

Taxonomy and systematics

## Two new species of *Diarthrodes* (Copepoda: Harpacticoida: Dactylopsiidae) from the Caribbean coast of Colombia

*Dos especies nuevas de Diarthrodes (Copepoda: Harpacticoida: Dactylopsiidae) de la costa caribeña de Colombia*

Eduardo Suárez-Morales <sup>a,\*</sup>, Juan M. Fuentes-Reinés <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> El Colegio de la Frontera Sur, Av. Centenario Km 5.5, 77014 Chetumal, Quintana Roo, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Grupo de Investigación en Limnología Neotropical, Universidad del Magdalena, A.A. 731 Santa Marta, Magdalena, Colombia

\*Corresponding author: esuarez@ecosur.mx (E. Suárez-Morales)

Received: 26 August 2017; accepted: 29 December 2017

### Abstract

Biological samples obtained from a coastal system of northern Colombia yielded the first record of the harpacticoid copepod genus *Diarthrodes* Thomson, 1883 for Colombia by the presence of 2 new species. We describe the new species based on male and female specimens. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov., a member of group I (*sensu* Gómez et al., 2008), appears to be most closely related to *D. latisetosus* Chislenko, 1978 but differs in the ornamentation of the urosomites, the length/width ratio of the P1 ENP, the relative length of the inner spine of P1 ENP1, the relative length of P3 and P4 ENP, details of the female P5 armature and seminal receptacle, and details of the male P2ENP2 and antennule segmentation. The second species, *D. gomezi* sp. nov. belongs to group IV; it is unique among related congeners in possessing 7 setal elements on P3-P4EXP3. It resembles *D. minutus* (Claus, 1863) but diverges in the relative length of the inner seta of P1ENP1, the armature of the female P1EXP2 and P5, the structure of the modified male P2ENP2, and the armature of the male P5EXP. These 2 new species represent the first records of the genus from Colombia and the second and third species known from the Caribbean. The number of species in the genus is now 45.

**Keywords:** Harpacticoids; Benthic copepods; Biodiversity; Crustacean taxonomy

### Resumen

Muestras biológicas obtenidas en un sistema costero del norte de Colombia produjeron los primeros registros de especies de copépodos harpacticoides del género *Diarthrodes* Thomson, 1883 para Colombia. En esta contribución se describen 2 nuevas especies de este género basadas en especímenes machos y hembras. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov., miembro del grupo I (*sensu* Gómez et al., 2008), parece estar más cercanamente relacionado con *D. latisetosus* Chislenko, 1978 pero difiere en la ornamentación de los urosomitas, la relación longitud / ancho de la P1 ENP, la longitud relativa de la espina interna de P1 ENP1, la longitud relativa del ENP de P3 y P4, los detalles de la armadura de la P5 de la hembra y el receptáculo seminal, así como en los detalles de la P2ENP2 y en la segmentación de la anténula del macho. La segunda especie, *D. gomezi* sp. nov. pertenece al grupo IV; es único entre sus congéneres al poseer 7 elementos setales en P3-P4EXP3. Se asemeja a *D. minutus* (Claus, 1863) en varios caracteres pero difiere en la longitud relativa de la seta interna de P1ENP1, la armadura de las P1EXP2 y P5 de la hembra, la estructura del P2 ENP2 modificado del macho y en la armadura del P5EXP masculino. Estas 2 especies nuevas representan

los primeros registros del género en Colombia y la segunda y tercera especies conocidas en el Caribe. El número de especies en el género es ahora de 45.

*Palabras clave:* Harpacticoides; Copépodos benthicos; Biodiversidad; Taxonomía de crustáceos

## Introduction

The harpacticoid copepod subfamily Dactylopusiinae was established by Lang (1936) to include the genus *Diarthrodes* Thomson, 1883 and has long been contained in the Thalestridae (Huys, 1990). This subfamily was recently raised to the family level by Willen (2000), as Dactylopusiidae Lang, 1936, and was recognized by Wells (2007) and Huys (2016). Boxshall & Halsey (2004) rejected it without providing further arguments (Gómez et al., 2008).

The genus *Diarthrodes* was created by Thomson (1883) to allocate *D. novaezealandiae* Thomson, 1883 from New Zealand; the date of publication of this name is May, 1883, not 1882 as assumed for some time. Subsequently, Lang (1936) incorporated the genera *Arpacticus* Baird, 1845, *Westwoodia* Dana, 1852, *Pseudothalestris* Brady, 1883, *Pseudowestwoodia* T. Scott, 1894, and *Parawestwoodia* Sharpe, 1910 to it. Members of this genus are marine forms and comprise about 43 valid species worldwide (Walter & Boxshall, 2017; Wells, 2007), 16 of which have been reported from the Americas: *D. nobilis* (Baird, 1845), *D. pygmaeus* (T. Scott & A. Scott, 1895), *D. tumidus* (Brady, 1910), *D. intermedius* (Scott T., 1912), *D. nanus* (Scott T., 1914), *D. campbellensis* Lang, 1948, *D. cystoeucus* Fahrenbach, 1954, *D. falcipes* Marinoni, 1964, *D. dissimilis* Lang, 1965, *D. unisetosus* Lang, 1965, *D. tetrastachyus* Yeatman, 1976, *D. parvulus* Pallares, 1977, *D. lilacinus* Pallares, 1977, *D. imitator* Gómez, Chertoprud & Morales-Serna, 2008, *D. tripartitus* Gómez, Chertoprud & Morales-Serna, 2008, *D. hexasetosus* Gómez, Chertoprud & Morales-Serna, 2008 (Gómez et al., 2008; Lang, 1965; Pallares, 1977; Reid, 1998; Suárez-Morales et al., 2006). Only a single species (*D. tetrastachyus*) has been hitherto recorded from the Caribbean Sea (Suárez-Morales et al., 2006). In Colombia, the knowledge on the composition of this harpacticoid family is still lagging and there are no previous records of species of *Diarthrodes* (Fuentes-Reinés & Suárez-Morales, 2014).

A recent biological survey of the aquatic fauna of a bay on the northern Colombia coast yielded male and female specimens of 2 undescribed species of *Diarthrodes*. They are described in full and compared with its closest congeners following the morphologic criteria and species grouping proposed by Gómez et al. (2008) for this genus.

## Materials and methods

Biological samples of shallow littoral habitats were obtained from Rodadero Bay, northern Colombia, western Caribbean Sea (11°14'10" N, 74°12'06" W) (August - October 2015), mainly at the inshore areas covered by vegetation (mangrove) and with an adjacent bank of oysters. Water salinity, pH, temperature were measured with a multiparameter WTW 350i equipment. Water samples were collected manually using a 25-l bucket at both littoral and limnetic habitats. Samples were filtered with a zooplankton net (mesh = 45 µm) and preserved in 70% ethanol. Copepods were processed for taxonomical identification including the examination of the whole specimen and dissection of selected appendages. Dissected appendages were mounted in slides with glycerine and sealed with Canada balsam. The specimens were measured in ventral position, from the anterior end of the rostral area to the posterior margin of the caudal rami. Drawings were made with the aid of a camera lucida mounted on an Olympus BX51 compound microscope equipped with Nomarski DIC. Some specimens were prepared for SEM examination with a JEOL LV 5900 microscope at the Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes (UAA), Mexico by following standard methods: dehydration in progressively higher ethanol solutions (60-100%), critical point drying, and gold-coating. The type specimens were deposited at the Centro de Colecciones Biológicas de Meiofauna, of Magdalena University, Colombia (CBUMAGMEI). Morphological terminology follows Huys and Boxshall (1991). The following abbreviations are used in the description: P1-P6 = first to sixth legs, EXP = exopod, ENP = endopod.

## Descriptions

Order Harpacticoida G.O. Sars, 1903

Family Dactylopusiidae Lang, 1936

*Diarthrodes* Thomson, 1883

*Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. (Figs. 1-5)

*Female.* Habitus robust, globose in lateral (Fig. 1A) and ventral views (Fig. 1B), with short urosome representing ca. 25% of total length; body widest at posterior margin of cephalothorax. Total body length of holotype measured from anteriormost end of rostrum to posterior margin of caudal rami in dorsal view, 322 µm; length range: 322-357




Figure 1. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. from Colombia. SEM-prepared female: A, habitus, lateral view; B, same, semi-lateral view; C, cephalic area, lateral view showing antennules (A1), antennae (A2) and mandibles (Md); D, cephalic area ventral view showing rostral area; E, urosome, lateral view, showing anal somite (AS) and crenulate margins; F, same, showing position and armature of leg 5.

µm, average length: 340 µm ( $n = 5$ ). Rostrum wide-based, rounded, not conspicuous in lateral view (Fig. 1C, D), with single large medial pore (arrow in Fig. 1D).

Prosomites with smooth posterior margins, urosomites with crenulate margins (Fig. 1E, F). Genital double-somite representing 50% of urosome, ornamented with lateral and dorsal sensillae (Fig. 1E). Anal somite with set of ventral spinules along insertion of caudal rami (Figs. 1E, 2E). Genital field as in figure 3E; P6 represented by short seta arising from small, oblong plate (Fig. 3E).

Caudal rami short, about 3 times as wide as long (Fig. 1E), armed with 7 elements; elements I, II and III situated at outer distal corner; seta I slender, relatively long, ventral to stout, spiniform element II; seta III slender, about as long as element II; setae IV and V normal in width and length; seta VI shorter than seta III; dorsal seta VII shortest, situated near insertion site of seta VI (Fig. 3E).

Antennule (Figs. 2A, B, 3A) 7-segmented, with aesthetascs on fourth and distal segments; surface of

first segment with set of 5-6 spinules on inner surface, succeeding segments lacking spinular ornamentation. Armature formula as: 1-(1), 2-(11), 3-(8), 4-(2+ae), 5-(1), 6(3), 7-(9+ acrothek). Second segment barrel-shaped, longest of antennule.

Antenna (Figs. 2D, 3B) with elongate allobasis elongate, lacking trace of division between basis and first endopodal segment, armed with single abexopodal seta almost reaching distal margin of free endopodal segment. EXP 1-segmented (arrow in Fig. 2D), with 5 lateral setae, and 1 seta and 1 spine on apical position. Free endopodal segment with 2 short lateral spines plus slender seta, apically 3 geniculate setae, plus 4 shorter slender setae, distal margin ornamented with row of spines.

Maxillule (Fig. 2C (Mxl)) with praecoxal arthrite armed with 2 relatively thick, long surface setae. Coxa armed with 2 setae, basis with 6. ENP and EXP 1-segmented, small, with 3 setae each.




Figure 2. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. from Colombia. SEM-prepared female: A, left antennule, ventral view; B, same, showing armature of proximal segments; C, mouthparts, ventral view showing maxillules (Mxl), maxillae (Mx), and maxillipedes (Mxp); D, antenna showing exopod and endopod; E, fifth leg, ventral view; F, male specimen, habitus, lateral view. Scale bar: F = 100 µm.

Maxilla (Figs. 2C, 3C) with syncoxa represented by 3 endites, each armed with single seta; distalmost endite longest. Basis produced into long, relatively slender serrate claw.

Maxilliped (Figs. 2C, 3D) with basis ornamented with distal row of spinules at insertion of 2 equally long apical setae. ENP1 with row of small spinules along inner margin and additional row along medial surface and with single seta halfway of inner margin. ENP2 with long slender claw.

Leg 1 (Fig. 4A) with wide coxa, ornamented with inner row of long spinules. Basis with pair of pores on medial position and distal row of 6-7 strong spinules at insertion of endopod. Inner spine stout, relatively long, outer spine short. EXP 2-segmented, barely reaching proximal half of ENP1; first segment with single outer serrate spine, second segment with outer serrate spine plus long apical serrate spine with 3 unequally long setae. ENP 3-segmented; first segment about 4.3 times as long as wide, with plumose seta inserted halfway of inner margin, second segment as long as third segment, the latter with 2 uniserially serrate




Figure 3. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult female: A, antennule; B, antenna; C, maxilla; D, maxilliped; E, urosome, ventral view; F, fifth leg. Scale bars: A-F = 10 µm.




Figure 4. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult female: A, leg 1; B, leg 2; C, leg 3; D, leg 4. Scale bars: A-D = 25 µm.

spines and single short, slender apical seta.

Leg 2 (Fig. 4B) with inner distal corner of basis with acute process, distal margin unornamented. Rami 3-segmented. EXP1 and EXP2 each with single inner seta; EXP3 with 7 elements (4 serrate spines, 3 setae). ENP not reaching distal margin of EXP3; inner margin of ENP1 with short stout spiniform element; ENP2 with 2 inner setae; ENP3 with 5 setal elements.

Leg 3 (Fig. 4C) with smooth coxa, basis with outer basipodal seta, distal margin unornamented. EXP and ENP 3-segmented. EXP1 and EXP2 each with single inner seta, EXP3 armed with 8 setal elements. ENP reaching about halflength of EXP3. ENP1 with single inner seta, ENP2 with 2 subequally long inner setae; ENP3 with 6 setal elements.




Figure 5. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult male: A, antennule; B, leg 1; C, detail of inner basal spine; D, modified leg 2 ENP2; E, urosome, ventral view, fifth legs omitted; F, fifth leg. Scale bars: A, B, D = 20 µm, C = 10 µm, E = 50 µm.

Leg 4 (Fig. 4D) with 3-segmented EXP and ENP. EXP as in P3. Endopod reaching proximal 1/3 of EXP3. ENP1 and ENP2 each with single inner seta; ENP3 with 5 setal elements.

Setal formula of legs 1-4 as:

	leg 1	leg 2	leg 3	leg 4
EXP	I-0;I,1,I,2	I-1;I-1;III,I1,2	I-1;I-1;III,I1,3	I-1;I-1;II,III1,3
ENP	0-1;0-0;II,1	0-1;0-2;I,2,2	0-1;0-2;I,2,3	0-1;0-1;I,2,2

Leg 5 (Figs. 1F, 2E, 3F) with baseoendopodal lobe ornamented with 2 rows of spinules on medial surface; lobe reaching about halflength of exopodal lobe, armed with 5 elements, 2 medial elements longest, with 2 small spines between insertion points (arrowed in Fig. 3F). EXP oblong, armed with 5 setal elements; spines at insertion of 2 outermost elements and large subdistal pore (arrowed (p) in Fig. 2E).

*Male.* General shape of habitus slenderer than in female (Fig. 2F); total body length range: 308-336 µm, average:

322 µm ( $n = 7$ ), allotype length: 322 µm. Urosome as in female dorsally, with crenulate posterior margins except for urosomites with smooth margins and rows of spinules on ventral surface; fifth pedigerous somite with preanal somite with single short row of 6-7 spinules on ventral surface; anal somite with large spinules on ventral surface at insertion of caudal rami (Fig. 5E). Caudal rami and setae as in female.

Antennule (Fig. 6A) 9-segmented, haplocer, with aesthetasc on third segment, acrothek on ninth segment; first segment with distal and medial rows of spinules, succeeding segments lacking spinular ornamentation. Observed armature formula as: 1(1)-2(11)-3(4+ae)-4(2)-5(4)-6(1)-7(1)-8(1)-9(7+acrothek). Antennae and mouthparts as in female.

Leg 1 (Fig. 5B) as in female, except for dimorphic, leaf-like inner spine (Fig. 5C) and ENP1 length/width which is about 5.6 as long as wide.

Leg 2 (Fig. 5D) basis with inner distal corner with acute process. EXP 3-segmented, EXP1 and EXP2 each




Figure 6. *Diarthrodes gomezi* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult female holotype: A, antennule; B, antenna; C, antennary exopod; D, mandible palp; E, maxillule; F, maxilla; G, maxilliped. Scale bars: A-G = 20 µm.

armed with inner seta; EXP3 with 7 setal elements. ENP barely reaching beyond distal margin of EXP2; ENP1 with inner spine. ENP2 sexually dimorphic, with 3 inner setae, proximal one short, subdistal longest (Fig. 5D). Proximal outer process represented by large spine with smaller adjacent spiniform elements; medial process outer subdistal process represented by cluster of 5 short spiniform elements; apical processes including strong spiniform element plus tongue-like modified setae (Fig. 5D).

Legs 3 and 4 as in female.

Leg 5 (Figs 5D, 6D) baseoendopodal lobe with row of small spinules on distal margin; lobe reaching about 1/3 length of exopodal lobe, armed with 3 subequally long spiniform elements. EXP subquadrate, armed with 5 setal elements (Fig. 5F).

#### Taxonomic summary

**Type material.** Adult female holotype (CBUMAGMEI: 0008), male allotype (CBUMAGMEI: 0009), Rodadero Bay, Magdalena, Colombia, coll. J. Fuentes-Reinés, August-October 2015. Paratypes: 3 males (CBUMAGMEI: 0010) and 3 females (CBUMAGMEI: 0011) same locality and collector. Two adult females, 2 adult males from same locality, date, and collector, specimens dissected, semi-permanent slides (ECO-CHZ 00000).

**Type locality.** Rodadero Bay, northern Colombia ( $11^{\circ}14'10''$  N,  $74^{\circ}12'06''$  W).

**Etymology.** The species is named after Dr. Philippe Bodin (CNRS, Brest, France) for his many contributions to the knowledge of the marine Harpacticoida, particularly in the Atlantic Ocean.

#### Remarks

Gómez et al. (2008) divided this genus into 7 groups based on the number of segments in the antennary exopod and the ramal segmentation of leg 1. *Diarthrodes bodini* sp. nov. belongs to group II (1-2-3) by the combination of the 1-segmented antennary exopod (Fig. 3B), a 2- segmented P1EXP (Fig. 4A), and 3-segmented P1ENP (Fig. 4A). This group now contains 10 species: *D. novaezealandiae*, *D. nanus*, *D. aegideus* (Brian, 1927), *D. gurneyi* Lang, 1948, *D. unisetosus*, *D. drachi* Bodou, 1974, *D. parvulus*, *D. latisetosus* Chislenko, 1978, *D. imitator*, and *D. bodini* sp. nov. Within this group, the new species *D. bodini*, *D. imitator* from the Mexican Pacific, *D. unisetosus* from California, *D. latisetosus* from Japan, and *D. aegideus* from Italy diverge from the other congeners in this group by sharing 2 inner setae on the P2ENP2 (Fig. 4B). Of these species, *D. bodini* most closely resembles *D. latisetosus* in the armature formula of P1-P4, antennule segmentation and the armature and structure of the antenna. These 2 species diverge in the following characters: 1) the inner spine of

P1 ENP1 goes well beyond the distal margin of the P2 ENP3 in *D. latisetosus* (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 4.6) whereas in *D. bodini* it is shorter, barely reaching beyond the distal margin of bearing first ramal segment (Fig. 4A); 2) in *D. latisetosus* the P1 ENP1 length/width ratio is about 3.4 (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 4.6) vs. 4.3 in *D. bodini* (Fig. 4A); 3) in *D. latisetosus* the third and fourth urosomites have ventral rows of spinules with smooth margins (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 9), whereas the corresponding somites lack ventral spinules and have crenulate margins in *D. bodini* (Figs. 1E, F, 3E); 4) in the new species *D. bodini* the P3ENP reaches the insertion of the inner proximal seta of P2 EXP3 (Fig. 4C) whereas in *D. latisetosus* P3ENP this ramus is shorter, barely reaching the distal margin of P2 EXP2 (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 5.2 ); 5) likewise, in *D. bodini* the P4ENP is clearly longer than in *D. latisetosus*; it reaches about the proximal 1/3 of P4EXP3 (Fig. 4D) whereas in the latter species, the P4ENP is clearly shorter, reaching midlength of P4EXP2 (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 5.3 ), 6) in the new species the female P5ENP is ornamented with strong spines at insertion of 2 outer setae (Figs 2E, 3F), whereas in *D. latisetosus* these accessory spines are absent (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 4.7); 7) the female P5 ENP has a pair of spiniform apical elements (arrowed in Fig. 3F) which are absent in *D. latisetosus* (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 4.7); 8) in the new species the setal elements on the female P5 EXP are uniformly wide, whereas *D. latisetosus* has a remarkably thicker element on P5 ENP (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 4.7); 9) the seminal receptacle is curved, with parallel lateral bean-like sacs at each side in *D. latisetosus* (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 4.9) whereas in *D. bodini* lateral sacs have a rounded shape in a different position (Fig. 3E); 10) the male modified basipodal spine of P1 is curved, apically acute in *D. bodini* and straight, apically rounded in *D. latisetosus* (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 5.7); 11) in *D. latisetosus* the male P2ENP2 lack a medial notch and its ornamentation is reduced, lacking additional spiniform elements (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 5.6) whereas a strong notch and modified setal elements including a cluster of 5 spines are present in *D. bodini* (Fig. 5D), 12) the male antennule is 7-segmented in *D. latisetosus* (Chislenko, 1978: fig. 5.10) vs. 9-segmented in *D. bodini* (Fig. 5A).

#### *Diarthrodes gomezi* sp. nov. (Figs. 6-9)

**Female.** Habitus resembling that of *D. bodini*, robust, globose in lateral and ventral views (Fig. 8D), with urosome representing ca. 30% of total length; body widest at posterior margin of cephalothorax. Total body length of holotype measured from anteriomost end of rostrum to posterior margin of caudal rami in dorsal view, 560  $\mu$ m. Rostrum wide-based, rounded, with large medial pore (Fig. 7F).




Figure 7. *Diarthrodes gomezi* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult female holotype: A, leg 1; B, detail of EXP3, leg 1, small apical seta arrowed; C, leg 2; D, leg 3; E, leg 4; F, rostrum, semi-lateral view. Scale bars: A, C-E = 25  $\mu$ m, B, F = 10  $\mu$ m.

Prosomites and urosomites with smooth posterior margins. Genital double-somite representing 50% of urosome, ornamented with pair of ventral sensillae near posterior margin; genital field as in Fig. 8C, with sixth leg represented by oblong fused plate armed with single short seta. Anal somite with set of ventral spinules along insertion of caudal rami.

Caudal rami short, about 3 times as wide as long (Fig. 8B), with 7 elements; elements I, II and III situated at outer distal corner; seta I slender, longer than seta II; seta III slender, long about twice as long as element II; setae IV and V normal in width and length; seta VI short, slender. Dorsal seta VII long, situated near insertion site of seta VI (Fig. 8B).

Antennule (Fig. 6A) 6-segmented, with aesthetascs on fourth and sixth segments; surface of first segment with 2 rows of spinules; succeeding segments lacking spinular ornamentation. Armature formula as: 1-(1), 2-(11), 3-(8),

4-(2+ae), 5-(1), 6-(9+ ae). Second segment longest of antennule.

Antenna (Fig. 6B, C) with elongate allobasis, armed with single abexopodal seta almost reaching midlength of succeeding free endopodal segment. EXP 3-segmented, armed with 2-1-4 setal elements on each segment; distal elements represented by stout seta and serrate spine. Free endopodal segment elongate, with 2 short lateral spines and slender seta, distally 3 geniculate setae, plus 3 shorter slender non-geniculate setal elements.

Mandible (Fig. 6D) with strong gnathobase, gnathal blade with irregular edge. Coxa-basis elongate, armed with single seta; palp with 1-segmented EXP and ENP, armed with 5 and 4 long setae, respectively.

Maxillule (Fig. 6E) with praecoxal arthrite armed with 2 relatively long proximal setae, 7 spiniform, distal elements plus 2 long medial setae. Coxa reduced, with 2 slender setae, basis with 6 setal elements. ENP and EXP 1-segmented, subrectangular, armed with 4 and 3 setae, respectively.

Maxilla (Fig. 6F) with syncoxa bearing 2 endites armed with 2 setae each; distalmost endite longer than proximal. Basis produced into strong, serrate claw.




Figure 8. *Diarthrodes gomezi* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult female holotype: A, fifth leg, medial protuberance arrowed; B, caudal ramus, ventral, showing caudal setae I-VII; C, genital double and postgenital somites, ventral view; D, habitus, lateral view; E, adult male allotype, lateral view. Scale bars: A-C = 20  $\mu$ m, D, E = 100  $\mu$ m.




Figure 9. *Diarthrodes gomezi* sp. nov. from Colombia, adult male allotype: A, antennule; B, mandible and palp; C, leg 1; D, detail of leg 1 basipodal modified seta; E, modified leg 2 ENP; F, detail of leg 2 ENP 2; G, leg 5; H, urosome, ventral view, fifth legs omitted. Scale bars: A = 50, B, C, E, G = 20  $\mu$ m, F, D = 10  $\mu$ m.

Maxilliped (Fig. 6G) basis with rows of long spinules, 1 proximal, 1 medial and 1 at insertion of 2 equally long apical naked setae. ENP1 with row of small spinules along inner margin near insertion of short inner seta; additional row on opposite position. ENP2 represented by long slender claw with small seta at insertion point and serrate inner margin.

Leg 1 (Fig. 7A) with wide coxa, ornamented with inner and outer rows of spinules plus 2 rows on medial margin. Basis with rows of long spinules on inner margin; distal row of 8-9 long, slender spinules at insertion of endopod. Inner and outer basipodal spines stout, relatively long. EXP 2-segmented, barely reaching proximal 1/3 of ENP1; first segment with single outer serrate spine, second segment with outer serrate spine plus short slender subapical seta (arrowed in Fig. 7A, B) and 2 apical unequally long spines. ENP 3-segmented; ENP1 about 4.2 times as long as wide, with short plumose seta inserted at proximal 1/3 of inner

margin, ENP2 as long as ENP3, the latter with 2 uniserially serrate spines, outer one about halflength than inner one, plus short, slender apical seta.

Leg 2 (Fig. 7C) coxa with row of 5 spinules on outer corner. Inner distal corner of basis with short acute process, distal margin with row of small spinules at insertion of ENP. Rami 3-segmented. EXP1 and EXP2 each with single inner seta; EXP3 with 6 elements (4 spines, 2 setae). Endopod short, barely reaching distal margin of EXP2; inner margin of ENP1 with short seta; ENP2 with 2 inner setae; ENP3 with 5 setal elements.

Leg 3 (Fig. 7D) coxa with 2 rows of spinules on outer corner, basis with single outer seta, distal margin unornamented except for minute spinule on distal inner margin near insertion of ENP. EXP and ENP 3-segmented. EXP1 and EXP2 each with single inner seta, EXP3 armed with 7 setal elements. ENP barely reaching distal margin of EXP2. ENP1 with single inner seta, ENP2 with 2 unequally long inner setae; ENP3 with 6 setal elements.

Leg 4 (Fig. 8E) with 3-segmented EXP and ENP. Coxa and basis and EXP as in leg 3 except for shorter basipodal seta. ENP not reaching distal margin of EXP2. ENP1 and ENP2 with 1 and 2 inner setae, respectively; ENP3 with 5 setal elements. EXP3 with 7 setal elements.

Setal formula of legs 1-4 as:

	leg 1	leg 2	leg 3	leg 4
EXP	I-0;I,1,II	I-1;I-1;II,II,2	I-1;I-1;II,II,3	I-1;I-1;II,II,3
ENP	0-1;0-0;II,1	1-1;0-2;I,2,2	0-1;0-2;I,2,3	0-1;0-2;I,2,2

Leg 5 (Fig. 8A) baseoendopodal lobe with outer row of small spinules at insertion of basal seta; lobe reaching beyond halflength of exopodal lobe, armed with 5 elements, 2 medial elements longest, with small protuberance between insertion points (arrowed in Fig. 8A). EXP subquadrate, armed with 4 setal elements, innermost thickest, medial one longest.

*Male*. General shape of habitus as in female, although slightly slimmer (Fig. 8E); total body length of allotype: 462  $\mu$ m ( $n = 1$ ). Urosome longer than in female, genital somite with ventral pair of plates each armed with short, inconspicuous single seta (Fig. 9H). Caudal rami and setae as in female.

Antennule (Fig. 9A) 8-segmented, haplocer, with aesthetasc on fifth segment; first segment with 2 rows of spinules, succeeding segments lacking spinular ornamentation. Armature formula not fully discernible: 1-(1), 2-(12), 3-(4), 4-(3), 5-(5+ae), 6-(2), 7-(0), 8(6+ae). Antennae and mouthparts as in female except mandible with 1 seta less on exopodal lobe (Fig. 9B).

Leg 1 as in female, except for dimorphic, modified inner spine (arrow in Fig. 9C, D).

Leg 2 (Fig. 9E, F) modified. ENP 2-segmented, ENP1 with inner spine, outer margin produced into acute process. ENP2 sexually dimorphic, with tripartite medial outer process; each lobe armed with short apical spiniform element; distal margin with 2 long setae.

Legs 3 and 4 as in female.

Leg 5 (Fig. 9G) baseoendopodal lobe smooth; lobe reaching about halflength of exopodal lobe, armed with 3 spiniform elements, innermost shortest. EXP subquadrate, armed with 4 setal elements.

#### Taxonomic summary

**Type material.** Female holotype (CBUMAGMEI: 0012-1-8), male allotype (CBUMAGMEI: 0013-1-8), zooplankton sample, August-October 2015, Rodadero Bay, northern Colombia (11°14'10" N, 74°12'06" W), collector J.M. Fuentes-Reinés. Specimens partially dissected, semi-permanent slides.

**Type locality.** Rodadero Bay, northern Colombia (11°14'10" N, 74°12'06" W).

**Etymology.** The species is warmly dedicated to Dr. Samuel Gómez (Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico) for his contributions to the taxonomic knowledge of this genus of harpacticoid copepods.

#### Remarks

*Diarthrodes gomezi* can be placed in group VII (3-2-3) (*sensu* Gómez et al. 2008) by its 3-segmented antennary exopod, 2-segmented P1EXP and 3-segmented P1ENP. This group comprises 13 species: *D. minutus* (Claus, 1863), *D. ponticus* (Kriczagin, 1877), *D. major* (Scott & Scott, 1895), *D. assimilis* (Sars, 1906), *D. roscoffensis* (Monard, 1935), *D. feldmanni* Bocquet, 1953, *D. cystoeucus*, *D. orientalis* Apostolov, 1975, *D. tetrastachyus*, *D. septentrionalis*, *D. lilacinus*, *D. brevipes* Wells & Rao, 1987, and *D. gomezi* sp. nov. Of these, *D. ponticus* and *D. cystoeucus* have been reported to display a high morphologic variability in different geographic areas (Apostolov, 1973; Brian, 1921, 1928; Farran, 1913; Monard, 1928; Sewell, 1940; Thompson & Scott, 1903; Wells & Rao, 1987); thus, they could represent a species complex.

*Diarthrodes gomezi* is unique among related congeners in possessing P3-P4EXP3 with 7 elements (Fig. 7D, E). Among species in *Diarthrodes* group VII (*sensu* Gómez et al., 2008), *D. gomezi* most closely resembles *D. minutus* in the following characters: 1) antennule segmentation, 2) number of setae and antennary segmentation, 3) setal formula of P1 and P2. However, the spine/seta formula of P1EXP2 of *D. minutus* is ambiguous because Claus (1863) and Sars (1906) illustrated P1EXP2 with 5 elements, while Apostolov and Marinov (1988) showed

the P1EXP2 having 4 setal elements only. The new species *D. gomezi* can be distinguished from *D. minutus* by: 1) the P1ENP1 inner seta is remarkably long, it reaches the distal margin of P1ENP2 (Lang, 1948: Abb. 218 6P1) whereas in *D. gomezi* it is clearly shorter, barely reaching beyond distal 1/3 of same segment (Fig. 7A); 2) in *D. gomezi* the P1EXP2 is armed with 4 elements (Fig. 7A) vs. 5 in *D. minutus* (Lang, 1948: Abb. 218-6P1female; Wells 2007: p. 373); 3) the small apical seta on P1ENP3 is clearly longer in *D. minutus* (Lang, 1948: Abb. 218, 6P1) than in *D. gomezi*, which shows a short, inconspicuous seta (arrowed in Fig. 7A); 4) the male P2ENP2 has 3 short, strong spines and 2 long apical setae in *D. gomezi* (Fig. 9E) vs. a distinct armature represented by 2 long spines and 3 setae in *D. minutus* (Lang, 1948: Abb. 218-6P2ENP male), 5) in *D. gomezi* the male P5EXP is armed with 4 setal elements (Fig. 9G) vs. 5 setae in *D. minutus* (Lang, 1948: Abb. 218, 6P5 male).

The new species, *Diarthrodes bodini* and *D. gomezi* are currently known exclusively from the type locality, Rodadero Bay, on the Caribbean coast of Colombia. They were found in the littoral zone in an area covered by mangrove with a small adjacent bank of oysters at a depth of 0.70 m and water temperature ranging between 30 and 32 °C, salinity 36.1 psu, pH 8.3. Most species of *Diarthrodes* are strictly benthic and may be eurytopic (Bodin & Le Guellec, 1992). Several species are known to live as epizoic or endophytic forms in association with algae (Shimono et al., 2007); they display a unique feeding strategy, by segregating a mucus-trap to agglutinate bacteria. The 2 described species of *Diarthrodes* were found in the plankton net but it is likely that more species of this genus could be recovered by sampling the invertebrate community dwelling among algal patches (Alper et al., 2010; Curvelo & Corbisier, 2000). Further research on the biology, life cycle and feeding of the Colombian species, and their possible association with algae, are clearly interesting research subjects regarding this genus in the surveyed area.

#### Acknowledgements

Dr. Samuel Gómez (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Mexico) kindly supplied valuable taxonomic literature. Marcelo Silva-Briano and Araceli Adabache, Laboratorio Institucional de Microscopía Electrónica, Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes, Mexico, kindly and efficiently performed the processing of the specimens for SEM analysis. Constructive comments and suggestions from two anonymous reviewers are greatly appreciated.

## References

- Alper, A., Karaytuğ, S., & Sak, S. (2010). Interstitial and phytal Harpacticoida (Crustacea: Copepoda) inhabiting the medio littoral zone of the Datça-Bozburun Peninsulas (Muğla, Turkey). *SDU Journal of Science*, 5, 16–28.
- Apostolov, A. (1973). Apport vers l'étude harpacticoïdes pontiques habitant les algues marines. *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, 191, 263–281.
- Apostolov, A., & Marinov, T. (1988). *Copepoda, Harpacticoida*. Fauna Bulgarica 18. *Sofia, Bulgaricae: Aedibus Academiae Scientiarum*.
- Bodin, P., & Le Guellec, C. (1992). Meiobenthos of the Bay of Saint-Brieuc (North Brittany, France). II. Harpacticoid copepod diversity and species assemblages. *Oceanologica Acta*, 15, 673–686.
- Boxshall, G. A., & Halsey, S. H. (2004). *An introduction to copepod diversity*. London: The Ray Society.
- Brian, A. (1921). *I Copepodi harpacticoidi del Golfo di Genova*. Studi del Laboratorio Marino di Quarto dei Mille, R. Genova: Istituto Sordomuti.
- Brian, A. 1928. Descrizione di specie nuove o poco conosciute di Copepodi bentonici del mare Egeo. (Nota preliminare). *Bollettino dei Musei e Laboratori di Zoologia e Anatomia comparata della R. Università di Genova, Serie 2*, 7, 1–37.
- Chislenko, L. L. (1978). New species of harpacticoid copepods (Copepoda, Harpacticoida) from the Possjet Bay of the Sea of Japan. *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta, Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 61, 161–192.
- Claus, C. (1863). *Die frei lebenden Copepoden mit besonderer berücksichtigung der Fauna Deutschlands, der Nordsee und des Mittelmeeres*. Leipzig: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann.
- Curvelo, R. R., & Corbisier, T. N. (2000). The meiofauna associated with *Sargassum cymosum* at Lázaro Beach, Ubatuba, São Paulo. *Revista Brasileira de Oceanografia*, 48, 119–130.
- Farran, G. P. (1913). Marine Entomostraca. In A biological survey of Clare Island in the county of Mayo, Ireland, and of the adjoining district. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, 31, 1–20.
- Fuentes-Reinés, J., & Suárez-Morales, E. (2014). Annotated checklist and new records of Harpacticoida (Copepoda) from a coastal system of northern Colombia, South America. *Crustaceana*, 87, 212–255.
- Gómez, S., Chertoprud, E. S., & Morales-Serna, F. N. (2008). New species of the genus *Diarthrodes* Thomson, 1882 (Copepoda: Harpacticoida: Thalestridae) from Vietnam and north-western Mexico. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine*, 49, 123–150.
- Huys, R. (1990). A new harpacticoid copepod family collected from Australian sponges and the status of the subfamily Rhynchothalestrinae Lang. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 99, 51–115.
- Huys, R. (2016). Harpacticoid copepods —their symbiotic associations and biogenic substrata: a review. *Zootaxa*, 4174, 448–729.
- Huys, R., & Boxshall, G.A. (1991). *Copepod evolution*. London: The Ray Society.
- Lang, K. (1936). Copepoda Harpacticoida. *Further zoological results of the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901–1903*, 3, 6–68.
- Lang, K. (1948). *Monographie der Harpacticiden I & II*. A.-B. Stockholm: Nordiska Bokhandeln.
- Lang, K. (1965). Copepoda Harpacticoida from the Californian Pacific coast. *Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, Fjärde Serien*, 10, 5–560.
- Monard, A. (1928). Les Harpacticoides marins de Banyuls. *Archives de Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale*, 67, 259–443.
- Pallares, R. E. (1977). Copépodos harpacticoides marinos de Tierra del Fuego (Argentina). Isla de los Estados II. El género *Diarthrodes* Thomson, 1882. *Contribuciones Científicas CIBIMA*, 14, 1–15.
- Reid, J. W. (1998). Maxillopoda - Copepoda. Harpacticoida. In P. S. Young (Ed.), *Catalogue of Crustacea of Brazil* (pp. 75–127), Série Livros n. 6. Rio de Janeiro: Museu Nacional.
- Sars, G. O. (1906). Copepoda Harpacticoida. Parts XI–XII. Thalestridae (continued), Diosaccidae (part). *An account of the Crustacea of Norway, with short descriptions and figures of all the species. The Bergen Museum*, 5, 133–156.
- Sewell, R. B. S. (1940). Copepoda, Harpacticoida. *The John Murray Expedition 1933–1934 Scientific Reports*, 7, 117–382.
- Shimono, T., Iwasaki, N., & Kawai, H. (2007). A new species of *Dactylopusioides* (Copepoda: Harpacticoida: Thalestridae) infesting brown algae, and its life history. *Zootaxa*, 1582, 59–68.
- Suárez-Morales, E., De Troch, M., & Fiers, F. (2006). A checklist of the marine Harpacticoida (Copepoda) of the Caribbean Sea. *Zootaxa*, 1285, 1–19.
- Thomson, G. M. (1883). On the New Zealand Copepoda. *Transactions and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute*, 15, 93–116.
- Thompson, I. C., & Scott, A. (1903). Report on the Copepoda collected by Professor Herdman, at Ceylon, in 1902. In W.A. Herdman (Eds.), *Report to the Government of Ceylon on the pearl oyster fisheries of the Gulf of Manaar*, 1, 227–307.
- Walter, T. C., & Boxshall, G. A. (2017). World of Copepods database. Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species on 2017-08-18, at <http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=116566>
- Wells, J. B. J. (2007). An annotated checklist and keys to the species of Copepoda Harpacticoida (Crustacea). *Zootaxa*, 1568, 1–872.
- Wells, J. B. J., & Rao, G. C. (1987). Littoral Harpacticoida (Crustacea: Copepoda) from Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Memoirs of the Zoological Survey of India*, 16, 1–385.
- Willen, E. (2000). Phylogeny of the Thalestridimorpha Lang, 1944 (Crustacea, Copepoda). Göttingen: Cuvillier Verlag.