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#### Abstract

Résumé Les Harpacticoïdes méiobenthiques (Crustacea, Copepoda) du Plateau continental de la Caroline du Nord. L'auteur décrit les Copépodes Harpacticoides récoltés sur le plateau continental de la Caroline du Nord (jusqu'à 100 m de profondeur). Ils ont été pêchés au cours de l'étude du méiobenthos du plateau continental, du talus continental et de l'étage bathyal, entre la Caroline du Nord et les Bermudes. 111 espèces ont été trouvées dont 11 nouvelles et une sous-espèce. Deux genres nouveaux sont également décrits. Les formes nouvelles sont les suivantes : Ellucana secunda n. sp., Danielssenia eastwardae n. sp., Melima bisetosa n. sp., Schizopera carolinensis n. sp., S. anomala n. sp., Fearia prima n. gen., n. sp., Tetragoniceps bookhouti n. sp., Phyllopodopsyllus parafurciger carolinensis n. ssp., Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp. et Tryphoema riedli n . sp.

Ellucana est élevée au rang de genre en y incluant E. longicauda Sewell et E. secunda n. sp. Les deux nouveaux genres, Fearia et Cylinula semblent intermédiaires entre les genres antérieurement connus. Rhizothrix spinosa, R. pubescens Por et $R$. quadriseta Wells pourraient être également considérés comme des formes intermédiaires entre Rhizothrix et Tryphoema.


## Introduction

Sharpe (1910), Wilson (1932a, 1932b), Pennak (1942a, 1942b) and Yeatman (1963) report on the free-living harpacticoid copepods of the United States east coast. Except for the work of these four authors, the eastern U.S. marine harpacticoid fauna has been neglected. This paper deals with specimens collected on the North Carolina shelf (to 100 m ) during a shelf, slope and deep sea study of the meiobenthos between North Carolina and Bermuda. I have reported on the Bermudian harpacticoids elsewhere (Coull, 1969a, 1969b, 1970a; Coull and Herman, 1970) as well as a North Carolinian estuarine form (Coull and Lindgren, 1969).

[^0]Sediment samples containing the forms listed herewith were collected from the R/V EASTWARD (Duke University) and S.S. ADVANCE II (Cape Fear Technical Institute), with a Higgins meiobenthic sled at the locations illustrated (Fig. I) and listed (Table 1).

Table 1
List of stations, date of collection, location, depth and sediment median grain
size.

| Station |  | Date | Position | Depth (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sediment } \\ & \text { Imedian grain } \end{aligned}$ $\text { size }-\mu)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | Feb. 1969 | $33^{\circ} 38.0{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}$ | 20 | 910 |
| 2 | 3 | Feb. 1969 | $77{ }^{\circ} 38.5{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ $33^{\circ} 53.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | 31 | 762 |
|  |  |  | $77^{\circ} 16.0$, W |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | Feb. 1969 | $34^{\circ} 35.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 14 |  |
| 4 | 27 | Nov. 1968 | $34^{\circ} 28.6^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}$ | 21 | 385 |
| 5 | 4 | Feb. 1969 | 76 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{4 3 . 5}{ }^{\text {, }}$, W | 31 |  |
|  |  |  | $76^{\circ} 34.5$, W |  |  |
| 6 | 27 | Nov. 1968 | $34^{\circ} 23.7$ ' N | 17 |  |
| 7 | 20 | Apr. 1969 |  | 50 | 365 |
|  |  |  | $76^{\circ} 10.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 50 |  |
| 8 | 20 | Apr. 1969 | $34^{\circ} 12.7$, ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 90 | 1810 |
| 9 | 27 | Nov. 1968 | $34^{\circ} 25.0$, N | 31 |  |
|  |  |  | $76^{\circ} 13.0$, W |  |  |
| 10 | 25 | Nov. 1968 |  | 30 | 340 |
| 11 | 27 | Nov. 1968 | $34^{\circ} 30.2$, N | 60 | 405 |
| 12 | 4 | Feb. 1969 |  | 20 | 330 |
|  |  |  | $76^{\circ} 25.1$, W |  |  |
| 13 | 25 | Nov. 1968 | $34^{\circ} 45.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 41 | 450 |
| 14 | 25 | Nov. 1968 | $75^{\circ} 45.0$ $34^{\circ} 49.0$ | 43 | 465 |
|  |  |  | $75^{\circ} 40.0$, W |  |  |
| 15 | 26 | Nov. 1968 | $3^{34^{\circ}} 56.00^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 100 | 1530 |
| 16 | 24 | Apr. 1969 | $36^{\circ} 00.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 19 | 365 |
| 17 | 24 | Apr. 1969 | $75^{\circ} 20.0$ $36^{\circ} 00.0$ | 50 | 240 |
|  |  |  | $74^{\circ} 57.3$, W |  |  |
| 18 | 24 | Apr. 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & 35^{\circ} 508.5,{ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{N} \\ & 74^{\circ} 47.4^{\prime}, \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 470 |

A total of 111 species were found of which 11 are new species and one a new sub-species. Two new genera are also described. The species list below indicates where each form was found and the total number identified. Representatives of each new species and most other species have been deposited at the United States National Museum and, when adequate numbers were available, in the Duke University Marine Laboratory Reference collection.

The nomenclature, phylogeny and descriptive terminology used throughout are adopted from Lang (1948, 1965). All figures have been prepared with the aid of a camera lucida. The abbreviations used throughout the paper are: $\mathrm{A}_{1}=$ antennule, $\mathrm{A}_{2}=$ antenna, $\mathrm{Md}=$ mandible, $\mathrm{Mx} .=$ maxilla, Mxl. $=$ maxillula, Mxp. = maxillipede, $\mathrm{P}_{1}-\mathrm{P}_{5}=\operatorname{leg} 1-\operatorname{leg} 5$. All measurements refer to the length of the copepod not including the rostrum, antennules and caudal rami.


Fig. I
Area of study with station locations.

## DESCRIPTIONS OF NEW SPECIES

Family CANUELLIDAE Lang 1948, Por 1967
Genus Ellucana Sewell 1940
syn. Canuella (Ellucana) Sewell 1940

Ellucana secunda n. sp. (Fig. II-III)
Material: 12 ㅇㅇ, 8 ㅅ $\hat{\text { o }}$. Holotype 1 ㅇ, U.S.N.M. No. 128161 ; paratypes 6 우, 2 ô $\hat{\delta}$, U.S.N.M. No. 128162.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 9, 10, 13 in this paper). I have also collected 5 females in Barbados, W.I. (Coull, 1970b).

Description
Female: based on ovigerous female, 0.93 mm . Body shape of typical family form. First leg bearing segment fused with the cepha-

## Species list

* designates new species

| Species | Stations | Total \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longipediidae |  |  |
| Longipedia helgolandica (Klie) | 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 | 129 |
| Canuellidae |  |  |
| *Ellucana secunda n. sp. | 9, 10, 13 | 20 |
| Ectinosomidae |  |  |
| Ectinosoma tenuipes T. \& A. Scott | 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16 | 55 |
| E. normani Boeck .............. |  | 1 |
| Halectinosoma kunzi Lang | 6, 10 | 6 |
| H. neglectum (Sars) | $\begin{gathered} 4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,13 \\ 14,17,18 \end{gathered}$ | , 79 |
| H. chrystalli (T. Scott) |  | 1 |
| H. distinctum (Sars) . | 10 | 8 |
| H. angulifrons (Sars) | 18 , 17 | 1 |
| H. proximum (Sars) | ${ }_{10}^{16,17,18}$ | 1 |
| H. sarsi (Boeck) .... | 3, 9, 10, 11 | 44 |
| H. gothiceps (Giesbrecht) |  | 1 |
| $\boldsymbol{H}$. finmarchicum (T. Scott) | 1 | 1 |
| Bradya (B.) congenera Sars | ${ }_{9}^{7}, 15,16$ | 9 17 |
| B. (B.) proxima T. Scott |  | 11 |
| B. (P.) dilatata Sars .... | 17 | 2 |
| Pseudobradya exilis Sars | 13 | 1 |
| P. similis (T. \& \& A. Scott) | ${ }^{14}$ | 2 |
| P. pulchella Sars ... | 16 | 2 |
| Hastigerella psammae (Noodt) | 4, 12, 14 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Tetanopsis sp. . . . . . . . . . . |  | 1 |
| Tachidiidae |  |  |
| Euterpina acutifrons (Dana) | 10, 13, 14 | 4 |
| *Danielssenia eastwardae D. stefanssoni Willey? |  |  |
| D. stefanssoni Willey ? Thompsonula curticauda (Wilson) | 10 $3,5,17$ | 67 |
| Harpacticidae |  |  |
| Harpacticus tenellus Sars |  | 1 |
| Zausodes arenicolus Wilson | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16 | 1 |
| Zaus sp. |  |  |
| Tisbidae |  |  |
| Peltidiidae |  |  |
| Peltidium ovale Thompson \& A. Scott | 2, 11, 14 | 5 |
| Paraltheutha villosa (Brady) |  | 1 |
| Thalestridae |  |  |
| Diarthrodes aegideus (Brian) | 1, $2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12$, |  |
| D. pygmaeus (T. \& A. Scott) |  | 788 3 |
| Rhynchothalestris rufocincta (Brady) | 2, 4, 6, 9, 13, 14 | 17 |
| Parastenheliidae |  |  |
| Parastenhelia spinosa (Fisher) | 13, 14 | 38 |
| Diosaccidae |  |  |
| Stenhelia (St.) divergens Nicholls | 16 | 2 |
| S. (D.) arenicola Wilson ........ | 2, 3 | 3 |
| S. (D.) cornuta Lang |  | 3 130 |
| S. (D.) normani polluta Monard ... | ${ }_{17}^{2,3,5,9,11, ~ 12, ~} 14$ | 130 3 |
| *Melima bisetosa n. sp. ......... | 2, 9, 11, 13, 14 | 31 |
| Diosaccus valens (Gurney) |  | 1 |
| Robertsoni knoxi (Thompson \& A. Scott | 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14 | 57 |
| Pseudomesochra media (Sars) ......... |  | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ |
|  | 2, 15 ${ }_{\text {9 }}$ 11, 13, 14 | 3 126 |
| A. varians (Norman \& T. Scott) A. tenellus Sars ........... | ${ }_{13}{ }^{\text {a }}$ 11, 13, 14 | 126 37 |
| A. caudaespinosus Brian ............... . | 2, 12 | 23 |


| Species | Stations | Total \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. tenuiremis (Brady \& Robertson | 18 | 4 |
| Paramphiascopsis soyeri (Lang) ... | 7, 11 | 5 |
|  |  | 9 |
| Robertgurneya rostrata (Gurney) | $1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,12 \text {, }$ |  |
| Tuphlamphiascus lamellifer (Sars) | 8,10, | 6 |
| Ryncholagena pestai (Monard) ... | 1, 2, 12, 13, 14 | 88 |
| Amphiascoides subdebilis (Willey) |  | 3 |
| Paramphiascella robinsoni (A. Scott) | 1, 15 | 7 |
| Haloschizopera junodi (Monard) | 9, 10, 11, 13, 15 | 67 |
| H. bulbifer (Sars) ....... | 18 , 10,18 | 8 |
| Schizopera sp. | 18 | 2 |
| ${ }^{*}$ S. carolinensis n. sp. | 4, 7, 13, 14, 15 | 156 |
| ${ }^{*}$ S. anomala n. sp. | 1, 2, 11, 12, 14 | 23 |
| Ameiridae |  |  |
| Ameira parvula (Claus) | 1, 2, 9, 14 | 25 |
| A. divagans Nicholls ... |  | 6 |
| Ameiropsis mixta Sars . . . . . . . . . . . . | ${ }^{11} 10$ |  |
| Psyllocamptus minutus minutus (Sars) | 1, 10, 14 | 10 |
| Paramesochridae |  |  |
| Paramesochra heloglandica Kunz | 7, 12, 14, 18 | 46 |
| Scottopsyllus minor (T. \& A. Scott) |  | 4 |
| Apodopsyllus africanus Kunz ${ }^{\text {a }}$.... | 12, 14 | 4 |
| Tetragonicipitidae |  |  |
| *Fearia prima n. g., n. sp. |  | 9 |
| *Tetragoniceps bookhouti n . sp. | 8, 13, 14 | 21 |
| $\underset{* P}{\text { Phyllopodopsyllus bradyi (T. Scott) }}$ |  | 8 |
| Canthocamptidae |  |  |
| Mesochra pygmaea (Claus) | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,1113,$ | , 208 |
| Orthopsyllus linearis (Claus) | 13, 14 , | 12 |
| Cylindropsyllidae |  |  |
| *Cylinula proxima n. g., n. sp. | 12, 13, 14 | 18 |
| Evansula incerta (T. Scott) | 1, 12 | 3 |
| Stenocaris kliei Kunz ... | 1, 7, 14 | 4 |
|  | 1, $13,4,7,13,14,18$ |  |
| L. abberans Chappuis ......... | $1,3,4,7,13,14,18$ |  |
| L. laticaudatus laticaudatus Nicholls | 1 | 1 |
| Leptopontia curvicauda T. Scott | 18 | 1 |
| Arenopontia stygia Noodt | 18 |  |
| A. arenardia (Pennak) | 1 | 1 |
| Cletodidae |  |  |
| *Cletodes pseudodissimilis n . sp. | 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11 | 27 |
| C. tenuips T. Scott ......... | $4,7,10,15$ | 14 |
| C. longicaudatus (Boeck) | 2, 5, 8, 11 | 17 |
| C. longifurca Lang .... |  | 1 |
| C. limicola limicola Brady ...... | 14 10 | 3 |
| E. curvirostre (T. Scott) ....... | 14 | 1 |
| E. propinquum (Brady) | $4,5,7,9,10,13$ | 60 |
| *Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp. | 1, 2, 3, 5, 13, 14 | 36 |
| R. scotti Lang |  | 1 |
| R. gracilis (T. Scott) | 12, 14 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| *Tryphoema riedli n. sp. Eurycletodes (O.) similis (T. Scott) | 74 | 5 1 |
| Laophontidae |  |  |
| Laophonte cornuta Philippi | 1, 2, 7, 13, 14, 16 |  |
| L. adduensis Sewell ....... |  | 3 |
| Paralaophonte congenera congenera (Sars) | 8, 11 | 7 |
| P. brevirostris fissirostris (Willey) Esola longiremis (T. Scott) | 14, 9, 10, 13, 14 | 87 |
| Normanella minuta (Boeck) |  | 1 |
| N. mucronata typica Sars ............ | 1, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 14 | 29 |

lothorax. The body devoid of ornamentation. Caudal rami (Fig. II, 2) widely divergent, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as wide at base. Two principal terminal setae; one dorsal and one lateral seta insert at $3 / 4$ the length.


Fig. II
Ellucana secunda n. sp. +
2, Caudal ramus; 3, rostrum and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$; 4, $\mathrm{A}_{2}$; 5, Md.; 6, Mxl.; 7, Mx.; 8, Mxp.

Rostrum large, broadly rounded proximally and with two sensilla setae on either side of tip (Fig. II, 3).
$A_{1}$ (Fig. II, 3), 4 (indistinctly 5)-segmented with many setae. Aesthetasc on segment 2(3).
$\mathbf{A}_{2}$ (Fig. II, 4), 3-segmented, with basis. Expopod, 7-segmented; segment 2 and 4 with inner setae, terminal segment with 4 setae.
Md. (Fig. II, 5), complex cutting edge, many accessory spines and


Fig. III -
Ellucana secunda n. sp.
o: 9, $P_{1} ; 10, P_{2} ; 11, P_{3} ; 12, P_{4} ; 13, P_{5} ; 14$, genital field.
$\hat{o}: 15, A_{1} ; 16$, genital field.
setae. Coxa-basis with 3 setae. Endopod 2-segmented. First segment with 2 setae, terminal segment with 8 . Exopod poorly 3 -segmented, terminally with 3 setae.
Mxl. (Fig. II, 6), pre-coxal arthrite with strong spines. Coxa-basis terminally with 2 setae, laterally with 3 . Endopod 3 -segmented: first segment the largest with 7 setae, second segment smaller with 5 setae, third segment smallest with 5 setae. Exopod, one-segmented with 9 setae.
Mx. (Fig. II, 7), syncoxa with 3 endites. The proximal most bifid: one part with 4 setae, the other with 2 ; middle one with 4 setae; distal one terminally with 3 plumose setae. Basis terminally with a claw, a setose seta and a genticulate seta. Endopod one segmented with 6 terminal setae.

Mxp. (Fig. II, 8), coxa with 4 plumose spines and one plumose seta. Basis with a spine and 2 setae. One-segmented endopod with one surface and 8 terminal setae.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. III, 9), both rami 3-segmented. Endopod longer than exopod. Exopod reaching only to middle of second endopodite segment. Endopod terminally with 6 setae and spines in all, exopod terminally with 7.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. III, 10), both rami 3-segmented. Exopod shorter than endopod; last exopod segment as long as first two combined. First endopod segment very short. Outer distal corner of second segment drawn out as a broad, blunt projection. No inner seta on the first endopod segment.
$P_{3}$ (Fig. III, 11), both rami 3-segmented. Setation as figured.
$\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (Fig. III, 12), endopod 2-segmented, exopod 3-segmented. Setation as figured and as listed below:

Setal Formula - Ellucana secunda n. sp.

|  | Exp. | End. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 0.1 .7 | 1.1 .6 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 0.1 .6 | 0.1 .5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | 0.1 .4 | 1.1 .4 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 0.0 .4 | -.1 .3 |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. III, 13), confluent baseoendopodite and exopod forming a single plate, with 4 setae.

Genital field (Fig. III, 14), a single non-plumose seta laterally, very much like that of Canuellina femur Por (1967).

Male: description based on mature male 0.84 mm .
Only $A_{1}$ and genital field show signs of sexual dimorphism.
$A_{1}$ (Fig. III, 15), 4 (indistinctly 5 )-segmented and haplocer. Double aesthetasc on segment 3 (4).

Genital field (Fig. III, 16), quite complex, with 3 strong setae distally. The innermost large and scimitar-shaped, no setae are plumose.

## Etymology

The specific name, secunda, refers to the species described here as the second (or following, lat.) species in the genus.

## Remarks

Ellucana has been a problematic genus in any attempt to satisfactorily elucidate the complicated systematics of the Canuellidae. Several errors appear in the literature regarding Sewell's (1940) supposed sub-genus. Bodin (1967, p. 8) states that Lang (1948) rejected the sub-genus Canuella (Ellucana) and therefore C. (Ellucana) longicauda Sewell is simply Canuella longicauda. Lang (1948), however, does not refer to the species nor the sub-genus nor does he reference Sewell's paper and therefore could not have rejected Sewell's sub-genus. Por (1967, Table 3) lists the setal formula of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ endopod of Ellucana longicauda Sewell as -.0.3; examination of Sewell's text-Fig. 3H (1940, p. 137) illustrates that the formula is -.1.3. Por (1967) also misspells the genus in Table 3 and on p. 104.

Por's (1967) revision of the Canuellidae indicates that the one unifying feature of the genus Canuella is the "individualized first thoracic segment." Ellucana, therefore, cannot be a sub-genus of Canuella, as the first leg-bearing segment in Ellucana is fused to the cephalothorax. (I thank Dr. Por for confirming this diagnosis.) Subsequently Ellucana is hereby raised to the genus level.

Ellucana is most probably related to Canuellina Gurney, even though the 2 -segmented $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ endopod links it to Sunaristes through Sunaristes dardani Humes and Ho (1969). Canuellina and Ellucana are both free-living and exhibit similar reductions in setation of the swimming legs, especially $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ and, whereas the $P_{4}$ setation is further reduced in Canuellina, it is the segmentation of the endopod that is reduced in Ellucana. The genital fields of both genera, important taxonomic characters, are very similar and also serve to link the two.

Ellucana secunda differs from the genotype, E. longicauda, in the segmentation of the maxillula endopod; setation of the maxillula coxa-basis and exopod; endopod of the maxilla setation; segmentation and setation of the $P_{2}$ endopodite (short proximal segment with no inner seta and outer distal corner produced in E. secunda) ; $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ shape and genital field. Perhaps, if E. longicauda is rediscovered and all body parts are described, the two species may prove to be conspecific. However, because of the present apparent differences between the two species, they must remain separate.

Family TACHIDIIDAE Sars 1909, Lang 1948
Genus Danielssenia Boeck 1872

Since Lang's (1948) review of the genus, three new species have been described: D. intermedia Wells (1965), D. spinipes Wells (1967) and D. minuta mihi (1969b). Wilson (1966) has also redescribed D. stefanssoni Willey.

Danielssenia eastwardae n. sp. (Fig. IV-V).
Material: 4 \& 9 , 1 今. Two females have been deposited at the United States National Museum. Holotype: 1 , U.S.N.M. No. 128163; paratype, 1 i, U.S.N.M. No. 128164.

Type locality: $34^{\circ} 49.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 75^{\circ} 40.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 43 \mathrm{~m}$, North Carolina Continental Shelf (Station 14 of this paper).

## Description

Female: based on mature female, length 0.97 mm . Body broadened and dorsal-ventrally depressed. The rostrum is large and broad and the sides taper to the cephalothoracic attachment. The body and all appendages are heavily spinulose and resemble D. spinipes Wells (1967). Caudal rami (Fig. IV, 17), broader than long with 2 principal terminal setae, 3 median and 3 lateral setae as well as several spinules.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. IV, 18), 6-segmented. First 3 segments subequal in length, aesthetasc on fourth segment, terminal setae heavily spinulose.
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (Fig. IV, 19), 2 -segmented with a coxa. Exopod 3 -segmented: first 2 with one seta each, the terminal with 4 setae. Second endopod segment with circum-segmented band of long spinules. Terminally with 5 genticulate setae, 3 plumose spines and 4 small plain setae. Except for the total number of terminal setae, very much like $D$. spinipes.

Md (Fig. IV, 20), pre-coxa large, elongate. Bidentate pars incisiva, with 2 other small teeth on cutting edge. Coxa-basis short, with row of spinules on inner edge. Exopod and endopod close together, 2 -segmented. First endopod segment with 2 non-plumose setae, terminal segment with 2 setae. First exopod segment bare, second very short and terminating with 3 setae.
Mxl. (Fig. IV, 21), pre-coxal arthrite with 4 setose spines and 2 small setae. Coxa with 3 terminal setae, endopod also with 3.

Mx (Fig. IV, 22), syncoxa with 3 endites. Basis with terminal claw and several small setae. Endopod with 2 setae.

Mxp. (Fig. IV, 23), prehensile. Basis with long partially spinose seta at inner distal corner. First endopod segment bears row of long spinules along inner edge, the second segment is modified as a claw.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. IV, 24), 2-segmented endopod, 3-segmented exopod. Setation as figured.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. IV, 25), $P_{3}$ (Fig. V, 26), $P_{4}$ (Fig V, 27), all with 3-segmented rami. The setation as figured and listed below:

Setal Formula - Danielssenia eastwardae n. sp.

|  | Exp. | End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1.1 .223 | 1.2 .221 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1.1 .323 | 1.1 .321 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | 1.1 .323 | 1.1 .221 |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. V, 28), with distinct rami. The baseoendopodite with 4 spinulose setae, the exopod with 4 spinulose setae and one small plain
seta. The exopod with 2 rows of spinules: one (heavy spinules) midway around the ramus and another (fine spinules) near the base.

Male: Description based on mature male, 0.73 mm .


Fig. IV
Danielssenia eastwardae n. sp. ㅇ.
17, Last abdominal somite and caudal rami; 18, $\mathrm{A}_{1} ; 19, \mathrm{~A}_{2} ; 20$, Md.; 21, Mxl.; 22, Mx.; 23, Mxp.; 24, $P_{1} ; 25, P_{2}$.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. V, 29), 5 (indistinctly 6 -segmented and haplocer. Aesthetasc on fourth (fifth) segment.


ㅇ: 26, $\mathrm{P}_{3} ; 27, \mathrm{P}_{4} ; 28, \mathrm{P}_{5}$.

$A_{2}$, oral parts, $P_{1}, P_{2}$ exopod, $P_{3}, P_{4}$ and caudal rami exactly the same as the female.
$P_{2}$ endopod (Fig. V, 30), sexually dimorphic. The outer distal edge of the second segment transformed into a dentiform projection. Terminal segment with 4 setae, one of which is thick and heavily spinulose.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. V, 31), separate rami. Baseoendopodite with spinulose medial margin and 2 thick spinulose setae. Exopod with 4 setae, one spine and several spinules terminally and transverse row of spinules circumscribing the ramus.

## Etymology

The species name, eastwardae, is in honor of the Duke University ship from which it was collected, the $R / V$ caps.

## Remarks

D. eastwardae differs from all the others in the genus in the presence of an inner seta on the first segment of the $P_{2}-P_{4}$ exopods combined with the presence of 2 inner setae on $\mathrm{Ri}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. I (Coull, 1969b) have discussed and listed setal formulae earlier and D. eastwardae singularly exhibits these combined characteristics. Only D. spinipes, D. intermedia Wells (1965) and D. stefanssoni Willey (Wilson, 1966) have the inner setae on $\mathrm{Re}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$, while only D. perezi Monard has 2 setae on $\mathrm{Ri}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. The somitic ornamentation is very much like that of $D$. spinipes whereas the 4 setae on the male $P_{5}$ exopod link it closely to D. intermedia Wells (1965). But because of significant differences in setal formula, mouth parts, and size it is reported here as a new species.

The separation of the genera Danielssenia and Psammis has been discussed by Wells $(1965,1967)$ and he asserts that D. intermedia approximates the Psammis condition with four setae on the $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ exopodite of the male, similar to that of D. eastwardae. However, D. eastwardae exhibits so many other features characteristic of Danielssenia, swimming legs, mouth parts, antennule and antenna segmentation that it must be included in Danielssenia. I propose that the confluent baseoendopodite exhibited by all known Psammis ( $P$. longisetosa Sars, P. borealis Klie, P. kliei Smirnov and P. longifurca Bodin) be used to separate the two genera and not the variable number of setae on the male $P_{5}$ exopod.

## Family DIOSACCIDAE Sars 1909

Genus Melima Por 1964
Since Por (1964) created this genus, this is the first new species to be added.

Melima bisetosa n. sp. (Fig. VI)
Material: 31 甲 9. Holotype 1 ㅇ, U.S.N.M. No. 128165; paratypes 6 甲 9 , U.S.N.M. No. 128166.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 2, 9, 11, 13, 14 this paper).

## Description

Female: based on mature female, length 0.47 mm . Body pyriform, robust, typically Stenhelia. Body segments bare of ornamentation (Fig. VI, 32). Prominent rostrum, attenuated distally, with 2 minute setae and strongly emarginated tip (Fig. VI, 33). Caudal rami (Fig. VI, $32,34)$, two times as long as wide at the base, inner edge slightly concave. Two principal terminal setae, inner one longer than outer. One dorsal, one lateral and one median seta situated at distal end. Anal operculum finely spinulose.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. VI, 35), 8 -segmented, first 2 segments equal in length. Fourth segment bears an aesthetase.
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (Fig. VI, 36), 2 -segmented with 3 -segmented exopod. Second endopod segment with 3 small lateral setae and 5 terminal setae ( 4 of which are genticulate). First, second and third exopod segments with 2, 1 and 2 setae respectively.
Md. (Fig. VI, 37), with tri-dentate pars incisiva, coxa basis with two setae. Exopod and endopod with 4 setae each. Terminal endopod seta is the typically Stenhelia-Melima prolonged endite.
Mxl. (Fig. VI, 38), pre-coxal arthrite with 2 surface setae and 6 strong terminal spines. Coxa with 3 and basis with 5 terminal setae. Endopod spatulate in shape with 4 terminal setae, the lateral most of which is plumose. Exopod with 2 strong apical setae.

Mxp. (Fig. VI, 39), basis with 2 setae and a spine. Endopod prehensile, 2 -segmented. First segment with inner seta, second segment modified as claw.
$\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (Fig. VI, 40), typically Melima, highly aberrant and specialized. Endopod 2-segmented, exopod 3-segmented. First endopod segment long and broad with very small inner seta in distal portion. Second segment, reduced in size, bean-shaped with 2 irregularly shaped setae and a small internal seta.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. VI, 41), $P_{3}$ (Fig. VI, 42), $P_{4}$ (Fig. VI, 43), all with 3 -segmented rami. Terminal exopod segments with 2 outer setae. The setal formula of M. bisetosa n . sp. is given below with that of the only other known species in the genus, M. caulerpae Por 1964.

|  | $P_{1}$ |  | $P_{3}$ |  | $P_{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Exp. | End. | Exp. | End. | Exp. | End. |
| M. bisetosa n. sp. | 1.1 .122 | 1.1 .021 | 1.1 .322 | 1.1 .230 | 1.1 .322 | 1.1 .230 |
| $M$. caulerpae Por | 1.1 .022 | 1.1 .021 | 1.1 .122 | 1.1 .230 | 1.1 .322 | 1.1 .230 |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. VI, 44), exopod oblong with 4 distal and one inner setae. Baseoendopodite bare with 4 terminal setae.

Male: unknown.


Fig. VI
Melima bisetosa n. sp. $\uparrow$.
32, Last 3 abdominal somites and caudal tami; 33, rostrum; 34, caudal ramuś; $35, \mathrm{~A}_{1} ; 36, \mathrm{~A}_{2} ; 37$, Md.; 38, xl.; 39, Mop.; 40, $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; 41, \mathrm{P}_{2} ; 42, \mathrm{P}_{8} ; 43, \mathrm{P}_{4} ; 44, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

## Etymology

The trivial name, bisetosa, alludes to the two setae on the first segment of the antennal exopodite.

## Remarks

M. bisetosa differs from the genotype (M. caulerpae) in the following: (1) number of setae on segment one of antennal exopodite ( 2 vs .1 ); (2) number of setae on segment three of antennal exopodite ( 2 vs .3 ) ; (3) body size ( 0.49 mm vs. 0.39 mm ) ; (4) maxillipede armature (strong prehensil vs. reduced apical armature) ; (5) presence of inner setae on $\operatorname{Re}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}$; and (6) setal formulae. Thus, M. bisetosa is reported here as a new species.

## Genus Schizopera Sars 1905

Since Lang's (1965) review of the genus, the following species have been added: S. varieseta Bozic (1964), S. bozici (Bozic, 1964), S. kunzi and S. varnensis Apostolov (1967), S. borutzkyi Montschenko (1967), S. tarichaena Por (1968) and S. indica Rao and Ganapati (1969).

Schizopera carolinensis n. sp. (Figs. VII-VIII)
 No. 128167; paratypes $4 \circ \%$ and $5 \hat{o} \hat{\delta}$, U.S.N.M. No. 128168.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 4, 7, 13, 14, 15 this paper).

## Description

Female: based on an ovigerous female, length 0.39 mm . Body slender, tapering behind. Last three segments with continuous rows of lateral and ventral spinules. Remainder of body not ornamented. Anal operculum dentate (Fig. VII, 45). Caudal rami (Fig. VII, 45) slightly broader than long with two principal terminal setae, the inner one longer than the outer. Laterally with two setae, one very long and one short and strong. A dorsal seta inserts at $9 / 10$ the length, the inner distal edge with four spinules and two small setae. Rostrum almost lancelot in form, reaching beyond end of first antennule segment (Fig. VII, 46).
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. VII, 46), 8 -segmented, first segment longest. Fourth segment with an aesthetasc and equal in length with the third. No plumose setae.
$A_{2}$ (Fig. VII, 47), with allobasis. Allobasis longer and wider than endopod. Two-segmented exopod, first segment 3 times as long as the second, each with but one seta. Endopod with spinules and one seta on anterior edge, terminally with 6 setae, 3 of which are genticulate.
Md. (Fig. VII, 48), precoxa with tridentate pars incisiva. Coxa basis bare except for terminal setae. Endopod with 4 setae and much larger than the exopod bearing 2 setae.
Mxl. (Fig. VII, 49), precoxal arthrite with 4 terminal teeth-like spinules on distal edge and one seta on dorsal side. Coxa with 2 terminal setae, basis with 4, exopod and endopod obsolete.
Mx. (Fig. VII, 50), syncoxa with 3 endites. Distal endite extremely small with one seta, middle and proximal endite with 2 setae each.


Fig. VII
Schizopera carolinensis n. sp. ㅇ.
45, Last abdominal somite and caudal rami; 46, rostrum and $A_{1} ; 47, A_{2} ; 48$, Md.; 49, Mxl.; 50, Mx.; 51, Mxp.; 52, $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; 53, \mathrm{P}_{2} ; 54, \mathrm{P}_{3}$.

Basis terminally with 2 claw-like setae and one surface seta. Endopod with 4 terminal and one surface setae.

Mxp. (Fig. VII, 51), basis short with one seta at inner distal corner. First exopod segment with row of spinules on inner edge. Second segment $2 / 5$ as long as first. Terminally with 3 spinules, one seta and a long setose claw.
$\mathrm{P}_{1}$ (Fig. VII, 52), 3 -segmented rami. Exopod terminating with 4 setae, endopod with 2. Setation as figured.
$\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (Fig. VII, 53), $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ (Fig. VII, 54), $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (Fig. VIII, 55) all with 3 -segmented rami. Setation as figured and listed below:

Setal Formula - Schizopera carolinensis n. sp.

|  | Exp. | End. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 0.0 .022 | 0.1 .121 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 0.0 .022 | 1.1 .121 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 0.0 .022 | 1.1 .121 |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. VIII, 56), with distinct rami, baseoendopodite extending almost to end of exopod with 2 inner plumose setae and one plumose and one bare terminal seta; outer one much shorter than inner. Exopod rectangular in shape, two times as long as wide, with 5 marginal setae; 3 laterally inserted ( 2 of which are plumose), one terminally inserted (plumose) and one medially inserted.

Male: description based on mature male 0.35 mm .
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. VIII, 57), 8-segmented and haplocer.
$A_{2}$ oral parts, $P_{1}, P_{2}$ exopod $P_{3}, P_{4}$ and caudal rami exactly the same as the female.
$P_{2}$ endopod (Fig. VIII, 58), dimorphic as figured. Second and terminal segment with 2 claw-like inner setae. Laterally with two small spinules, an inner seta and a terminal seta.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. VIII, 59), baseoendopodite with 2 terminal laterally plumose setae of subequal length. Exopod with 5 setae: 3 lateral (the middle one very small) and 2 terminal (the inner much shorter than the plumose outer).

## Etymology

The specific name, carolinensis, alludes to the type locality, the North Carolina Continental Shelf.

Fig. VIII
Schizopera carolinensis n . sp.
ㅇ: 55, $\mathrm{P}_{4} ; 56, \mathrm{P}_{5}$
$\hat{o}: 57, A_{1} ; 58, \mathrm{P}_{3}$ endopod; $59, \mathrm{P}_{5}$.
Schizopera anomala n. sp. $\uparrow$.
60, Exp. $A_{2} ; 61, P_{2} ; 62$, caudal ramus (lateral view); 63, last abdominal somite and caudal ramus (lateral view); 64, last abdominal somite and caudal rami (dorsal view).


Fig. VIII

## Remarks

Three previously known Schizopera have four setae on the third segment of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ endopod: S. parvula Noodt (1955), S. varieseta Bozic (1964) and S. indica Rao and Ganapati (1969). Bozic (1964), however, recorded this situation on only one leg of his monotypic species; the other leg had two setae. Noodt (1955) describes only the male of $S$. parvula. The male $S$. carolinensis n . sp. differs from $S$. parvula in the $P_{2}$ endopod, $P_{2}$ exopod setation, number of endites on the maxilla, length and shape of furca, comparative lengths of $P_{4}$ rami, and caudal rami ornamentation. S. carolinensis differs from $S$. varieseta and $S$. indica in setal formulae, maxillipede shape and ornamentation, setation of the $P_{5}$ exopod and shape and ornamentation of the caudal rami. Because of the numerous and significant differences between $S$. carolinensis n . sp. and the most closely related species it is reported here as a new species.

## Schizopera anomala n. sp. (Fig. VIII)

Material: 19 와, 2 ㅅ $\hat{\beta}, 2$ copepodites. Holotype 1 ㅇ, U.S.N.M. No. 128169; paratypes $5 \nrightarrow \%$ and 1 ô, U.S.N.M. No. 128170.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 1, 2, 11, 12, 14 this paper).

## Description

Female: based on ovigerous female, length, 0.50 mm . S. anomala n. sp. is exactly like the preceding species, $S$. carolinensis n . sp., in all but four aspects: size, exopod of $A_{2}, P_{2}$ and furca. Only these differences will be illustrated and/or discussed. The remainder of the body parts are the same as those of $S$. carolinensis.

Exopod $A_{2}$ (Fig. VIII, 60), one-segmented with 2 setae, the outermost plumose.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. VIII, 61), similar to that of $S$. carolinensis except for relative length of all setae and the 2 inner setae on the second endopod segment.

Setal Formula - Schizopera anomala n. sp.

|  | Exp. | Enp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{a}$ | 0.0 .022 | 0.2 .121 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 0.0 .022 | 1.1 .121 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 0.0 .022 | 1.1 .121 |

Caudal rami (Figs. VIII, 62, 63, 64), $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as wide. Lateral and medial margin irregular. Large seta extending laterally. Two principal terminal setae, the inner 2 times as long as the outer which is of similar size to the large lateral seta. Dorsal setae at 9/10 the length and a small medial seta at $4 / 5$ the length.

Male: smaller than female, 0.45 mm , but similar in all but $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, $P_{2}$ endopod and $P_{5}$, which are like those of the male $S$. carolinensis.

## Etymology

The specific name, anomala, from the Greek, different or irregular, referring to the unusual margin and shape of the caudal rami.

## Remarks

The same raison d'etre separating $S$. carolinensis from the remainder of the genus applies to $S$. anomala. S. anomala is separated from $S$. carolinensis by the characters mentioned above: size, exopod $A_{2}, P_{2}$, and furca.

## Family TETRAGONICIPITIDAE Lang 1948 <br> Fearia n. gen.

The generic diagnosis coincides with that of its sole and type species and must, therefore, be considered preliminary.

## Remarks

This genus differs from all the others in the family in the location of the antennule spur: midway on the first antennule segment and pointing medially. It appears to be most closely related to Tetragoniceps in structure of the swimming legs, $\mathrm{P}_{5}$, and caudal rami, but, since the one unifying feature of Tetragoniceps is the location of the antennule spur, the present species is put into a new genus. The gender is feminine.

Fearia prima n. gen. n. sp. (Figs IX-X)

Type locality: $33^{\circ} 38.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 77^{\circ} 38.5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, North Carolina Continental Shelf (Station 1 in this paper), depth 20 m .

## Description

Female: based on mature female, length 0.79 mm . Body slender, slightly tapered distad, almost exactly like Tetragoniceps. No somitic ornamentation, anal operculum present and not ornamented (see male, Fig. X, 82). Caudal rami (Fig. IX, 65), pear-shaped, as long as wide at the base. One dorsal seta inserting at $2 / 3$ the length, and 2 small setae on either side of the one principal terminal seta. Rostrum small, broadly rounded (Fig. IX, 66).
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. IX, 66), 8 -segmented. First segment with small unguiform process medially at $\frac{1}{2}$ the length. Fourth and eighth segment with aesthetascs.
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (Fig. IX, 67), with distinct basis. First endopod segment bare, second segment with 2 setae on inner edge, 6 terminal setae ( 3 genticulate) and a row of circumscribing spinules. Exopod onesegmented with 2 terminal and one lateral seta.


65, Caudal ramus; 66, rostrum and $\mathrm{A}_{1} ; 67$, $\mathrm{A}_{2} ; 68$, Md.; 69, Mxl.; 70, Mx.; 71, Мхр.; 72, $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; 73, \mathrm{P}_{2} ; 74, \mathrm{P}_{3} ; 75, \mathrm{P}_{4}$.
Md. (Fig. IX, 68), pre-coxa bidentate with 5 large accessory spines and one seta. Coxa-basis large with 4 setae. Endopod, one-segmented with 4 terminal setae. Exopod minute with 2 small setae.
Mxl. (Fig. IX, 69), pre-coxal arthrite with 8 strong setae and several accessory spinules. Coxa with 2 terminal setae. Basis terminally with 4 setae. Exopod with 3 hair-like setae, endopod with 4.


Fearia prima n. g., n. sp.
 caudal rami; 83, caudal ramus.
Mx. (Fig IX, 70), syncoxa with 3 endites each with 3 setae and spines in all. Basis terminally with claw-like spine and another seta. Endopod small with 3 setae terminally.

Mxp. (Fig. IX, 71), basis terminally with 2 setae on inner edge. First endopod segment with inner spinulose edge. Second segment terminally with claw-like seta, medially with 2 setose spines.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. IX, 72), 2 -segmented endopod, 3 -segmented exopod, first endopod segment with one median seta at $2 / 3$ length, terminal segment with 2 genticulate setae. Exopod terminally with 4 setae, 2 of which are genticulate.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. IX, 73), $P_{3}$ (Fig. IX, 74), and $P_{4}$ (Fig. IX, 75), all with 3 -segmented exopods and 2 -segmented endopods. Setation as figured and as listed below. $P_{4}$ is much longer than $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$.

Setal Formula - Fearia prima n. gen. n. sp.

|  | Exp. | End. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0.1 .123 | 1.121 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 0.1 .223 | 1.121 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 0.1 .223 | 1.121 |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. X, 76), distinct rami. Both rami elongate and styliform distally. Inner expansion of baseoendopodite reaching beyond middle of exopod. Baseoendopodite with 3 inner and 2 terminal setae. Inner terminal seta plumose. Exopod with one short inner seta, one short outer seta and 2 terminal setae.
$P_{6}$ (Fig. $X, 77$ ), small and rectangular with 3 terminal setae, the middle one very short.

Male: description based on mature male, $\mathbf{0 . 6 1} \mathrm{mm}$.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. X, 78), 7 (indistinctly 8)-segmented and haplocer. Aesthetase on segment 4.
$A_{2}$, oral parts, $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ exopod, and $P_{4}$ exactly the same as the female.
$P_{3}$ endopod (Fig. X, 79), one-segmented with 4 setae, external seta with teeth-like dentations on external margin.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. X, 80), separate rami. Exopod 2-segmented, first segment externally with a seta, second segment with one short external, one short and one long terminal and 2 short medial setae. Baseoendopodite reaching beyond first exopod segment with one medially inserted and 2 terminal setae.
$\mathbf{P}_{6}$ (Fig. X, 81), small plate with 3 setae, innermost longest, outermost plumose.

Caudal rami (Figs. $\mathrm{X}, 82,83$ ), four times as long as wide at the widest point. A dorsal seta inserts at $\frac{1}{2}$ the length. Lateral setae at $\frac{1}{2}$ and $4 / 5$ the length. Outer distal corner dentiform. One principal and one slender terminal seta.

## Etymology

The generic name, Fearia, refers to the type locality near Cape Fear, North Carolina and the specific name, prima, to this being the first species in the genus.

Genus Tetragoniceps Brady 1880
Since the last review of this genus (Lang, 1948) the following species have been described: T. truncata and T. longicaudata Nicholls (1939), T. arenicolus Krishnaswamy (1957), T. bergensis Por (1965) and T. brownei Wells (1967).

Tetragoniceps bookhouti n. sp. (Figs. XI-XII)
 types, 3 ¢ $\ddagger, 3$ ô ô, U.S.N.M. No. 128174.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 8, 13, 14 this paper).

## Description

Female: based on ovigerous female, length 0.65 mm . Body typically Tetragoniceps, slender with no demarcation between metasome and urosome. No somitic ornamentation. Anal operculum present and finely spinulose. Caudal rami (Fig. XI, 84) 4 times as long as wide at the widest portion (proximal end). One principal terminal seta, one dorsal seta and 2 lateral setae. Rostrum (Fig. XI, 85) small and broadly rounded.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. XI, 85), 8 -segmented. First segment elongate with unguiform projection at outer distal corner. Aesthetascs on segments 4 and 8.
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (Fig. XI, 86), with distinct basis. First endopod segment bare, second segment with 3 surface, 2 inner and 6 terminal setae. Exopod one-segmented with 2 setae, the outer one confluent with the segment.
Md. (Fig. XI, 87), pre-coxa bidentate with several accessory spinules and dentations. Coxa-basis with 3 setae. Endopod with 2 inner and 4 terminal setae. Exopod short with one small inner and 2 terminal setae.
MxI. (Fig. XI, 88), pre-coxal arthrite separate from the pre-coxa, with 7 setae and spines in all. Coxa with 4 and basis with 6 terminal setae. Endopod with 4 terminal setae.
Mx. (Fig. XI, 89), syncoxa with 3 endites, the proximal one cleft. Basis with a claw-like spine and a seta. Endopod one-segmented with 3 terminal setae.

Mxp. (Fig. XI, 90), prehensile. Basis with single seta at inner distal corner. First endopod segment with 2 spinules on inner edge. Second segment with 2 inner setae and a single terminal genticulate seta.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. XI, 91), 2-segmented endopod, 3-segmented exopod. Exopod terminally with 4 setae. First endopod segment with median plumose seta at $2 / 3$ the length, segment 2 terminally with 2 genticulate setae.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. XI, 92), $P_{3}$ (Fig. XI, 93), and $P_{4}$ (Fig. XI, 94), all with 3 -segmented exopods and 2 -segmented endopods. Setation as figured and as listed below. $P_{4}$ is much longer than $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$.


Fig. XI
Tetragoniceps bookhouti n. sp. $\uparrow$.
84, Caudal ramus; 85, rostrum and $\mathrm{A}_{1} ; 86, \mathrm{~A}_{2} ; 87$, Md.; 88, Mxl.; 89, Mx.; 90, Mxp.; $91, P_{1} ; 92, P_{2} ; 93, P_{3} ; 94, P_{4} ; 95, P_{5}$.

Setal Formula - Tetragoniceps bookhouti n. sp.

|  | Exp. | End. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 0.1 .122 | 1.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{s}}$ | 0.1 .122 | 1.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | 0.1 .122 | 1.3 |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. XI, 95), confluent rami, tapering distally to a point. Baseoendopod portion with 4 inner setae, exopod portion with one strong and 3 small outer setae.

Male: Description based on a mature male, 0.55 mm .
A $_{1}$ (Fig. XII, 96), 6-segmented and haplocer. First segment with typical pointed projection at outer distal angle.
$A_{2}$, oral parts, $P_{1}$, exopod $P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ and caudal rami exactly the same as the female.
$P_{2}$ endopod (Fig. XII, 97), 2-segmented. First segment with one inner seta. Second segment dimorphic with only 2 terminal setae, the outer one scimitar-shaped.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XII, 98), rami separate. Baseoendopodite terminally with 3 plumose setae. Exopod hook-shaped with 3 slender setae on the outer edge.
$P_{6}$ (Fig. XII, 99), 3 setae, none plumose.

## Etymology

The specific name is in honor of Dr. C. G. Bookhout, long time director of the Duke University Marine Laboratory and the Cooperative Program in Biological Oceanography.

## Remarks

T. bookhouti, most closely resembles T. malleolatus Brady. Only in T. malleolatus, T. dubius Thompson and A. Scott and T. bookhouti $n$. sp. is the female $P_{5}$ fused. The distinctive shapes of both the male and female $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ of T. malleolatus and T. bookhouti link these two most closely together. The two species differ in setation of the antennal exopod ( $T$. bookhouti has only 2 setae), size, setation of the swimming legs, and setation of the female $P_{5}$ exopod portion.

## Genus Phyllopodopsyllus T. Scott 1906

Since Lang's (1965) revision of the genus, several species have been added: P. danielae Bodin (1964), P. tristanensis Wiborg (1964), P. biarticulatus Wells (1967), P. ponticus Apostolov (1968), P. bahamensis Geddes, $\boldsymbol{P}$. opistoceratus Geddes, P. parafurciger Geddes (1968), $P$. hermani mihi (1969a) and P. paraxenus mihi, P . chavei mihi (1970a).

Phyllopodopsyllus parafurciger carolinensis n. ssp. (Fig. XII)
Material: 111 와, 88 ㅅ $\hat{\text { o }}, 19$ copepodites. Holotype 1 ㅇ, U.S.N.M. No. 128175 ; paratypes 15 우, 15 ㅅ $\hat{\text { of, U.S.N.M. No. } 128176 . ~}$

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 1, 2, 8, 12, 13,14 this paper).

## Description

Female: length 0.45 mm . The furcal rami are the only female characters which differ from $P$. parafurciger Geddes (1968).

Fu. (Fig. XII, 100 and 101), two times as long as wide at the widest part. Inner margin strongly convex, almost lamellifer. Two

lateral setae insert at $2 / 5$ and $2 / 3$ of the way along, a dorsal seta inserts at $2 / 3$ the length. Terminal seta well developed, of characteristic form: slightly bulhous at base followed by an irregular margin and a gradual taper.

Male: smaller and slightly more slender than the female, length 0.40 mm . Differing from $P$. parafurciger only in the structure of the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$.
$A_{1}$ (Fig. XII, 102), 6-segmented and haplocer. Unguiform process on second segment, fourth segment greatly expanded with aesthetasc. Fifth segment with hook-like structure. ( $P$. parafurciger does not have this hook on segment 5.)

## Remarks

Although the furcal rami have long been important characters in species determination within the genus, it does not seem warranted to create a new species only on this character. The taxonomic significance of the hook on segment 5 of the male $A_{1}$ is not known and will not be considered.

I have thoroughly examined many of the North Carolinian specimens and consistently find differences in only these two characters. From the setation of the mouth parts to the shape and size of the male furca, every appendage, spine and ornament agrees with Geddes' Bahamian specimens except for the two above mentioned characters. I propose to call the Bahamian specimens $P$. parafurciger parafurciger and the North Carolinian specimens $P$. parafurciger carolinensis n . ssp.

Family CYLINDROPSYLLIDAE Sars 1906, Lang 1948
Cylinula n . gen.
The generic diagnosis coincides with that of the sole and type species and must therefore be considered preliminary.

## Remarks

This new genus appears to be intermediate between Cylindropsyllus and Evansula, thus the generic name. The obsolete maxillipede, setal formula and 2 -segmented $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ endopods are characters typical of Cylindropsyllus. However, the prehensile 2 -segmented $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ endopod, longer than the 3 -segmented exopod, links the new genus to Evansula. Because of these major differences from either genus, it is reported here as a new genus and species. The gender is feminine.

Cylinula proxima n. gen. n. sp. (Figs. XII-XIII)
Material: 11 o 9,7 ㅇㅇ . Holotype 1 ㅇ, U.S.N.M. No. 128177; para-


## Description

Female: based on ovigerous female, length 0.61 mm . Body slender, very slight distal taper. Body somites bare of ornamentation; general body shape of Cylindropsyllus. Last abdominal segment (Fig. XII, 103) and genital segment are formed of 2 fused segments. Caudal rami (Fig. XII, 103 and 104) slightly divergent with one dorsal and 2 lateral setae. A single short principal terminal seta, genticulate. Rostrum (Fig. XII, 105) pointed and small.
$A_{1}$ (Fig. XII, 105), 7-segmented, second segment 2 times as long as others. Aesthetasc on fourth segment.
$A_{2}$ (Fig. XII, 106), with allobasis. Endopod terminates with 5 setae. Exopod like that of Cylindropsyllus remanei Kunz (1949): one-segmented with 2 terminal setae.
Md. (Fig. XII, 107), with bidentate pars incisiva. Palp onesegmented, with one lateral and 2 terminal setae.
Mxl. (Fig. XII, 108), pre-coxal arthrite with one straight and 2 setae. Basis terminating in a claw-like seta. Endopod with 3 setae. Endopod represented by a single seta.
Mx. (Fig. XII, 109), syncoxa with 2 endites, each terminating in 2 setae. Basis terminating in a claw-like seta. Endopod with 3 setae.

Mxp. not present.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. XIII, 110), 2-segmented prehensile endopod terminating with one genticulate and one claw-like seta. Exopod 3 -segmented terminating in 4 setae (inner 2 genticulate).
$P_{2}$ (Fig. XIII, 111), $P_{3}$ (Fig. XIII, 112), $P_{4}$ (Fig. XIII, 113), all with 3 -segmented exopods and 2 -segmented endopods. The setal formula is exactly the same as that of Cylindropsyllus laevis Brady and is listed below with that of $C$. laevis and $C$. remanei.

|  | $P_{2}$ |  |  | $P_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exp. | End. | Exp. | End. | Exp. | End. |  |
| Cylindropsyllus laevis Brady | 0.0 .022 | 1.010 | 0.0 .122 | 0.020 | 0.0 .122 | 0.010 |  |
| C. remanei Kunz | 0.0 .111 | 1.010 | 0.0 .112 | 0.011 | 0.0 .211 | 0.010 |  |
| Cylinua proxima n. g. n. sp. | 0.0 .022 | 1.010 | 0.0 .122 | 0.020 | 0.0 .122 | 0.010 |  |

$P_{5}$ (Fig. XIII, 114), each side a single plate with 8 non-plumose setae.

Genital field (Fig. XIII, 115), like that of Cylindropsyllus laevis.
Male: Description based on mature male, 0.54 mm .
$A_{1}$, (Fig. XIII, 116), 6-segmented and haplocer, aesthetasc on segment three.
$A_{2}$, oral parts, $P_{1}, P_{4}$ and caudal rami, same as the female.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. XIII, 117), same as female except the inner terminal seta of the exopod is expanded.
$P_{3}$ (Fig. XIII, 118), exopod same as female. Endopod modified as figured.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XIII, 119 and 120 ), each side a single plate as in female,
with 6 setae (119) or 5 setae (120). Of the 7 available males, 6 had the arrangement noted in Fig. XIII, 119 ( 6 setae) while one had 5 setae on $P_{5}$ (Fig. XIII, 120).


Fig. XIII
Cylinula proxima n. g., n. sp.
$\bigcirc: 110, P_{1} ; 111, P_{2} ; 112, P_{3} ; 113, P_{4} ; 114, P_{5} ; 115$, genital field.
$\hat{\delta}: 116, \mathrm{~A}_{1} ; 117, \mathrm{P}_{2} ; 118, \mathrm{P}_{3} ; 119, \mathrm{P}_{5} ; 120, \mathrm{P}_{5}$.

## Etymology

The specific name, proxima, is chosen to indicate how closely related this species is to its generic namesakes, Cylindropsyllus and Evansula.

# Family CLETODIDAE T. Scoot 1904 

Genus Cletodes Brady 1872
Since Lang's (1965) key to the genus, the following species have been added: C. reyssi Soyer (1964), C. latirostris Drzycimski (1967), C. spinulipes and C. yotabis Por (1967).

## Cletodes pseudodissimilis n. sp. (Figs. XIV-XV)

 types $5 \neq q, 2 \hat{o}$ ô, U.S.N.M. No. 128180.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, this paper).

Description
Female: based on mature female, length $\mathbf{0 . 6 0} \mathrm{mm}$. Body distinctly segmented. Thoracic segments bordered with row of spinules. Setigerous process on abdominal segments one through 3. Anal operculum and last abdominal somite spinulose (Fig. XIV, 121). Caudal rami pyriform-shaped, about as long as wide at the widest part. Outer edge convex in proximal, concave in distal portion. Dorsal keel runs $\frac{1}{2}$ the length. Lateral seta and spinulose margin at start of taper. Dorsal seta at $2 / 3$ the length. One principal terminal seta. Rostrum distinct, broadly rounded and pitted on the surface (Fig. XIV, 122).
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. XIV, 122,), 5 -segmented, aesthetasc on third segment, fourth segment very short.
$A_{2}$ (Fig. XIV, 123), with allobasis. Endopod terminally with 5 setae. Anterior edge with row of spinules, which gradually increase in size. Exopod reduced to small knot-like structure with one finely plumose terminal seta.
Md. (Fig. XIV, 124), pre-coxa with bidentate pars incisiva and another row of teeth behind. Coxa-basis with 5 plumose setae.
Mxl. (Fig. XIV, 125), pre-coxal arthrite with 4 claw-like spines and one surface seta. Coxa with a single terminal seta. Basis with 5 short terminal setae. Exopod missing. Endopod has two plumose setae.
Mx. (Fig. XIV, 126), syncoxa with 2 endites, each with 3 setae. Basis terminally with 2 claw-like setae. Endopod represented by small knot with 2 setae.

Mxp. (Fig. XIV, 127), coxa small. Basis with one small seta at inner distal corner. First endopod segment with row of spinules on inner edge. Second segment bare, terminally with claw-like spine and 3 spinules along concave inner edge.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. XIV, 128), 2 -segmented endopod, 3 -segmented exopod. Exopod terminally with 4 setae, endopod with 3. Setation as figured.
$P_{2}$ (Fig. XIV, 129), $P_{3}$ (Fig. XIV, 130), and $P_{4}$ (Fig. XV, 131), all with 2 -segmented endopods and 3 -segmented exopods. The setation as figured and as listed below:

Setal Formula: Cletodes pseudodissimilis n. sp.


Fig. XIV
Cletodes pseudodissimilis n. sp. 아.
 124, Md.; 125, Mxl.; 126, Mx.; 127, Mxp.; 128, $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; 129, \mathrm{P}_{2} ; 130, \mathrm{P}_{3}$.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XV, 132), with separate rami. Baseoendopodite with three major setae: innermost very strong, terminal and outermost plumose. Exopod 4-5 times as long as wide, margins spinulose with one terminal, one inner and 3 outer plumose setae.

Male: based on mature male 0.52 mm . The general body shape


Fig. XV
Cletodes pseudodissimilis n. sp.
¢ : 131, $\mathrm{P}_{4} ; 132, \mathrm{P}_{6}$.
$\hat{\delta}: 133$, Whole mount from right; $134, A_{1} ; 135, P_{5} ; 136$, caudal ramus.
and somitic arrangement is shown in the whole mount drawing (Fig. XV, 133).
$A_{1}$ (Fig. XV, 134), 6-segmented and haplocer, fourth segment bears aesthetasc.
$A_{2}$, oral parts and swimming legs same as female.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XV, 135), very small. No inner baseoendopodite expansion. Exopod rectangular with one small outer and 2 terminal plumose setae.

Caudal rami (Fig. XV, 136), 4 times as long as wide at the widest part. Dorsal seta inserts at $\frac{1}{2}$ the length. Lateral setae at $\frac{1}{2}$ the length and just above the principal terminal seta. The outer margin concave, then convex and then concave. Inner margin slightly expanded in distal portion.

## Remarks

Three species in the genus exhibit 2,3 and 4 setae on the terminal segments of the $P_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ endopodites respectively: $C$. dissimilis Willey, C. spinulipes Por and C. pseudodissimilis n. sp. C. pseudodissimilis differs from the two previously known species primarily in the shape of the female caudal rami (in which $C$. pseudodissimilis $\mathbf{n}$. sp. resembles that of C. limicola Brady) and by the fact that sexual dimorphism also appears in the caudal rami (a rare trait in this genus; as far as I know, only C. longifurca Lang illustrates this dimorphism). The deceptive character is the male dimorphic caudal rami, which resemble those described by Willey (1935) for the female $C$. dissimilis. If one were allowed to examine only the male of the new species described here, it could easily be mistaken for C. dissimilis. However, since the other differences between $C$. dissimilis and $C$. pseudodissimilis (maxillula setation, hair-like setation on swimming legs) occur in both sexes, $C$. pseudodissimilis is reported here as a new species.

## Genus Rhizothrix Brady and Robertson 1875

Since Wells' (1963) key to the genus two species have been added: R. pubescens Por (1959) and R. quadriseta Wells (1967).

Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp. (Fig. XVI)
Material: 31 ¢ $\uparrow$, 5 ô ô. Holotype 1 ¢, U.S.N.M. No. 128181 ; paratypes 9 \& $\%$, 2 ô $\hat{\text { 人 }}$, U.S.N.M. No. 128182.

Type locality: North Carolina Continental Shelf (Stations 1, 2, 3, 5, 13 and 14 this paper).

## Description

Female: based on mature female, length 0.55 mm . Body distinctly segmented, entire body finely pubescent. Anal operculum dentate (Fig. XVI, 137). Caudal rami, finely haired on surfaces, heavily spinu-
lose on lateral and median edges. One lateral and 2 dorsal setae. Two principal terminal setae. Rostrum (Fig. XVI, 138), broadly rounded, tapering and indented proximally. Indentation pubescent.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. XVI, 138), 4-segmented, first segment 2 times longer than any other. Second and fourth segment with strong spines with accessory spinules. Segment 3 with aesthetasc.
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (Fig. XVI, 139), with allobasis. Allobasis with one internal seta and spinules surrounding exopod. Second endopod segment terminally with 3 strong spines and 2 genticulate spines and several smaller spinules. Exopod one-segmented with row of spinules around middle and with 4 marginal setae.
Md. (Fig. XVI, 140), pre-coxa well developed with bidentate pars incisiva. Another set of blunt teeth behind, with a pinnate spine and seta near the cuting edge. Coxa-basis circumscribed with spinules, terminally with one strong spinulose spine. Endopod one-segmented with a strong inner spinulose spine, a small inner spinule, 4 terminal non-plumose setae and outer spinulose edge. Exopod reduced to a single spinule.
Mxl. (Fig. XVI, 141), pre-coxal arthrite with 8 terminal setae and spines in all. Row of spinules $2 / 3$ the way along. Coxa with 2 terminal setae. Basis 2 -segmented, terminally with 4 setae, exopod and endopod reduced to one and 2 setae respectively. Spinules present on basis.
Mx. (Fig. XVI, 142), syncoxa space with 2 endites. Basis with terminal claw-like spine and seta. Endopod spinulose with 2 setae and small spinule.

Mxp. (Fig. XVI, 143), basis bare. First endopod segment finely spinulose on inner convex margin. Second segment claw-shaped, with one small seta.
$P_{1}$ (Fig. XVI, 144), 2-segmented endopod, second segment 3-4 times as long as the first. Terminally with 2 long setae, with brush-like tip ("pinselborste" of Lang 1948). 3-segmented exopod, all segments of relatively equal length. Terminal segment with 4 setae, the inner 2 long with brush-like tip. Remainder of setation as figured.
$\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (Fig. XVI, 145), all 3 appendages exactly the same. Endopods 2 -segmented, second segment 2 times as long as first. Exopods 3 -segmented, subequal in length. Segments of both rami, heavily spinulose. Setal formula for $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ : exp. 0.0.022, end. 0.111.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XVI, 146), baseoendopodite of both sides confluent, each side with 5 plumose setae equal in length. Entire baseoendopodite finely spinulose, outer edge heavily spinulose. Exopod separate, with 5 setae, the inner and outermost very short, the innermost but one longest: all plumose.

Male: description based on mature male 0.50 mm . Only $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $P_{5}$ are dimorphic.

Fig. XVI
Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp.
ㅇ : 137, Last abdominal somites and caudal rami; 138, rostrum and $A_{1} ; 139, A_{2}$; 140, Md.; 141, Mxl.; 142, Mx.; 143, Mxp.; 144, $P_{1} ; 145, P_{2} ; 146, P_{5}$ $\hat{\delta}: 147, A_{1} ; 148, P_{5}$.


Fig. XVI
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. XVI, 147), 6 (indistinctly 7)-segmented and haplocer. Aesthetasc on greatly expanded fourth segment.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XVI, 148), confluent rami, forming a small plate with 4 setae, the innermost one very small. Spinules present on surface.

## Etymology

The specific name, spinosa, denotes the spinulose ornamentation of the body and appendages.

## Remarks

Within the true genus Rhizothrix (see Wells 1963 and Lang 1965 for generic designations), two previously known species exhibit the combination of 4 setae on the $A_{2}$ exopod and distinct rami of the female $\mathrm{P}_{5}:$ R. pubescens Por and R. quadriseta Wells. R. spinosa n. sp. differs from these two species primarily in setation of the swimming legs, especially the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ endopod, which has 4 setae in the two previously known species and 3 in $R$. spinosa; mouth part setation and $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ setation (the male and female of R. quadriseta, the male of R. pubescens).

Interestingly, all three of these species exhibit dense clothing of the body with fine hairs, a condition typically characteristic of Tryphoema. The possibility that these three Rhizothrix, with somewhat reduced setation of the swimming legs, separate $P_{5}$ rami, 4 setae on the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ exopod and finely haired bodies (typically Tryphoema characteristics), but with 3 -segmented endopods of $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (characteristically Rhizothrix), represent the link between the two genera cannot be overlooked.

Genus Tryphoema Monard 1926
Since Wells' (1963) key to the genus, T. lusitanica (Wells and Clark, 1965) and T. scilloniensis (Wells, 1968) have been added.

Tryphoema riedli n. sp. (Fig. XVII)
 der on slides in author's collection).

Type locality: Station 7 in this paper ( $34^{\circ} 15.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 10.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, $90 \mathrm{~m})$.

## Description

Female: based on mature female 0.48 mm . Body distinctly segmented, entire body covered with fine hair-like setation (Fig. XVII, 149). Anal operculum present. Caudal rami (Fig. XVII, 149, 150) pyriform, as long as broad at base, finely haired. One principal terminal seta, very short and plumose. Margins spinulose, outer distal corner produced into rounded spinulose lobe, separate from
remainder of ramus. Rostrum (Fig. XVII, 151) tapers proximally to broad apex. Surface pitted, apex spinulose.
$A_{1}$ (Fig. XVII, 151), 5-segmented, all segments approximately equal in length. Fifth segment digitiform with heavy spinulose spines, fourth with the aesthetasc.


Fig. XVII
Tryphoema riedli n. sp.
ㅇ: 149, Last 3 abdominal somites and caudal rami; 150, caudal ramus; 151, rostrum and $\mathrm{A}_{1} ; 152, \mathrm{~A}_{2} ; 153$, Md.; 154 , Mxl.; 155 , Mx.; 156, Mxp.; 157, $\mathrm{P}_{1} ; 158, \mathrm{P}_{8}$; $159, \mathrm{P}_{5}$. $160, A_{1} ; 161, \mathrm{P}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (Fig. XVII, 152), very much like that of Rhizothrix spinosa n . sp. Allobasis with one plumose internal seta and the one-segmented exopod. Second endopod segment spinulose on inner edge, terminating in 2 strong heavily spined setae and 2 genticulate setae. Exopod with 4 plumose setae.
Md. (Fig. XVII, 153), large pre-coxa and bidentate pars incisiva. Coxa basis bare. Endopod with one inner and 3 terminal setae.
Mxl. (Fig. XVII, 154), pre-coxal arthrite with 8 setae and spines. Coxa with 2 terminal setae. Basis terminally with 4 setae. Endopod and exopod with 2 setae each.
Mx. (Fig. XVII, 155), syncoxa with 2 endites. Basis terminally in a single claw-like spine. Endopod small with 2 setae.

Mxp. (Fig. XVII, 156), basis short, heavily spinulose. First endopod segment with fine spinules on inner convex margin and small seta at outer distal corner. Second segment claw-shaped, with small seta at base.
$\mathbf{P}_{1}$ (Fig. XVII, 157), 3-segmented exopod, 2-segmented endopod. Both rami terminally with 2 "pinselborste" setae.
$P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ (Fig. XVII, 158), all with 2 -segmented exopods and one-segmented endopods. Setation exactly the same in all three legs, i.e. exp. 0.022, end. -. 120 .
$\mathrm{P}_{5}$ (Fig. VII, 159), separate rami but baseoendopodite of each side fused, with 3 plumose setae. Exopod with 5 plumose setae, innermost but one larger than the other 4.

Male: description based on mature male, 0.44 mm . Dimorphism present in only the $A_{1}$ and $P_{5}$.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (Fig. XVII, 160), 6-segmented and haplocer. Fourth segment greatly expanded bears an aesthetasc.
$P_{5}$ (Fig. XVII, 161), separate rami, but both baseoendopodite of both sides confluent. Inner expansion of baseoendopodite with 2 plumose setae. Exopod small with 3 plumose setae.

## Etymology

The specific name, riedli, is dedicated to Professor Rupert Riedl, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

## Remarks

Wells (1968) compares T. scilloncensis with the remainder of the genus and lists the setal formulae for the five previously known species. T. riedli n . sp. differs from all the known species primarily in the setation of the $P_{5}$ : T. riedli $n$. sp. is the only species with 3 setae on the inner expansion of of the baseoendopodite, which, in this genus, is very important and warrants specific identity. I should also add that the antennule was examined very carefully for the small fourth segment Wells (1968) found on T. scilloniensis: it was not present in $T$. riedli.
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## Summary

Harpacticoid copepods collected on the North Carolina Continental Shelf (to 100 m ) during a shelf, slope and deep-sea study of the meiobenthos between North Carolina and Bermuda are presented. One hundred and eleven species were found, of which 11 are new species and one a new sub-species. Two new genera are also described. The new forms are as follows: Ellucana secunda n. sp., Danielssenia eastwardae n. sp., Melima bisetosa n. sp., Schizopera carolinensis n. sp., S. anomala n. sp., Fearia prima n. gen. n. sp., Tetragoniceps bookhouti n. sp., Phyllopodopsyllus parafurciger carolinensis n. spp., Cylinula proxima n. gen. n. sp., Cletodes pseudodissimilis n. sp., Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp. and Tryphoema riedli n. sp.

Ellucana is raised to the generic level to include E. longicauda Sewell and $E$. secunda n. sp. The two new genera, Fearia and Cylinula, appear to be intermediates between existing genera. Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp., R. pubescens Por and R. quadriseta Wells may also be considered as forms intermediate between the genera Rhizothrix and Tryphoema.

## Zusammenfassung

Die meisobenthischen Harpactoiden (Crustacea, Copepoda) des kontinentalen Plateaus von Nord-Karolina.

Der Autor beschreibt die Harpactoiden Copepoden die auf dem kontinentalen Plateau von Nord-Karolina bis zu 100 m Meerestiefe gesammelt wurden. Das Material wurde im Verlaufe einer Untersuchung des Meiobenthos des kontinentalen Plateaus, der kontinentalen Böschung und des bathyalen Niveaus zwischen NordKarolina und den Bermuden gesammelt. 111 Arten wurden festgestellt, von denen 11 neu sind, sowie eine Subspecies. Es werden ebenfalls zwei neue Gattungen beschrieben. Die neuen Formen sind die folgenden : Ellucana secunda n. sp., Danielssenia eatwardae n. sp., Melima bisetosa n. sp., Schizopera carolinensis n. sp., S. anomala n. sp., Fearia prima n. gen. n. sp., Tetragoniceps bookhouti n. sp., Phyllopodopsyllus parafurgicer carolinensis, n. ssp., Cylinula proxima n. gen. n. sp., Cletodes pseudodissimilis n. sp., Rhizothrix spinosa n. sp., und Tryphoema riedli n . sp.

Ellucana wird zum Rang einer Gattung erhoben, die E. longicauda Sewell und E. secunda n. sp. umfasst. Die zwei neuen Gattungen, Fearia und Cylinula scheinen intermediär zu sein zwischen den früher bekannten Gattungen. Rhizothrix spinosa, R. pubescens Por und R. quadriseta Wells könnten ebenfalls als intermediäre Formen zwischen Rhizothrix und Tryphoema aufgefasst werden.
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