Phylogeny and biogeography of the genus *Pseudectinosoma*, and description of *P. janineae* sp. n. (Crustacea, Copepoda, Ectinosomatidae)

DIANA M. P. GALASSI, MARIE-JOSÉ DOLE-OLIVIER & PAOLA DE LAURENTIIS

Accepted 15 January 1999

Galassi, D. M. P., Dole-Olivier, M. J. & De Laurentiis, P. (1999). Phylogeny and biogeography of the genus *Pseudectinosoma*, and description of *P. janineae* sp. n. (Crustacea, Copepoda, Ectinosomatidae). — *Zoologica Scripta 28*, 289–303.

Recent stygobiological investigations carried out in the circum-Mediterranean area resulted in the discovery of a new species of the genus *Pseudectinosoma* Kunz, described herein as *P. janineae* sp. n. The taxonomic status of the genus is reviewed in a phylogenetic perspective. The origin of the present-day distribution of the genus *Pseudectinosoma* is reconstructed both from patterns of relationships among the species and from palaeogeographical data.

Diana M. P. Galassi & Paola De Laurentiis, Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali, University of L'Aquila, via Vetoio, Coppito, I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy. E-mail:diana.galassi@aquila.infn.it

Marie-José Dole-Olivier, E. S. A. CNRS N.5023, Ecologie des eaux douces, Hydrobiologie et Ecologie Souterraines, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I, 43 Bd du 11 Novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.

Introduction

The genus Pseudectinosoma Kunz, 1935 belongs to the family Ectinosomatidae Sars, 1903, which primarily inhabits marine and brackish water environments. The family is rarely represented in continental freshwater bodies, with a few exceptions known in the genera Halectinosoma Lang, 1944, Arenosetella C. B. Wilson 1932 and Pseudectinosoma, all of which, however, relate to continental groundwater. The genus Pseudectinosoma is a poorly diversified genus of marine origin, although mainly recorded from continental groundwater localities. It was established to accommodate the type-species P. minor Kunz, 1935, which was based on material from the detritus-rich environment of the Kiel Bay (Germany). The following year, Schäfer (1936) recorded the same species from the Baltic Proper, and synonymized the genus Pseudectinosoma with Sigmatidium Giesbrecht, 1881. Later the genus was re-instated by Kunz (1974) and subsequently emended by Galassi (1997). P. minor has been recorded from salt marshes in New Jersey, USA (Coull 1977), but this record is doubtful and would require confirmation. The species has also been cited from the North Sea by Heip et al. (1983) and by Huys et al. (1996), however, this record most probably represents a misidentification of an as yet undescribed Pseudectinosoma species (Huys, personal communication). Rouch (1969)

described the second species of the genus, *P. vandeli*, from groundwater habitats of the Cent-Fons karstic system (Hérault, France). Recently, two new species were discovered and described from Italian groundwater, namely *P. kunzi* Galassi, 1997 and *P. reductum* Galassi & De Laurentiis, 1997. Another unidentified *Pseudectinosoma* sp. has also been reported by Gourbault & Lescher-Moutoué (1979) from Mallorca. Ongoing investigation of the interstitial communities in the Rhône River (France) since 1977 (Dole-Olivier *et al.* 1994), at different spatio-temporal scales, revealed the presence of a new species of *Pseudectinosoma*, described herein as *P. janineae* sp. n.

The phylogenetic relationships among the species of *Pseudectinosoma* are analysed using cladistics and a plausible palaeogeographical landscape is also drawn to explain the present-day distribution of the genus.

Materials and methods

Samples were taken from wells using a $80-\mu m$ mesh net (Bou-Rouch method) through which water of phreatic origin was collected and filtered. Quantitative replicate samples were taken from the interstitial habitat with a Bou-Rouch pump and filtered through a $160-300 \ \mu m$ mesh net. Specimens were preserved in 7% formalin solution. Dissected specimens were mounted in polyvinyl

lactophenol. Drawing and measurements were made using a camera lucida on a Leitz Laborlux phase contrast microscope. Since body length measurements are often affected by the telescoping action of the body somites, the alternative method for measuring the total length of the specimens was also used, according to Clément & Moore (1995). The terminology proposed by Huys & Boxshall (1991) is adopted.

Abbreviations used

ae, aesthetasc P1-P6, first to sixth thoracopods exp, exopod enp, endopod benp, baseoendopod pl, plesiomorphic character state ap, apomorphic character state

Order HARPACTICOIDA Sars 1903 Family ECTINOSOMATIDAE Sars 1903

Genus Pseudectinosoma Kunz, 1935

Pseudectinosoma janineae sp. n. (Figs 1,2,3, Table 1)

Holotype. \bigcirc , completely dissected and mounted in polyvinyl lactophenol on a single slide; deposited in the Natural History Museum, London.

Type locality. River Rhône (France), downstream from the confluence of the River Rhône and the River Aîn; coordinates: 44°44′ 57″N, 5°13′ 14″E; altitude: 191 m a.s.l.; interstitial habitat; 5 December 1975; coll. M.–J. Dole-Olivier.

Paratypes. 2 $\Im \Im$, dissected and mounted as above, River Rhône, Miribel Canal, 186 m a.s.l.; interstitial biotope; 5 December 1975, 11 October 1983; coll. Marie-José Dole-Olivier. 4 $\Im \Im$, dissected and mounted as above, phreatic waters (well), N of Lyon, Villeurbanne, campus of the University Claude Bernard, Lyon I (France); coordinates: 44°44′56″N, 5°13′14″E; altitude: 168 m a.s.l.; 11 November 1993; coll. Dominique Martin.

Other material. $3 \ q \ correctly a \ corr$

Etymology. It is a pleasure to name this new species in honour of Janine Gibert (University of Lyon, France), in recognition of her important contribution to the field of groundwater ecology.

Description.

Female. Length, excluding caudal setae, from 290 μ m to 370 μ m (*n* = 7) (300 μ m, holotype); sum of individual somite lengths from 320 μ m to 400 μ m (390 μ m, holo-

type). Body fusiform and colourless. Rostrum hyaline, reaching to proximal third of second segment of antennule on frontal side. Cephalothorax irregularly pitted (Fig. 1a); 12 pairs of sensillae recognizable on cephalic shield, the anteriormost at the basis of rostrum. Posterior dorsal margins of thoracic somites, excluding the fifth thoracic somite, smooth; thoracic somites with 2 pairs of sensillae on dorsal surface and 1 pair of sensillae on ventral surface, the latter lacking on the fifth thoracic somite. Abdominal somites, excluding anal somite, with denticulate hyaline frill dorsally; anal somite with 2 sensillae distally on dorsal surface. Ventral margins of abdominal somites armed with semi-incised subulate hyaline frill (Fig. 2a). Both genital and first abdominal somites completely fused forming genital double-somite, without cuticular ornamentation marking original segmentation. Genital field as in Fig. 1e. Irregular distribution of pores on both ventral and dorsal surfaces of body somites, with the exception of both anal and genital somites, the latter lacking pitting only around the genital field.

Caudal rami (Fig. 1b,c) wider than long, hyaline frill of anal somite fully incised subulate. Armature as follows: seta I lacking, setae II and III slender and bare, of about the same lengths, setae IV and V well developed, seta VI very long, seta VII on dorsal inner knob. Posterior margins of each caudal ramus prolonged into triangular lappets, both dorsally and ventrally; dorsal lappet strong, ventral lappet spiniform.

Antennule (Fig. 2b): short, 4-segmented; segment 1 with 1 laterodistal seta, segment 2 with 2 plumose and 3 slender and bare outer setae, 4 setae on frontal ridge and 2 lateral surface setae, 2 apical long setae plus 1 aesthetasc, segment 3 short, with 1 outer seta, segment 4 with 1 outer seta, 2 setae inserted on the middle of segment; 2 apical and slender setae, 1 hyaline seta and 1 aesthetasc distally.

Antenna (Fig. 2c): basis unarmed; exopod 2-segmented, segment 1 bearing 1 lateral plumose seta, segment 2 with 2 long apical plumose setae; endopod 2-segmented, segment 1 unarmed, segment 2 with some spinules and 2 stout and short setae along inner margin, 1 apical slender seta, 2 apical unispinulose setae, 1 geniculate seta and 1 subapical slender seta.

Mandible (Fig. 2d): cutting edge of coxa with 2 strong teeth, 2 smaller teeth fused to the crenulate chitinous lamella; 1 seta at dorsal corner. Mandibular palp: basis with 3 medial setae; exopod bearing 1 subapical and 2 apical setae of different lengths, the longest plumose; endopod bearing 8 setae, 3 of which along medial margin.

Maxillule (Fig. 2e): praecoxal arthrite bearing 3 setae and 1 strong spine, not defined at base, and 2 slender anterior surface setae; basis apparently fused with endopod into a laminar plate bearing 10 setae; exopod 1-segmented, with 2 apical plumose setae.



Fig. 1 *a–f. Pseudectinosoma janineae* sp. n. *– a.* Habitus (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– b.* Caudal ramus, dorsal side (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– c.* Caudal ramus, ventral side (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– d.* Spermatophore. *– e.* Genital field (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– f.* Abdomen and caudal rami (\mathcal{J} , paratype).

Maxilla (Fig. 2f): praecoxa and coxa fused into syncoxa bearing 3 endites; first (proximal) forming single bilobed protuberance bearing 1 plumose seta on each lobe, both setae not defined at base; second (middle) endite rudimentary, with 2 long setae; third (distal) endite well developed, with 3 plumose apical setae. Basis and proximal segments

of endopod fused; free endopod apparently 3-segmented, but only segment 3 clearly defined, bearing 3 slender setae; 2 strong geniculate setae and 2 naked setae on free distal margin of endopodal segment 1, 3 slender setae on distal margin of basis.

Maxilliped (Fig. 2g) slender, not prehensile, 3-segmen-



Fig. 2 *a–b. Pseudectinosoma janineae* sp. n. *– a.* Abdomen and caudal rami (\mathcal{Q} , holotype). *– b.* Antennule (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– c.* Antenna (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– d.* Mandible (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– e.* Maxillule (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– f.* Maxilla (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– g.* Maxilliped (\mathcal{Q} , paratype). *– h.* Antennule (\mathcal{J} , paratype).



Fig. 3 *a–g. Pseudectinosoma janineae* sp. n. – *a.* P1 (\Im , holotype). – *b.* P2 (\Im , holotype). – *c.* P3 (\Im , holotype). – *d.* P4 (\Im , holotype). – *e.* P5 (\Im , holotype). – *f.* P5 (\Im , paratype). – *g.* P6 (\Im , paratype).

ТАХА	P1		P2		P3		P4	
	exp	enp	exp	enp	exp	enp	exp	enp
S. difficile	0.1.122	1.321	1.1.222	1.1.221	1.1.322	1.1.221	1.1.322	1.1.221
S. rouchi	0.1.122	1.111	?	?	0.1.122	?.1.221	0.1.122	1.0.121
S. noodti	1.1.122	1.221	1.1.122	1.1.121	0.1.122	1.1.121	0.1.122	1.1.121
S. parvulum	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.1.220	0.1.122	1.1.220	1.1.122	1.0.220
S. triarticulatum	0.1.122	1.0.221	1.1.122	1.1.221	1.1.122	1.1.221	1.1.122	1.0.221
S. kunzi	0.1.122	1.221	1.1.122	1.1.221	1.1.122	1.1.221	1.1.222	1.1.221
P. minor	0.1.122	1.221	0.1.222	1.221	0.1.322	1.221	0.1.322	1.221
P. vandeli	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121
P. kunzi	0.1.022	1.121	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121
P. reductum	0.1.022	1.121	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121	0.1.122	1.121
<i>P. janineae</i> sp. n.	0.1.122	1.221	0.1.222	1.221	0.1.222	1.221	0.1.222	1.221

Table 1 Setation formula of P1-P4 in Sigmatidium and Pseudectinosoma species.

ted; syncoxa with a long plumose seta, basis with minor ornamentation consisting of 1 short setule and some hairlike elements on laterodistal corner; endopod with 2 subapical setae and 2 apical setae, two of which plumose.

P1-P4 with 3-segmented exopods and 2-segmented endopods. Intercoxal sclerites without ornamentation.

P1 (Fig. 3a): coxa with 3 thin long setules along outer margin. Basis with inner medial unipinnate spine and a long outer seta. Exopod: segment 1 with 1 outer spine, segment 2 with 1 outer spine and 1 long plumose inner seta, segment 3 with 2 outer spines, the distal one in subapical position, 2 apical and 1 plumose inner seta. Endopod: segment 1 with a long, strong inner seta, segment 2 with 2 inner and 1 apical plumose setae, 1 apical asymmetrical spine (setulose on inner margin, spinulose on outer margin) and 1 outer unipinnate spine.

P2 (Fig. 3b): basis unarmed, with spinules of different lengths along both inner and outer margins. Exopod: segment 1 with 1 outer spine, an outer row of spinules and some setules along inner margin, segment 2 with 1 outer spine, an outer row of spinules and 1 long, plumose inner seta, segment 3 with 2 outer spines, the distal one in subapical position, and an outer row of spinules, 2 plumose apical setae and 2 bare inner seta. Endopod: segment 1 with 1 long, plumose inner seta and 2 rows of spinules along outer margin and on surface, segment 2 with 2 inner and 1 apical plumose setae, 1 apical and 1 outer unipinnate spine, of different lengths.

P3 (Fig. 3c): basis unarmed, minor ornamentation as in P2. Exopod: segment 1 with 1 outer spine; segment 2 with 1 outer spine and 1 long, plumose inner seta; segment 3 with 2 outer spines, the distal one in subapical position, 2 plumose apical setae and 2 shorter plumose inner setae. Endopod: segment 1 with 1 long, plumose inner seta and an outer row of spinules; segment 2 with 2 inner and 1 apical plumose setae, 1 apical unipinnate and 1 outer bipinnate spines. Minor ornamentation as in P2. P4 (Fig. 3d): basis armed and ornamented as in P2-P3. Exopod: segment 1 with 1 outer spine; segment 2 with 1 outer spine and 1 long inner seta; segment 3 with 2 outer spines, the distal one in subapical position, 2 plumose apical setae and 2 pinnate inner setae. Endopod: segment 1 with 1 inner seta, proximal third of which with long setules, strong spinules sparsely on the remaining 2/3 of length, segment 2 with 1 spinulose inner seta, 1 inner and 1 apical plumose setae, 1 unipinnate apical spine and 1 bipinnate outer spine. Minor ornamentation as in P2-P3.

P5 (Fig. 3e): fifth pair of legs medially confluent, not protruding beyond free ventral edge of P5-bearing somite; overlying genital field. Baseoendopod and exopod completely fused and reduced to a lamellar plate bearing 2 plumose setae, of about the same length. Outer basal seta lacking.

Male. Body length, excluding caudal setae, from 260 μ m to 380 μ m (*n* = 6); sum of individual somite lengths from 290 μ m to 380 μ m. Hyaline frills, ornamentation of body somites and caudal rami (Fig. 1f) as in female.

Antennule (Fig. 2h): 5-segmented, haplocer, geniculation between segments 3 and 4; segment 2 shorter than in female; segment 3 longest, longer than in female, with weak sclerotization, segments 4 and 5 clearly distinct, segment 4 weakly sclerotized. Armature as follows: segment 1 with 1 outer plumose seta; segment 2 with 4 bare outer setae and 5 setae on frontal ridge; segment 3 with 2 long lateral setae and a well developed aesthetasc on the middle part of segment, and 1 surface seta; segment 4 with 1 lateral seta, segment 5 with acrothek (1 long hyaline seta + 1ae) and 1 slender seta distally.

P1-P4 as in female.

P5 (Fig. 3f): fifth pair of legs medially confluent, armed with 2 setae; incision between right and left legs less marked in comparison with female.

P6 (Fig. 3g) reduced to a chitinous rounded lamellar plate, unarmed. Spermatophore as in Fig. 1d.

Remarks. At present, the genus Pseudectinosoma includes 5 species: P. janineae sp. n., P. minor, P. vandeli, P. kunzi and P. reductum. Within this genus, P. janineae sp. n. is easily distinguishable by the unique chaetotaxy of P3-P4 exopods with 6 elements on segment 3 (Table 1). In regard to the elements topology on P1-P4 exopodal segment 3, while P. minor is described by Schäfer (1936) with 1 outer spine on P1 exopodal segment 3 and with 2 outer spines on P2-P4 exopodal segment 3, the remaining species of Pseudectinosoma were described with 1 outer and 1 apical spine (Rouch 1969; Galassi 1997; Galassi & De Laurentiis 1997). A rigorous comparison with Sigmatidium species, which are always reported with 2 outer spines, revealed the same situation in both Sigmatidium and Pseudectinosoma species for all legs. In particular, the distalmost outer spine shows an apical position, with independent insertion surface in respect to the remaining two apical elements (2 setae or 1 seta plus 1 spine), which, on the contrary, are coupled on the apical rim of the segment. This evidence supports homology between the previously reported 'apical spine' of Pseudectinosoma and the distalmost outer spine of Sigmatidium. The misinterpretation of the real topology of this spine in Pseudectinosoma is due to the close adjacency of elements on the apical rim of P1-P4 exopodal segment 3, which made unresolved the precise insertion of the spine. Consequently, the setation formula of P1-P4 in Pseudectinosoma species is emended as in Table 1.

Pseudectinosoma janineae sp. n. weakly resembles both P. minor and P. vandeli in different morphological characters. With P. minor, as redescribed and figured by Schäfer (1936) and adopted by Kunz (1974), it shares the same armature of P1-P2 exopods and the identical chaetotaxy of P1-P4 endopods, markedly differing from this species by the chaetotaxy of P3-P4 exopods (bearing 7 elements in P. minor vs. 6 in P. janineae sp. n.), by the morphology and armature of P5 in both male and female (4 baseoendopodal setae and the outer basal seta present in P. minor vs. 2 baseoendopodal setae and the outer basal seta absent in P. janineae sp. n.), and by the sexual dimorphism in the segmental pattern of antennules (4-segmented in female and 5-segmented in male of P. janineae sp. n. vs. 5 segmented in both male and female in P. minor). With P. vandeli it shares the segmental pattern of the male antennule, the same armature of P1 exopod and of P5, differing by the antennulary segmental pattern in the female (4-segmented in P. janineae sp. n. vs. 5-segmented in P.vandeli), the armature of the P1 endopod and of both rami of P2-P4. Comparison of the mouthparts and caudal rami proved uninformative due to the lack of detail in the original drawings and descriptions given by Kunz (1935) and Schäfer (1936) for P. minor, and by Rouch (1969) for P. vandeli.

Ecology. About 50 stations in the alluvial floodplain of the Rhône and Aîn rivers (Jons sector) have been investigated since 1983 (Marmonier 1988; Marmonier et al. 1992; Dole-Olivier et al. 1993), however, P. janineae sp. n. was found only at seven of them. This is probably due to sampling bias since the mesh size used was almost certainly too large (160 μ m, and more frequently 300 μ m) in comparison with the small size of the species. The fact that the more numerous populations were found at stations that had been sampled with a $80-\mu m$ mesh net is indicative for this (Gibert, unpublished data). Nevertheless, at present, the distribution of P. janineae sp. n. seems to be restricted to the floodplain margins (oxbow lakes and backwaters situated far from the active channel), or to deep zones in the sediments (1 m, 2 m, 2.5 m or 7 m below the surface of the substrate) and/or to upwelling areas of the main channel. These observations suggest that P. janineae sp. n. is probably related to stable environmental conditions, indicated by the low frequency of seasonal flooding in these areas and by the steady supply of groundwater. Moreover, the low variability in physico-chemical conditions of the aquifer was previously described in several studies (Dole 1983a; Marmonier 1988). Particularly, low amplitudes in annual variations were mentioned for temperature in an upwelling area in the main channel, i.e. 2.6 °C at 2 m below the surface of the substrate vs. 14.4 °C in the surface water (Dole-Olivier et al. 1997), or in the Grand-Gravier oxbow lake, with a mean annual variation of 2.5 °C at 0.5 m below the bottom (Dole 1983b). Many studies, dealing with the tridimensional distribution of interstitial fauna in this alluvial site (longitudinally along reaches, transversally across the floodplain, and vertically below several meters of sediment), revealed the presence of some stygobiont species (Salentinella jubertieae Coineau, 1968, Microcharon regineae Dole & Coineau, 1987, Bathynella sp.). These species were defined as phreatobiont by Dole-Olivier & Marmonier (1992) and Dole-Olivier et al. (1993), and show the same fine-scale distribution and ecological requirements as P. janineae sp. n. According to the above observations, P. janineae sp. n. could be considered a phreatobiont species sensu Gibert et al. (1994).

Phylogeny of the genus Pseudectinosoma

Although the taxonomy of the 'Sigmatidium-related genera' (Kunz 1974) is in urgent need of revision, comparisons of the morphology and the armature of the mouthparts support a close affinity between the more primitive genus Sigmatidium, still living in the marine environment (plesio-typic habitat) and the most derived genus Pseudectinosoma, which seems to have abandoned this ancestral environment. Within this group, the marine genera Noodtiella



Fig. 4 Strict consensus tree from 9 trees generated by Phylip (Branch-and-Bound option on ordered characters). This tree is identical with the Nelson consensus tree.

Wells, 1965 and *Lineosoma* Wells, 1965 appear to be only distantly related to the *Sigmatidium-Pseudectinosoma* lineage, since many differences are found in the structure of the antennule, maxillule, maxilla and maxilliped (Kunz 1974; Huys *et al.* 1996).

In our cladistic analysis within the genus *Pseudectino-soma*, the plesiomorphic states of characters 1–16 (Table 2) are inferred from the most closely related outgroup *Sigmatidium*. A binary matrix (Table 3) was constructed, in which the plesiomorphic state was coded as '0' and the apomorphic state as '1'. The taxonomy of the *Sigmatidium* and *Pseudectinosoma* species is rather difficult due to the lack of complete descriptions and the loss of typematerial of *P. minor*, *P. vandeli*, *S. rouchi* Kunz, 1974 and *S. noodti* Kunz, 1974. Moreover, the male is unknown for some *Sigmatidium* and *Pseudectinosoma* species and the results based on adult females only, must therefore be

considered as preliminary. The polarity of the characters has been verified for all Sigmatidium and Pseudectinosoma species. In this regard, the setation formula of P1 exopodal segment 3 for P. minor, given in Schäfer (1936)'s text description, has been corrected according to his contradicting Fig. 9, and the setation formula of P1-P4 exopodal segment 3 of P. vandeli, P. kunzi and P. reductum emended as previously argued (Table 1). Similarly, the setation formula of P3-P4 endopodal distal segments in Sigmatidium rouchi is amended in accordance with Kunz (1974: figs 19,20). Moreover, the re-examination of the type-material of S. difficile Giesbrecht, 1881 revealed some mistakes and characters that had been overlooked in the original description and, particularly, a quite different setation formula of the P2-P4 endopods and P1-P4 exopods.

The matrix was processed by Penny Algorithm, employing the Branch-and-Bound option to find all most parsimonious trees, Phylip package, version 3.572c (Felsenstein 1993), using the Camin-Sokal parsimony method, under the assumption that true character reversals are extremely rare in copepods (Huys & Boxshall 1991). Moreover, the polarity of characters we assumed seems to be in line with the global trend in copepod evolution, which has proceeded toward fusion and loss of segments and setation elements. Nine equally parsimonious trees were found (tree length = 22 steps), all showing two, more or less, identical robust clades, the Sigmatidium and the Pseudectinosoma clades. The trees show a very similar topology, differing in the position of the species S. difficile. In three trees the species is placed as the plesiomorphic sister species of the Sigmatidium group, in three trees is placed as first offshoot of the Sigmatidium-Pseudectinosoma branch, and in the remaining ones as offshoot of the Pseudectinosoma clade. This instability is due to the high number of characters that the species shows in plesiomorphic state, conferring it a condition similar to the 'Sigmatidium-like ancestor'. S. difficile, apart from the striking similarities with all members of the Sigmatidium-Pseudectinosoma lineage in the morphology of the mouthparts, is more closely related to the Sigmatidium clade on the basis of the following characters: antennulary and P2-P4 segmental patterns, and P5 structural plan. These characters appear more informative of phylogenetic affinity in respect to those shared with members of the genus Pseudectinosoma. In particular, homology in the segmental pattern of antennules and P2-P4 endopods, highly conservative at generic level, is regarded as more consistent, if compared to the significance of the number of inner elements on P3-P4 exopodal segment 3, on the reasonable assumption that the loss of segments requires a more consistent genetic change than the loss of a single seta. Moreover, the major perti-



Fig. 5 Cladogram of the hypothetical phylogenetic relationships among species of *Pseudectinosoma* Kunz (\bigcirc = plesiomorphic character state; \bullet = apomorphic character state). This tree is one of the nine trees generated by Phylip (Penny Algorithm, employing the Branch-and-Bound option to find all most parsimonious trees, using Camin-Sokal parsimony method).

nence of this species to the *Sigmatidium* clade is obscured by the fact that some potential characters, proved informative from a phylogenetic point of view, have been omitted in this analysis, due to the lack of complete description for most species. We allude especially to the condition of the P1 endopod segmentation, which is clearly 3-segmented (the plesiomorphic condition in the *Sigmatidium-Pseudectinosoma* lineage) in *S. triarticulatum* Mielke, 1979. Our observations of the type-material of *S. difficile* revealed a rudimentary 3-segmented P1 endopod, but we do not know explicitly the situation in other *Sigmatidium* species. In the same way, poor information is available in regard to the presence/absence of the surface seta on P5. This seta is present in *S. difficile* (Galassi & De Laurentiis, personal observation), and, most probably, in undescribed species of *Sigmatidium* (Huys *et al.* 1996) but it is apparently absent in the other species of the genus. Additionally, the antennal exopod is reported both 2-and 3-segmented in different *Sigmatidium* species, although some doubts exist on the pedestal nature of the proximal segment (rudimentary in



Fig. 6 Comparative morphology of female P5 among species of *Pseudectinosoma* Kunz: — a. *P. janineae* sp. n. — b. *P. vandeli* (after Rouch 1969; redrawn). — c. *P. minor* (after Schäfer 1936, redrawn). — d. *P. kunzi.* — e. *P. reductum* (* indicates the basipodal outer seta).

Pseudectinosoma janineae sp. n.), but once again this character is not verifiable in all species.

The nine tree files were imported into the program Component, version 2.0 (Page 1993) and used as input files for the calculation of consensus trees (Fig. 4) (Strict and Nelson consensus). In both consensus trees, while the position of S. difficile is unresolved, all the species of Pseudectinosoma form a robust clade. The tree illustrated in Fig. 5 is one of the nine trees generated by Phylip. It represents, at present knowledge, the best compromise between parsimony and homology, under the assumption that, although not quantifiable, certain characters are more significant than others. The monophyletic status of the genus Pseudectinosoma is defined by the following synapomorphies: antennulary segments 2 and 3 fused (1), P2-P4 with 2-segmented endopods (4), P5 baseoendopod and exopod not recognizable by setation (5). Within the genus, P. minor is the sister taxon of a group of species consisting of P. janineae sp. n., P. vandeli, P. reductum and P. kunzi. This lineage is defined by the following synapomorphies: incision between P5 baseoendopod and exopod absent (6) and its outer basal seta absent (7) (Fig. 6), P3 and P4 exopodal segment 3 with a maximum of 2 inner setae (9, 11). Within this group, P. janineae sp. n. still retains the syncoxal seta on the maxilliped, while it is lacking in P. vandeli, P. kunzi and P. reductum (2). The latter group is also defined by the P3-P4 exopodal segment 3 with 1 inner seta (10, 12) and by the P3-P4 endopodal segment 2 with 1 inner seta (13, 15). The condition of 4 elements on P1 exopodal segment 3 (3), derived by the absence of inner seta on P1 exopodal segment 3 (8) is the synapomorphy of the P. kunzi-P. reductum group. Some apomorphies (1 inner seta on P3 exopodal segment 3, 1-2 inner setae on P4 exopodal segment 3, and 1 inner seta on P3-P4 endopodal segment 2) may have evolved more than once within the *Sigmatidium-Pseudectinosoma* lineage.

As discussed above, comparison of characters shows a general trend towards reduction and/or character losses in the evolutionary history of the Sigmatidium-Pseudectinosoma complex. Therefore, the regressive nature of characters in stygobiont taxa could also be regarded as adaptative features to life in the groundwater habitat (Parzefall 1986; Botosaneanu & Holsinger 1991; Notenboom 1991; Holsinger 1992; Boutin 1994; Culver et al. 1995), raising the question, as summarized by Danielopol & Rouch (1991), whether '... these adaptative features arose before ("adoption") or after ("adaptation") the ancestral populations invaded groundwaters'. Among stygobiont and interstitial copepods, the adaptative significance of many regressive traits is still debatable, if not questionable (Pesce & Galassi 1985, 1986; Reid 1991; Boxshall et al. 1993; Reid & Strayer 1994; Jaume & Boxshall 1996a; Galassi 1997; Galassi & De Laurentiis 1997) and for many characters simply unknown. On the basis of the present study, it seems that many regressive traits are already present in the marine epigean Sigmatidium (small body size, short antennule, tendency to fusion between P5 baseoendopod and exopod) and in the surface-waters species P. minor, in which further oligomerization of P2-P4 endopods and P5 can be found. In summary, the phenotype of P. minor tends to be maintained in the stygobiont relatives, supporting the hypothesis that regressive features shared by groundwater species of Pseudectinosoma are not the result of direct selection in groundwater habitats, but could be considered as features already present in the epigean ancestor. The above observations strengthen the use of the

 Table 2 List of characters used in the cladistic analysis. (State '0' represents the plesiomorphic character state.)

- 1. ANTENNULE: (0) 2th and 3th segments distinct; (1) fused.
- 2. MAXILLIPED: (0) syncoxa with seta; (1) without seta.
- 3. P1 EXP-3: (0) with 5 elements; (1) with 4 elements.
- 4. P2-P4 ENDOPOD SEGMENTATION: (0) 3. 3. 3; (1) 2. 2. 2.
- 5. P5 BENP AND EXP: (0) recognizable by setation; (1) not recognizable.
- 6. INCISION BETWEEN P5 BENP- EXP: (0) present; (1) absent.
- 7. P5 OUTER BASAL SETA: (0) present; (1) absent.
- 8. P1 EXP-3: (0) with 1 inner seta; (1) without inner seta.
- 9. P3 EXP-3: (0) with 3 inner setae; (1) with 2 inner setae.
- 10. P3 EXP-3: (0) with 2 inner setae; (1) with 1 inner seta.
- 11. P4 EXP-3: (0) with 3 inner setae; (1) with 2 inner setae.
- 12. P4 EXP-3: (0) with 2 inner setae; (1) with 1 inner seta.
- 13. P3 ENDOPOD: (0) distal segment with 2 inner setae; (1) with 1 inner seta.
- P3 ENDOPOD: (0) distal segment with outer spine; (1) without outer spine.
 P4 ENDOPOD: (0) distal segment with 2 inner setae: (1) with 1 inner seta.
- P4 ENDOPOD: (0) distal segment with outer spine; (1) without outer spine.

regressive characters in the phylogenetic analysis among marine epigean and stygobiont taxa of the monophyletic genus *Pseudectinosoma*.

Biogeography of the genus Pseudectinosoma

Hypotheses on the descendance of groundwater taxa from marine ancestors are intensively debated, representing one of the major topics of the stygobiology (Stock 1980; Iliffe 1986; Rouch & Danielopol 1987; Boutin & Coineau 1990; Botosaneanu & Holsinger 1991; Notenboom 1991; Coineau & Boutin 1992; Holsinger 1994). Harpacticoids, and copepods in general, are common representatives of the groundwater fauna but only rarely (Sewell 1956; Enckell 1969; Lewis 1986; Rouch 1986; Wells 1986a; Reid 1993) it has been attempted to explain their distribution at different spatial scales in a palaeobiogeographical perspective. General conclusions on the distributional patterns of freshwater (especially groundwater) copepods are still problematic, since a great number of taxa thought to be cosmopolitan in distribution turn out, upon α -taxonomical

re-examination, to assume a much more restricted distribution, as a result of past taxonomic confusion (Reid 1998). Moreover, the existence of sibling-species further compounds the problem. Finally, lack of data obscures the true distributional patterns of many species. A similar situation (Wells 1986b; Huys 1992; Todaro *et al.* 1996) pertains to the meiofauna of coastal marine environments ('meiofauna paradox', Giere 1993). Apart from the above considerations, if some wide distributional patterns of stygobiont copepods could often be explained by a dispersal model, an alternative historical explanation by vicariance (Rosen 1976) appears to be more congruent with the restricted distribution of many stygobiont species, endemic to one site or to a single microhabitat of a given site, owing to their low dispersal abilities.

With regard to the genus Pseudectinosoma, with the exception of the 'marine' species P. minor, all remaining species show a 'spot' distribution (Fig. 7) and restricted ecological requirements (Table 4), suggesting a vicariant origin for the species. The amphiatlantic distribution of the genus could be related to the opening of the Atlantic Ocean in the Late Cretaceous or Early Tertiary. Moreover, P. minor, linked to oligohaline littoral biotopes, could represent an intermediate level in the evolutionary history of the subterranean freshwater species of the genus from a more ancient marine ancestor (a 'Sigmatidium-like ancestor'). On the other hand, P. vandeli, P. kunzi, P. reductum and P. janineae sp. n. are true stygobiont species, endemic to isolated karstic systems or phreatic waters in the Mediterranean area. Probably, the marine littoral ancestor lived along the shore-lines of the Mediterranean, but, in contrast to this fundamental assumption, no representatives of the genus are known from this basin. The branching pattern of the cladogram of the Pseudectinosoma species suggests a good correlation between both pattern of relationships among the species and the sequence of epicontinental sea regressions in the Mediterranean Region, during Miocene times. Particularly, both P. vandeli and P. janineae sp. n. are

Table 3 Character matrix used in the cladistic analysis (1 = apomorphic character state; 0 = plesiomorphic character state).

Characters	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
S. difficile	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S. rouchi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
S. noodti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
S. parvulum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
S. triarticulatum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
S. kunzi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
P. minor	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. vandeli	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
P. kunzi	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
P. reductum	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0
<i>P. janineae</i> sp. n.	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0



Fig. 7 Distribution of the genus *Pseudectinosoma* Kunz ($\bullet = P$. *minor* Kunz, $\blacksquare = P$. *vandeli* (Rouch), $\blacktriangle = P$. *kunzi* Galassi, $\blacksquare = P$. *reductum* Galassi & De Laurentiis, $\clubsuit = P$. *janineae* sp. n.).

distributed in areas flooded by the Tethys Sea at Burdigalian (Steininger et al. 1985; Dercourt et al. 1993). The regressions of this continuous sea arm, dated in Late Serravallian for the High Rhône area and in Early Tortonian for the Hérault Massif, might represent the primary events which caused the fragmentation of the marine ancestor's range, leading to an independent evolution of the isolated populations into groundwaters. This palaeogeographical scenario is only little affected by a subsequent peripheral marine-brackish influence, during the basal Pliocene transgression of the Mediterranea Sea, quoted both in the high Rhône area and in the Herault Massif, some kilometres away from the sampling sites of Pseudectinosoma (Demarcq & Barbillat 1971; Bessedik 1985; Clauzon et al. 1990; Suc et al. 1992). The high number of synapomorphies shared by P. kunzi and P. reductum, which seem to be the most derived species within the genus, as well as their distribution in Messinian sedimentation areas in Italy (Parea & Ricci-Lucchi 1972; Di Nocera et al. 1976, 1981; Aprile et al. 1979; Ortolani et al. 1979; Torre et al. 1988; Bigi et al. 1996), corroborate a Messinian isolation of the ancestor's populations into inland groundwaters. As a result, the hypothetical chronological sequence of the primary vicariance events appears to be in line with the phylogenetic relationships among species, whereas the phylogenetically older *P. janineae* sp. n. is distributed in continental areas

Table 4 Ecology and distribution of the Pseudectinosoma species.

Pseudectinosoma species	Ecology	Distribution
P. minor	epipsammic, epiphytic, coastal brackish waters	amphiatlantic, Baltic Sea, Germany; salt marshes of New Jersey, U.S.A.
P. vandeli	stygobiont, karstic spring, not interstitial	endemic, Cent-Fons karstic system, Herault Massif, France
P. kunzi	stygobiont, phreatic lake, not interstitial	endemic, Castelcivita Cave, Alburni Massif, south Italy
P. reductum	stygobiont, karstic spring, not interstitial	endemic, Presciano spring system, Gran Sasso Massif, central Italy
<i>P. janineae</i> sp. n.	stygobiont, phreatic and hyporheic, upwelling areas, phreatobiont	endemic, alluvial plain of the Rhône River, France

already uplifted since the Early Middle Miocene, and the phylogenetically younger *P. kunzi* and *P. reductum*, in areas covered by seawaters until the Late Miocene.

Combining the assumption based on the ancient Tethyan origin of the genus together with the fact that the stygobiont species of *Pseudectinosoma* appear to be restricted to circum-Mediterranean groundwater, we are led to believe (Galassi et al. 1997) that their ancestor invaded groundwaters before or during the Messinian salinity crisis (Hsü et al. 1973; Hsü 1978). As a matter of fact, the Upper Tertiary was a period of drastic changes in the Mediterranean marine biota, which may have provided the suitable landscape that is reflected both in the increasing speciation in groundwater and in the extinction of the putative littoral ancestor from the hypersaline Mediterranean biotopes. Moreover, the evaporative Messinian is often quoted by many authors to explain some enigmatic relict distributions, in circum-Mediterranean freshwater and anchihaline stygohabitats, of other invertebrate taxa with marine relatives traceable only outside the Mediterranean Sea (Stock 1980; Holsinger 1988; Danielopol et al. 1994; Carola et al. 1995; Bartsch 1996; Jaume & Boxshall 1996b,c).

Acknowledgements

We are much indebted to Dr Rony Huys (The Natural History Museum, London) for his invaluable help during the first draft of the manuscript, and to our friend and colleague Maurizio Biondi (University of L'Aquila) for his continuous assistance in the phylogenetic analysis. Special thanks are also due to Wolfgang Mielke (II. Zoologische Institut, Göttingen, Germany) and to Wolfgang Dreyer and Rudolf König (Zoologisches Museum, University of Kiel, Germany) for the loan of the type-material of S. triarticulatum and S. difficile, respectively. This work benefited from useful palaeogeographical informations given by Jean-Pierre Suc (Centre de Palaeontologie stratigraphique et Palaeoecologie, University of Lyon), Georges Clauzon (Aix en Provence) and Silvio Di Nocera (Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, University of Naples). This research was supported by the Italian M.U.R.S.T (40-60%), and by 'Consorzio Gran Sasso'.

References

Aprile, F., Brancaccio, L., Cinque, A., Di Nocera, S., Guida, M., Iaccarino, G., Ortolani, F., Pescatore, T., Sgrosso, I. & Torre, M. (1979). Dati preliminari sulla neotettonica dei Fogli 174 (Ariano Irpino), 186 (S. Angelo dei Lombardi), 198 (Eboli). *Contributi Preliminari Alla Realizzazione Della Carta Neotettonica* d'Italia, Pubbl. 251 del Progetto Finalizzato Geodinamica, 149– 178.

Bartsch, I. (1996). Halacarids (Halacaroidea, Acari) in freshwater. Multiple invasions from the Paleozoic onwards? *Journal of Natural History*, 30, 67–99.

- Bessedik, M. (1985). *Reconstitution des environnements Miocenes des regions nord-ovest mediterraneennes a partir de la palynologie.* Thèse de doctorat, Université de Montpellier, France.
- Bigi, S., Calamita, F. & Centamore, E. (1996). Carta geologicostrutturale dell'area compresa tra il Gran Sasso D'Italia e il F. Pescara. Atti Convegno 'Geodinamica e tettonica attiva del sistema Tirreno-Appennino', Camerino 910 Febbraio 1995. *Studi Geologici Camerti*, 95/2, Vol. Spec.
- Botosaneanu, L. & Holsinger, J. R. (1991). Some aspects concerning colonization of the subterranean realm especially of subterranenan waters: a response to Rouch & Danielopol, 1987. *Stygologia, 6*, 11–39.
- Boutin, C. (1994). Phylogeny and biogeography of metacrangonyctid amphipods in North Africa. *Hydrobiologia*, 287, 4964.
- Boutin, C. & Coineau, N. (1990). "Regression Model", "Modèle Biphase" d'évolution et origine des micro-organismes stygobies interstitiels continentaux. *Revue de Micropaléontologie*, 33 (3–4), 303–322.
- Boxshall, G. A., Evstigneeva, T. D. & Clark, P. F. (1993). A new interstitial cyclopoid copepod from a sandy beach on the western shore of Lake Baikal, Siberia. *Hydrobiologia*, 268, 99–107.
- Carola, M., Razouls, C. & Pretus, J. L. (1995). Two relict Tethyan copepods new for the Mediterranean Sea: *Exumella polyarthra* and *Paramisophria ammophila* (Copepoda: Calanoida). *Vie et Milieu*, 45 (2), 147–155.
- Clauzon, G., Suc, J.-P., Aguilar, J.-P., Ambert, P., Cappetta, H., Cravatte, J., Drivaliari, A., Domenech, R., Dubar, M., Leroy, S., Martinell, J., Michaux, J., Roiron, P., Rubino, J.-L., Savoye, B. & Vernet, J.-L. (1990). Pliocene geodynamic and climatic evolutions in the French Mediterranean Region. *Paleontologia I Evolucio. Memoria Especial, N 2 Iberian Neogene Basins, Sabadell,* 1990, 131–186.
- Clément, M. & Moore, C. G. (1995). A revision of the genus Halectinosoma (Harpacticoida: Ectinosomatidae): a reappraisal of H. sarsi (Boeck) and related species. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 114, 247–306.
- Coineau, N. & Boutin, C. (1992). Biological processes in space and time. Colonization, evolution and speciation in interstitial stygobionts. In: Camacho, A. I. (Ed.) *The Natural History of Biospeleology*, 7, Monografias, Madrid (pp. 427–451).
- Coull, B. C. (1977). Marine flora and fauna of the northeastern United States. Copepoda: Harpacticoida. NOAA Technical Report NHFS Circular, 399, 1–48.
- Culver, D. C., Kane, T. C. & Fong, D. W. (1995). Adaptation and Natural Selection in Caves The Evolution of Gammarus Minus. Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.
- Danielopol, D. L., Marmonier, P., Boulton, A. J. & Bonaduce, G. (1994). World subterranean ostracod biogeography: dispersal or vicariance. *Hydrobiologia*, 287, 119–129.
- Danielopol, D. L. & Rouch, R. (1991). L'adaptation des organismes au milieu aquatique souterrain. Réflexions sur l'apport des recherches écologiques récentes. *Stygologia*, 6 (3), 129–142.
- Demarcq, G. & Barbillat, R. (1971). Les Pectinides Neogenes du Bassin Rhodanien. Documents du Laboratoire Géologique, Université de Lyon, Le Néogene Rhodanien. V Congres du Neogene Mediterraneen, 1971, 1, 45–59. Lyon.

Dercourt, J., Ricou, L. E. & Vrielynck, B. (1993). Atlas Tethys Palaeoenvironmental Maps. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 14 maps, 1pl.

Di Nocera, S., Ortolani, F. & Torre, M. (1976). Fase tettonica messiniana nell'Appennino meridionale. *Bollettino Della Società Dei Naturalisti in Napoli*, 84, 1–17.

Di Nocera, S., Ortolani, F., Torre, M. & Russo, B. (1981). Evoluzione sedimentaria e cenni di paleogeografia del tortoniano-messiniano dell'Irpinia occidentale. *Bollettino Della Società Dei Naturalisti in Napoli, 90*, 131–166.

Dole, M.-J. (1983a). Le domaine aquatique souterrain de la plaine alluviale du Rhône à l'est de Lyon. 1. Diversité hydrologique et biocenotique de trois stations représentatives de la dynamique fluviale. *Vie et Milieu*, 33 (3/4), 219–229.

Dole, M.-J. (1983b). Le domaine aquatique souterrain de la plaine du Rhône à l'est de Lyon: Ecologie des niveaux supérieurs de la nappe. Thèse de 3ème cycle, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I (France).

Dole-Olivier, M.-J., Creuzé des Chatelliers, M. & Marmonier, P. (1993). Repeated gradients in subterranean landscape. Example of the stygofauna in the alluvial floodplain of the Rhône River (France). Archiv für Hydrobiologie, 127 (4), 451–471.

Dole-Olivier, M.-J. & Marmonier, P. (1992). Ecological requirements of stygofauna in an active channel of the Rhône river. *Stygologia*, 7 (2), 65–75.

Dole-Olivier, M.-J., Marmonier, P. & Beffy, J.-L. (1997). Response of vertebrates to lotic disturbance: is the hyporheic zone a patchy refugium? *Freshwater Biology* 37 (2), 257–276.

Dole-Olivier, M.-J., Marmonier, P., Creuzé des Châtelliers, M. & Martin, D. (1994). Interstitial Fauna Associated with the Alluvial Floodplains of the Rhône River (France). In: Gibert, J., Danielopol, D. L. & Stanford, J. A. (Eds). *Groundwater Ecology*. pp. 313–346, Academic Press, San Diego.

Enckell, P. H. (1969). Distribution and dispersal of Parastenocarididae (Copepoda) in northern Europe. *Oikos*, 20, 493–507.

Felsenstein, J. (1993). Phylip — Phylogeny Inference Package (Version 3.5). [Computer Software]. Distributed by the author. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle.

Galassi, D. M. P. (1997). The genus *Pseudectinosoma* Kunz, 1935: an update, and description of *Pseudectinosoma kunzi* sp. n. from Italy (Crustacea: Copepoda: Ectinosomatidae). *Archiv für Hydrobiologie*, 139 (2), 277–287.

Galassi, D. M. P. & De Laurentiis, P. (1997). Pseudectinosoma reductum, a new ectinosomatid harpacticoid from spring waters in Italy (Crustacea: Copepoda). Hydrobiologia, 356, 81–86.

Galassi, D. M. P., De Laurentiis, P. & Dole-Olivier, M.-J. (1997). The genus *Pseudectinosoma* Kunz, 1935 (Crustacea: Copepoda: Ectinosomatidae) in the Mediterranean Region: relict of an ancient Tethyan fauna? XIII International Symposium of Biospeleology, Marrakesh (20–27 April, 1997), 40.

Gibert, J., Stanford, J. A., Dole-Olivier, M.-J. & Ward, J. V. (1994). Basic Attributes of Groundwater Ecosystems and Prospects for Research. In: Gibert, J., Danielopol, D. L. & Stanford, J. A. (Eds). *Groundwater Ecology*. pp. 7–40, Academic Press, San Diego.

Giere, O. (1993). Meiobenthology: the Microscopic Fauna in Aquatic Sediments. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Gourbault, N. & Lescher-Moutoué, F. (1979). Faune des eaux souterraines de Majorque. *Endins*, 56, 43–54. Heip, C., Herman, R. & Vincx, M. (1983). Subtidal meiofauna of the North Sea: a review. *Biologisch Jahrboek*, 51, 116–170.

Holsinger, J. R. (1988). Troglobites: The Evolution of Cave-Dwelling Organisms. *American Scientist*, 76, 147–153.

Holsinger, J. R. (1992). Two new species of the subterranean amphipod genus *Bahadzia* (Hadziidae) from the Yucatan Peninsula region of southern Mexico, with an analysis of phylogeny and biogeography of the genus. *Stygologia*, 7, 85– 105.

Holsinger, J. R. (1994). Pattern and process in the biogeography of subterranean amphipods. *Hydrobiologia*, 287, 131–145.

Hsü, K. J. (1978). When the Black Sea was drained. *Scientific American*, *128*, 53–63.

Hsü, K. J., Ryan, W. B. F. & Cita, M. B. (1973). Late Miocene desiccation of the Mediterranean. *Nature*, 242, 240–244.

Huys, R. (1992). The amphiatlantic distribution of *Leptascus macronyx* (T. Scott, 1892) (Copepoda: Harpacticoida): a paradigm of taxonomic confusion, and a cladistic approach to the classification of the Leptastacidae Lang, 1948. *Mededelingen Van de Koninklijke Academie Voor Wetenschappen, Letteren En Schone Kunsten Van België, 54 (4)*, 21–196.

Huys, R. & Boxshall, G. (1991). *Copepod Evolution*. Ray Society, London, no. 159.

Huys, R., Gee, J. M., Moore, C. G. & Hamond, R. (1996). Marine and Brackish Water Harpacticoid Copepods. In: Barnes R. S. K. & Crothers, J. H. (Eds). Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series), n. 51. Field Studies Council, Shrewsbury.

Iliffe, T. M. (1986). The zonation model for the evolution of aquatic faunas in anchialine caves. Stygologia, 2 (1/2), 2–8.

Jaume, D. & Boxshall, G. A. (1996a). Two new genera of cyclopinid copepods (Crustacea) from anchihaline caves on western Mediterranean and eastern Atlantic islands. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 117, 283–304.

Jaume, D. & Boxshall, G. A. (1996b). A new genus and two new species of cave-dwelling Misophrioid copepods from the Balearic Islands (Mediterranean). *Journal of Natural History*, 30, 989–1006.

Jaume, D. & Boxshall, G. A. (1996c). The persistence of an ancient marine fauna in Mediterranean waters: new evidence from misophrioid copepods living in anchihaline caves. *Journal* of Natural History, 30, 1583–1595.

Kunz, H. (1935). Zur Oekologie der Copepoden Schleswig-Holsteins und der Kieler Bucht. Aus Den Schriften Des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins für Schleswig-Holstein, 21 (1), 83–132.

Kunz, H. (1974). Harpacticoiden (Crustacea, Copepoda) aus dem Küstengrundwasser der französischen Mittelmeerküste. Zoologica Scripta, 3, 257–282.

Lewis, M. H. (1986). Biogeographic trends within the freshwater Canthocamptidae (Harpacticoida). *Syllogeus*, 58, 115–125.

Marmonier, P. (1988). Biocénoses interstitielles et circulation des eaux dans les sous-écoulement d'un chenal aménagé du Haut Rhône français. Thèse de doctorat, Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I (France).

Marmonier, P., Dole-Olivier, M.-J. & Creuzé des Châtelliers, M. (1992). Spatial distribution ofterstitial assemblages in the floodplain of the Rhône River. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management*, 7, 75–82.

Notenboom, J. (1991). Marine regressions and the evolution of

groundwater dwelling amphipods (Crustacea). Journal of Biogeography, 18, 437-454.

Ortolani, F., Torre, M., Russo, B. & Di Nocera, S. (1979). Depositi altomiocenici del bordo settentrionale della piana del Sele (Campania). *Bollettino Della Società Geologica Italiana*, 98, 3–14.

- Page, R. D. M. (1993). COMPONENT, Version 2.0. [Tree comparison software for use with Microsoft Windows.] The Natural History Museum, London.
- Parea, G. C. & Ricci-Lucchi, F. (1972). Resedimented evaporites in the periadriatic trough (Upper Miocene, Italy). *Israel Journal* of *Earth-Sciences*, 21, 125–141.
- Parzefall, J. (1986). Behavioural preadaptations of marine species for the colonisation of caves. *Stygologia*, 2 (1/2), 144–155.

Pesce, G. L. & Galassi, D. M. P. (1985). Due nuovi *Diacyclops* del complesso '*languidoides*' (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) di acque sotterranee di Sardegna e considerazioni sul significato evolutivo dell'antenna nei copepodi stigobionti. *Bollettino Del Museo Civico Di Storia Naturale Di Verona*, 12, 411–418.

Pesce, G. L. & Galassi, D. M. P. (1986). Taxonomic and phylogenetic value of the armature of coxa and antenna in stygobiont cyclopoid copepods. Atti Convegno U. Z. I. Roma, 1986, Bollettino Di Zoologia, Modena, 53 (Suppl.), 58.

Reid, J. W. (1991). Use of fine morphological structures in interpreting the taxonomy and ecology of continental cyclopoid copepods (Crustacea). Anais do IV Encontro Brasileiro de Plâncton, Recife, 4, 261–282.

Reid, J. W. (1993). The harpacticoid and cyclopoid copepod fauna in the Cerrado Region of central Brazil. 1. Species composition, habitats, and zoogeography. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, 6, 56– 68.

Reid, J. W. (1998). How 'cosmopolitan' are the continental cyclopoid copepods? Comparison of the North American and Eurasian faunas, with description of *Acanthocyclops parasensitivus* n. sp. from U.S.A. *Zoologischer Anzeiger*, 236(2–3), 109–118.

Reid, J. W. & Strayer, D. L. (1994). *Diacyclops dimorphus*, a new species of copepod from Florida, with comments on morphology ofterstitial cyclopine cyclopoids. *Journal of North American Benthological Society*, 13(2), 250–265.

Rosen, D. E. (1976). A vicariance model of Caribbean biogeography. *Systematic Zoology*, *24*, 431–464.

Rouch, R. (1969). Recherches sur les eaux souterraines-6-Sigmatidium vandeli n. sp., Ectinosomatidae des eaux souterraines continentales. Annales de Spéléologie, 24(2), 421–429.

Rouch, R. (1986). Copepoda: les harpacticoïdes souterrains des eaux douces continentales. In: Botosaneanu, L. (Ed.). Stygofauna Mundi. pp. 321–355, E.J. Brill, Leiden.

Rouch, R. & Danielopol, D. (1987). L'origine de la faune aquatique souterraine entre le paradigme du réfuge et la modèle de la colonization active. *Stygologia*, *3*, 345–372.

Schäfer, H. W. (1936). Harpacticoiden aus dem Brackwasser der Insel Hiddensee. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Systematik), 8(6), 546–588.

Sewell, R. B. S. (1956). The continental drift theory and the distribution of the Copepoda. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London*, 166, 149–177.

Steininger, F. F., Senes, J., Kleeman, K. & Rogl, F. (1985). Neogene of the Mediterranean Tethys and Paratethys. Stratigraphic correlation tables and sediment distribution maps. edn I. Institute of Paleontology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

Stock, J. H. (1980). Regression model evolution as exemplified by the genus *Pseudoniphargus* (Amphipoda). *Bijdragen Tot de Dierkunde*, 50, 105–144.

Suc, J., P., Clauzon, G., Bessedik, M., Leroy, S., Zheng, Z., Drivaliari, A., Roiron, P., Ambert, P., Martinell, J., Domenech, R., Matias, I., Julia, R. & Anglada, R. (1992). Neogene and Lower Pleistocene in southern France and northeastern Spain. Mediterranean environments and climate. *Cabiers de Micropaléontologie*, N. S, 7(1/2), 165–186.

Todaro, M. A., Fleeger, J. W., Hu, Y. P., Hrincevich, A. W. & Foltz, D. W. (1996). Are meiofaunal species cosmopolitan? Morphological and molecular analysis of *Xenotricbula intermedia* (Gastrotricha: Chaetonida). *Marine Biology*, 125, 735–742.

Torre, M., Di Nocera, S. & Ortolani, F. (1988). Evoluzione post-Tortoniana dell'Appennino meridionale. *Memorie Della Società Geologica Italiana*, 41, 47–56.

Wells, J. B. J. (1986a). Biogeography of benthic harpacticoid copepods of the marine littoral and continental shelf. *Syllogeus*, 58, 115–125.

Wells, J. B. J. (1986b). Copepoda: Marine-Interstitial Harpacticoida. In: Botosaneanu, L. (Ed.) Stygofauna Mundi (pp. 356–381), E J Brill, Leiden.