (Fig. 15). Inner margin of basis of P1 bearing setules, inner margins of P2-P4 smooth. Intercoxal plates of P2 and P3 with 2 rows of setules, plate of P1 mostly smooth, but about $30 \%$ of
specimens studied had 1 or 2 (more rarely) rows of setules. Intercoxal plates of P4 with 2 short semicircular rows of setules. Intercoxal plates of P1-P4 with broadly rounded prominences on distal margin. Spines of P1 exopodite with thin flexible ends (Fig. 15). P4enp3 L/W = 2.7-4.2. Inner terminal spine o.62-0.86 as long as the article and 1.4-2.0 as long as lateral spine (Fig. 18).

P5 typical for the genus. Lateral spine is situated at the middle of apical segment and hardly reaches its distal end (Fig. 19).

Data on variability are presented in Table 1.
Male. Body length $1375-1650 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Morphology of furcal ramus, legs and mouthparts similar to that of female. However, in contrast to female, second endopodite of male antenna bearing 8 setae. Apical spines of P4enp3 of male slightly longer than in female (Fig. 20). Outer (longest) seta of P6 about 1.5 times as long as middle seta and 2.5-3 times as long as inner spine; insertion of the spine adorned with spinules (Fig. 21).

Ecology. Lake Karakul ( $39005^{\prime}$ N, $73032^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) is situated at altitude 3950 m and is the largest lake in Pamir with an area of 370 km 2 . The maximum depth is 238 m . Transparency of water $5-7 \mathrm{~m}$. Summer surface temperature $11-13$ oC. Mineral content of water from $9618 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ (surface) to $10580 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ (deeper 50 m ).

Lake Shorkul ( $38027^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 74008^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) is situated at altitude 3782 m . Its area is 15 km 2 , mean depth 2 m , maximum depth $6 \mathrm{~m} ; 75 \%$ of its area is covered with Potamogeton sp. Mineral content of water $1269-1287 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.

## DISCUSSION

Lakes Shorkul and Karakul are situated about 400-500 km north of Ladakh, the terra typica for Cyclops ladakanus. It was reported also from Chinese Tibet (Shen et al., 1979) and Iran (Loffler, 1961). C. ladakanus is a mountain species: F. Kiefer (1939) reported it from lakes in Kashmir situated at 4241-5217 m above sea level, and C. J. Shen and co-authors (Shen et al., 1979) reported the species in lakes at altitudes up to 4350 m . C. ladakanus can apparently live in fresh, as well as in brackish waterbodies (Kiefer, 1939).

The first description of C. ladakanus (Kiefer, 1936) was very short; later F. Kiefer (1939) presented more complete data on its morphology as well as biometrics. The morphology of specimens from Pamirs readily corresponds to the description of C. ladakanus from the Himalayas (Kiefer, 1939; Einsle, 1992), confirming the opinion of U. Einsle (1992) that C. pamirensis Gurvich, 1958 is conspecific with C. ladakanus Kiefer, 1936.

Recently, M. Hołyńska and H.-U. Dahms (2004) carried out a largescale study on the comparative morphology of cephalothoracic appendages of representatives of the genus Cyclops O. F. Müller, 1776. The antenna endopodite 2 of the female of C. ladakanus is armed with nine setae as in C. furcifer Claus, 1857, C. heberti Einsle, 1996, C. singularis Einsle, 1996, C. scutifer Sars, 1863, C. canadensis Einsle, 1988,
$\qquad$
C. columbianus Lindberg, 1956, C. strenuus Fischer, 1851, C. abyssorum Sars, 1863 and C. kolensis Lilljeborg, 1901 (Hołyńska and Dahms, 2004). Cyclops ladakanus belongs to the group of congeners with a long aesthetasc on antennule segment XII which unites C. strenuus, C. abyssorum, C. furcifer, C. kolensis, and C. columbianus (Hołyńska and Dahms, 2004). Like all these species C. ladakanus, is pelagic cyclopoid.

In differ from all previously studied congeners (Hołyńska and Dahms, 2004), C. ladakanus has a slightly curved row of long frontal spinules near the insertion of the endopodite P1, rather than between the insertions of the exopodite and endopodite.

There is some variability in the ornamentation of the intercoxal plates of P 1 in Cyclops ladakanus. Variability in the ornamentation of the intercoxal plates of the swimming legs was reported previously for Cyclops strenuus, C. abyssorum, C. vicinus, C. furcifer (Einsle, 1985) and Acanthocyclops trajani (Mirabdullayev and Defaye, 2002).
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Table 1. Measurements of Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936. Data in parenthesis are derived from F. Kiefer's drawings.

|  | Pamirs |  |  |  | Tibet (Kiefer, 1939) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lake Karakul |  | Lake Shorkul |  | Six localities in Ladakh |  |  |
|  | x |  | min-max | x | min-max | x | min-max |
| Female: | $\mathrm{N}=10$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=10$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=16$ |  |  |
| Body length, $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 1722 | $1625-1850$ | 1810 | $1625-2000$ | 1626 | $1110-2280$ |  |
| Caudal rami L/W | 6.52 | $6.20-7.15$ | 6.11 | $5.55-6.85$ | 5.85 | $5.00-6.66$ |  |
| Ti/caudal rami L | 0.90 | $0.78-1.00$ | 0.97 | $0.84-1.00$ | - | $(0.94)$ |  |
| Ti/Te | 1.80 | $1.68-2.00$ | 1.66 | $1.50-1.80$ | 1.60 | $1.36-1.82$ |  |
| Ti/Sd | 1.58 | $1.35-1.74$ | 1.90 | $1.73-2.00$ | - | $(2.00)$ |  |
| P4enp3 L/W | 3.73 | $3.30-4.20$ | 2.76 | $2.66-2.90$ | 3.56 | $2.77-3.65$ |  |
| P4enp3 inner spine/L P4enp3 | 0.79 | $0.75-0.86$ | 0.69 | $0.62-0.75$ | 0.70 | $0.60-0.88$ |  |
| P4enp3 inner spine/outer <br> spine | 1.78 | $1.60-2.00$ | 1.54 | $1.42-1.73$ | 1.77 | $1.43-2.14$ |  |
| Male: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body length, $\mu \mathrm{mm}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}=5$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=5$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=5$ |  |
| Caudal rami L/W | 1555 | $1525-1600$ | 1535 | $1375-1650$ | 1324 | $1320-1620$ |  |
| P4enp3 L/W | 6.18 | $6.00-6.40$ | 5.67 | $5.20-6.15$ | $5 \cdot 52$ | $5.26-5.90$ |  |
| P4enp3 inner spine/L P4enp3 | 1.00 | $0.94-1.04$ | 1.02 | $0.97-1.10$ | 0.99 | $0.88-1.04$ |  |
| P4enp3 inner spine/outer | 1.67 | $1.53-1.85$ | 1.47 | $1.41-1.60$ | 1.56 | $1.50-1.66$ |  |
| spine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6 dorsal seta/middle seta | 1.50 | $1.30-1.67$ | 153 | $1.36-1.66$ | 1.69 | $1.45-1.80$ |  |
| P6 middle seta/ventral spine | 1.91 | $1.80-2.00$ | 149 | $1.36-1.57$ | 1.45 | $1.34-1.61$ |  |

$\qquad$


Figs. 1-6. Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936, female. 1. habitus; 2. pediger 5 and genital somite; 3. furcal ramus ventrally; 4. furcal ramus dorsally; 5. labrum; 6. antennule. Scales: (1) $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $(2,6) 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (3-5) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 7-14. Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936, female. 7. antenna, frontal side; 8. basipodite of antenna, caudal side; 9. basipodite of antenna, frontal side; 10. mandible; 11. maxillula; 12. palp of maxillula; 13. maxilla; 14. maxilliped. Scales: (7) $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (8, 9, 11, 13) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (10, 12) $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
$\qquad$


Figs. 15-17. Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936, female. 15. P1; 16. P2; 17. P3. Scale: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 18-21. Cyclops ladakanus Kiefer, 1936. 18. P4 of female; 19. P5 of female; 20. endopodite 3 of P4 of male; 21. P6 of male. Scales: $(18,21) 50 \mu \mathrm{~m},(19,20) 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

